## **HEARST RANCH FOREST HEALTH FUELS REDUCTION PROJECT**

### **Project Specific Analysis**

An Addendum to the California Vegetation Treatment Program Programmatic Environmental Impact Report



Prepared for:



CAL FIRE San Luis Obispo Unit

In collaboration with:

The San Luis Obispo County Community Fire Safe Council & Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District



March 2023 CALVTP ID

#### Prepared by:



Auten Resource Consulting

116 Martinelli St., Suite 8 Watsonville, CA 95076

Contact: Steve R. Auten | Registered Professional Forester #2734 · steve.auten.arc@gmail.com Mitchell Riley McFarland | Assistant Forester III · riley.mcfarland.arc@gmail.com

March 2023 CALVTP ID

THE CALIFOR	NIA VEGETATION TREATMENT PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST	1
EC-1:	Aesthetics and visual resources	25
EC-2:	Agriculture and Forest Resources	27
EC-3:	Air Quality	29
EC-4:	ARCHEOLOGICAL, HISTORICAL, AND TRIBAL CULTURAL Resources	34
EC-5:	Biological Resources	
EC-6:	GEOLOGY, SOILS, PALEONTOLOGY, AND MINERAL RESOURCES	63
EC-7:	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	66
EC-8:	Energy	68
EC-9:	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY	69
EC-10:	Hydrology and Water Quality	73
EC-11:	Land Use and Planning, Population and Housing	78
EC-12:	Noise	79
EC-13:	Recreation	82
EC-14:	Transportation	83
EC-15:	Public Services, Utilities, and Service Systems	85
EC-16:	Wildfire	87
EC-17:	Administrative Standard Project Requirements	89
EC-18:	Mandatory Findings of Significance	92
Discus	sion	92
Attach	ment A: Standard Project Requirements (SPRs) and Mitigation Measures (MMs)	95
Attach	ment B: Project Maps	135
Attach	ment C: Biological Resources, CNDDB, and Habitat Evaluation	143
Attach	ment D: Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards (Coastal VTS)	170
Attach	ment E: Biological Resources Survey Report and Procedures	183
Attach	ment F: Monarch Butterfly (Xerces)	
Attach	ment G: Wildlife-Friendly Fuels Reduction in Dry Forests of the Pacific Northwest	198
Attach	ment H: Burn Plan and Smoke Management Plan (Appendix PD-2)	200
Attach	ment I: References	236

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Old San Simeon Village as seen from San Simeon Point	5
Figure 2 Pete Sebastian circa 1985; Sebastian's Store in background	5
Figure 3 Aerial view of San Simeon region; 1937 vs 2022	6
Figure 4 Ecological progression of San Simeon point from 1937, around the time of planting, to 2021	8
Figure 5 Ecological progression and increased expanse of the Monterey pine stand at Pico Creek	9
Figure 6 San Simeon Point treatment units and treatment activity overlay; map not to scale	12
Figure 7 Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand treatment units and treatment activity overlay; map not to scale	13
Figure 8 San Simeon Point circa 1937 showing the planted cypress and eucalyptus groves	16
Figure 9 San Simeon Point existing vegetation types	17
Figure 10 Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand existing vegetation types	19

LIST OF TABLES	
Table A Project Vegetation Types and Associated MCV Alliances	144
Table B Special-Status Biological Species know to occur in the project region	149

	THE CALIFOR PROGRAM	RNIA VEGETATION TREATMENT ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST
	PRC	JECT INFORMATION
1.	Project Title:	Hearst Ranch Forest Health Fuels Reduction Project
2.	CAL FIRE Project Number	
3.	CalVTP I.D. Number	
4.	Project Proponent Name and Address:	CAL FIRE SAN LUIS OBISPO 635 N. Santa Rosa Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93405 Dave Erickson Forester I, CAL FIRE David.Erickson@fire.ca.gov (805) 903-3406
5.	Contact Person Information and Phone Number:	Jonathan Gee Forestry Assistant II, CAL FIRE Jonathan.Gee@fire.ca.gov (805) 528-2160
6.	Project Location:	<ul> <li>San Luis Obispo County</li> <li>Portions of Sections 26, 27, 34, &amp; 35, T26S, R07E, and Portions of Sections 31 &amp; 32, T26S, R08E, MDBM, USGS San Simeon Quadrangle -AND- Portions of Sections 5 &amp; 6, T27S, R08E, MDBM, USGS Pico Creek Quadrangle</li> <li>The project boundary encompasses two separate zones within San Simeon. Zone 1 pertains to the project area on San Simeon Point, a natural coastal peninsula that extends into San Simeon Bay approximately 0.25-0.5 miles north of San Simeon Pier. Zone 2 pertains to the Pico Creek Monterey pine stand, a native pine forest located along the lower reaches and north of Pico Creek in San Simeon.</li> <li>Approximate center of project areas: Zone 1 (San Simeon Point) [35.64054, -121.19627] Zone 2 (Pico Creek Stand) [35.62893, -121.14536]</li> <li>APNS 011-242-019, 011-242-018, 011-251-014, &amp; 011- 251-016</li> <li>See project maps</li> <li>[include county and coordinates; also include cross street, other major landmarks or legal description useful to identify treatment location]</li> </ul>
7.	Total Area to be Treated (acres)	Approximately 762 (540 acres of mapped treatment units)

#### **Executive Summary**

#### Setting

The purpose of this project is to:

- 1. Protect irreplaceable historical facilities at Old San Simeon Village by reducing wildfire hazard from ignition sources on San Simeon Point.
- 2. Restore the health of planted Monterey pine, Monterey cypress, and blue gum eucalyptus habitat at San Simeon Point near Old San Simeon Village.
- 3. Protect New San Simeon community from wildfire by reducing the hazard and risk from wildfire in the Pico Creek Monterey pine stand.
- 4. Restore the health and vigor of the rare Monterey pine community at Pico Creek near New San Simeon.

Wildfires have taken a significant toll on many communities across California. A majority of land managers, researchers, and foresters predominantly agree on the factors that led to many recent large-scale fires: The outlawing of cultural burning, restricting fire over the last 100 years, a lack of vegetation management, climate change, periods of successive drought, and significant development into the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI). The product of these factors are very dense and senescent forests and surrounding vegetation types ripe for wildfire ignition that are need of treatment.

This project will occur on private property within the boundaries of land owned and managed by Hearst Holdings, Inc., hereinafter referred to as the Landowner, in the region of San Simeon, California. This property is permanently protected from nearly all forms of commercial development by conservation easements recorded in 2005 and held by the California Rangeland Trust.

#### **CEQA and Coastal Act Compliance**

The California Vegetation Treatment Program (CalVTP) is a Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR)<sup>1</sup> that was certified in 2019 as a document in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The PEIR offers an array of permittable vegetation treatment actions that promote ecological restoration, landscape-level forest health, and reducing the risk of wildfire pending the submittal of a Project Specific Analysis (PSA), an addendum to the PEIR. The PSA must provide comprehensive project and treatment information; including details on operations and how the proposed activities comply with Standard Project Requirements (SPRs) and Mitigation Measures (MMs) approved under the CalVTP PEIR.

While the CalVTP provides CEQA compliance for an array of forest health and wildfire prevention projects, the Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District (US-LT RCD) Public Works Plan (PWP) serves as a companion to the CalVTP to provide a streamlined mechanism for Coastal Act compliance within the California Coastal Zone. The *Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District Forest Health and Fire Resilience Public Works Plan*<sup>2</sup> was approved by California Coastal Commission Board members on October 15, 2021.

To supplement the PSA, the PWP requires vegetation management information by way of a set of Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards (Coastal VTS) that outlines specifics related to project justification, project

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://bof.fire.ca.gov/projects-and-programs/calvtp/calvtp-programmatic-eir/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.us-ltrcd.org/forest-health-and-fire-resilience-public-works-plan

design, protection of sensitive ecosystems, and standardized treatment guidelines. This PSA not only addresses all of the critical components of the CalVTP, but also includes information specific to Coastal VTS and Coastal Act compliance. The Coastal VTS for the Hearst Ranch Forest Health Fuels Reduction Project can be found in *Attachment D*.

8. **Description of Project:** (Describe the whole action involved, including any phasing of initial treatments as well as planned treatments, including equipment to be used and planned duration of treatments, but not limited to later phases (e.g., maintenance) of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

#### **Project Goals**

This project proposes to improve the health and vigor of the Monterey pine forest by conducting ecologically restorative forest health treatments that reduce competition, encourage natural pine regeneration, and increase climate resiliency and biological diversity while reducing the severity of wildfire near the historic community of Old San Simeon Village and San Simeon Acres (aka "New San Simeon").

The objective of this restorative work is to selectively thin dense tree cover, dense tree stands, diseased tree populations, and underlying brush to improve forest health, increase climate resiliency, and lessen the risk of wildfire. Work will be done using a prudent, science-based, and environmentally sensitive approach designed to ensure protection of the natural environment.

The purpose of this project is to:

- 1. Protect irreplaceable historical facilities at Old San Simeon Village by reducing wildfire hazard from ignition sources on San Simeon Point.
- 2. Restore the health of planted Monterey pine, Monterey cypress, and blue gum eucalyptus habitat at San Simeon Point near Old San Simeon Village.
- 3. Protect New San Simeon community from wildfire by reducing the hazard and risk from wildfire in the Pico Creek Monterey pine stand.
- 4. Restore the health and vigor of the rare Monterey pine community at Pico Creek near New San Simeon.

#### **Project Location**

The entire surveyed, proposed project area in San Luis Obispo County encompasses approximately 762 acres across two locations in the unincorporated region of San Simeon, California. The censusdesignated place along coastal CA State Route 1 (Highway 1), approximately 42 miles north of San Luis Obispo, can be divided into two locales – Old San Simeon Village and San Simeon Acres.

Old San Simeon Village (OSSV) refers to the historic coastal development west of Highway 1 and across from the entrance to the Hearst Castle Visitor Center at Hearst San Simeon State Historical Monument. Established as a mercantile, harbor, and whaling point during the whaling era of the mid-to late-1800s, OSSV was developed on Rancho Piedras Blancas land acquired by George Hearst. OSSV is mostly rural and consists of historic buildings, warehouses, homes, ranch infrastructure, and rangeland that surrounds this irreplaceable coastal community. General and public access to San Simeon Point from OSSV requires entry into William Randolph Hearst Memorial Beach; from which, visitors are able to hike north along the coast and up and onto the peninsula. Public access is provided voluntarily by Hearst, per California Civil Code § 813.



Figure 1 Old San Simeon Village as seen from San Simeon Point

The Sebastian Store building, a central feature of OSSV built in 1852 and originally located on San Simeon Point, was moved to its present location in 1878 and is undergoing extensive restoration in 2022 to maintain the important infrastructure and history of this area. The Sebastian Store building is California registered historical landmark No. 726.



Figure 2 Pete Sebastian circa 1985; Sebastian's Store in background

San Simeon Acres, or "New San Simeon", by contrast, pertains to the more recently developed community located along Highway 1 south of Pico Creek. Up until the late 1950s and early 1960s, the area that would become "New San Simeon" was widely undeveloped prior to Hearst Castle becoming

a popular tourist attraction in 1958. By 1975, San Simeon Acres was well-established and consisted of beachfront resorts, restaurants, retail centers, and a small community of homes. The Pico Creek Monterey pine stand is situated between Pico Creek and Little Pico Creek, immediately north of and adjacent to San Simeon Acres.



Figure 3 Aerial view of San Simeon region; 1937 vs 2022

#### San Simeon Point

San Simeon Point is a high-use visitor area that provides public access to scenic vistas from coastal bluffs and a network of short, wooded walking trails. Predominantly bounded by coastline, majority of San Simeon Point hosts a dense, non-native stand of Monterey cypress (*Hesperocyparis macrocarpa*), Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata*) and blue gum eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*). San Simeon Point is exposed to strong coastal winds.

The project area is on private land adjacent to, and accessible from Hearst San Simeon State Park. Day-use public access to San Simeon Point is maintained under the sole discretion of Hearst Holdings, Inc. Trespassing per California Civil Code § 813 recorded with San Luis Obispo County outside of public access hours and unauthorized camping are primary concerns of Hearst Ranch staff as these activities have the potential to cause and have at times produced wildfires, vandalism, and environmental damage.

Limited public access to San Simeon Point is permitted but revocable under the discretion of the Landowner and within the terms of a conservation easement enacted on the property in 2005. Permissive public access on San Simeon Point will be suspended during treatment operations and restored at the discretion of the Landowner. Public access to Pico Creek is prohibited without prior consent from the Landowner.

The adjacent building complex, Old San Simeon Village, is wholly owned by Hearst Holdings, Inc. and comprises four historic warehouses (including Julia Morgan's stucco warehouse), and four historic Mission Revival style residences, still occupied by Hearst employees, Sebastian's store, and the Pacific School building (opened in 1882 but closed in the 1940s).

The vast majority of forested stands at San Simeon Point were planted approximately 75-90 years ago; the age that represents the general maximum life span of the pine and cypress species that occupy this area. Senescent cypress and pine stands are readily observable across San Simeon Point and are actively adding excessive downed, wildfire-prone fuel loads to the forest system as a whole. In addition, wind throw and the falling dead branches of aging trees presents a potential threat to public safety while adding to hazardous wildfire fuel conditions. Primary goals of this project are to improve forest health, maintain visitor access, reduce fire hazard to protect irreplaceable historic buildings, and provide entry points to emergency resources and fire personnel.

San Simeon Point also hosts two distinct groves of planted eucalyptus, primarily blue gum (Eucalyptus globulus), that were established historically to serve as wind breaks for OSSV and infrastructure formerly located on San Simeon Point. In present condition, the eucalyptus stands on San Simeon Point are mature, frequently shedding large limbs and debris, displaying signs of insect damage, falling or breaking in high winds, and accumulating excessive levels of downed woody material in the understory. Contiguous horizontal and vertical fuel loading in these groves presents a significant wildfire risk and public safety hazard as visitors to San Simeon Point travel by foot along the margins of the larger grove where wind throw is greatest in order to access the peninsula. Additionally, eucalyptus groves existing within the project area are of special habitat value as they host seasonal populations of monarch butterflies (Danaus plexippus). The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation was consulted as part of this plan development process to assess and monitor existing monarch populations on San Simeon Point and ensure proposed treatments are in alignment with monarch conservation dynamics and potential habitat enhancement strategies. A treatment guidance report from Xerces Society can be found in *Attachment F* and its proposed measures will be incorporated into the overall treatment approach for this project where monarch populations and potential habitat have been identified.

Figure 4 provides aerial imagery that shows the ecological progression of San Simeon point from 1937, prior or around the time of planting, to 2021. Rapid growth and subsequent die-off have led to the existing overstocked, hazardous fuel conditions on San Simeon Point.



Figure 4 Ecological progression of San Simeon point from 1937, around the time of planting, to 2021

#### Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand

Hearst Holdings, Inc., San Luis Obispo County Community Fire Safe Council, CAL FIRE, and the Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District have identified the Pico Creek Monterey pine (*Pinus* radiata) stand as a rare, important forestland in need of restorative management focused on habitat resilience, forest health, native species diversity, carbon storage, and reducing the risk of catastrophic wildfire that could impact the San Simeon Acres community.

The Monterey pine stand at Pico Creek exists just north of San Simeon Acres adjacent to Highway 1 on a foothill coastal terrace situated between the Little Pico Creek and Pico Creek Watersheds (HUC12). The density and mortality present within this stand generally corresponds to what is observable in similar regional environments. Overstocked stands of Monterey pine and dense understory conditions present and existing wildfire hazard in an extremely rare forest type. CAL FIRE has designated the area in which the stand exists as a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone<sup>3</sup>.

Per the Manual of California Vegetation, Second Edition (Sawyer et al., 2009), the project area at Pico Creek consists primarily of the *Pinus radiata* Forest Alliance, which possesses a rarity rank of S1.2. This alliance's membership rules necessitate Monterey pine to encompass greater than 25% cover in the tree layer while maintaining a composition of associated native species such as coast live oak, manzanita, huckleberry, and poison oak. A California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) analysis of the project area also produced rarity rank of S1.1 (critically impaired) exclusively for this Monterey

pine forest, designated as special-status forest habitat by the database. Monterey pine trees within the stand at Pico Creek well exceeds 25% in the project area. No significant reduction of the overall tree cover is expected since work is predominantly focused on smaller trees and shrubs in the understory, which will maintain and promote the *Pinus radiata* Forest Alliance.



Figure 5 Ecological progression and increased expanse of the Monterey pine stand at Pico Creek between 1937 and 2021

Figure 5 shows the progression and increased expanse of the Monterey pine stand at Pico Creek between 1937 and 2021. Overstocking due to lack of frequent disturbance by low intensity wildfire in this forest has led to notable die-off of dominant and codominant pine trees, likely as a result of excessive competition for limited resources.

#### **Treatment Description**

This project aims at reducing wildfire hazard and restoring one of five naturally occurring Monterey pine stands in the world to native ecological conditions for long-term forest health, reduced wildfire hazard, wildlife abundance, carbon sequestration, and resilience of rare botanical alliances including retaining some groundcover vegetation in tree and shrub communities.

Treatments will largely be conducted by implementing a combination of manual hand work, mechanical equipment [tracked mulcher to cut and chip understory ladder fuels, leaving root systems intact for resprouting, including live trees up to 8 inches diameter at breast height (DBH) and other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CAL FIRE: Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP) Fire Hazard Severity Zones

vegetation], and prescribed fire. Trees greater than 8 inches in diameter may be removed if they are a public safety hazard, dead or dying, irreversibly diseased, substantially damaged, or an invasive exotic. Understory vegetation, brush, and shrubs under the drip lines of trees shall be cut and/or masticated, leaving root systems intact for resprouting. Material left over from initial treatment may be processed on site by way of chipping, lop and scatter, or mulched and utilized by the landowner. Prescribed broadcast or pile burn treatments will be utilized as needed to dispose of excess residual vegetative material. Selective retention of shrubs in the understory and trees <8" DBH will be implemented to maintain ecological complexity and promote a mosaic of vegetation across the project area per standards described in the Coastal VTS (Attachment D).

#### Treatment Type

This project proposes an Ecological Restoration Treatment Type to restore ecosystem processes, native stand conditions, and forestland resiliency through the removal of dead, dying, or diseased trees, dense understory fuels, and invasive species in areas predominantly outside of the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI), or areas integrated into WUI fuel reductions, as defined by the California Vegetation Treatment Program PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 2.5.1, pages 7 & 15-17). Implementing prescribed burning, herbicide application, and mechanical and manual treatment activities will result in a modification of the existing fuels that will reduce the risk of stand-replacing fire events and ultimately support the restoration of native vegetative species and habitat conditions including, but not limited to, habitat quality and natural, low-intensity fire regimes.

An overaccumulation of fuels and vegetation creates competition for the available water, nutrients, and sunlight plants need to grow; therefore, the reduction of vegetative competition in the understory will facilitate a natural disturbance that encourages forest succession and regeneration to occur, influencing the amount of carbon stored in the residual forest (Dale et al. 2000).

Thinning of the stand from below through the removal of small diameter live trees and understory vegetation will result in an increased carrying capacity of the site, which would stimulate the growth of the residual dominant and co-dominant trees (Skovsgaard, 2008). The accumulation of fuels and vegetation creates competition for the available water, nutrients, and sunlight plants need to grow; therefore, the reduction of vegetative competition in the understory would increase the growth and carbon storage capacity in the residual stand. This project aims at restoring one of five naturally occurring Monterey pine stands in the world to native ecological conditions for long-term forest health, wildlife abundance, carbon sequestration, and resilience of rare botanical alliances.

#### Treatment Activities

Mechanical treatment activities may be implemented within the 762-acre project area. Masticators will be used to remove dense stands of understory vegetation and ladder fuels and maintain a healthy overstory, which is within the scope of the PEIR. As stated in the CalVTP PEIR Section 2.5.2, "mechanical treatments may cut, uproot, crush/compact, or chop existing vegetation through the use of masticators and other methods of application". Understory debris may be chipped or lopped and scattered on-site within the treated areas. The mechanical treatment crew may utilize a chainsaw and/or various other mechanized tools or hand tools to buck downed debris and prune ladder fuels and vegetation. Treatment activities will allow for appropriate retention of understory and other vegetation for wildlife habitat and ecological heterogeneity.

Low-pressure, smaller (<20,000 lb.), tracked excavators and other tracked equipment with mowing heads that can grind smaller trees and understory vegetation into 1-3" large chips on slopes  $\leq$ 40% and spread chips throughout the forest are preferred. The masticator will access treatment areas

from existing roads and in a few cases, when moving from one treatment polygon to another, operate on slopes up to 50% for short distances approximately 100-200 feet. Resulting mastication will leave a layer of mulch behind to minimize any erosion and suppress weed invasion, while allowing the existing seedbank to germinate beneath, and give cut root systems the opportunity to resprout. Operators working in smaller, more compact machines maintain greater mobility in the forest, resulting in lower damage to the residual forest stand and increasing worker safety. General production rates average approximately one acre per day, per piece of tracked equipment.

Manual treatment activities, or handwork, will occur in special treatment areas within or adjacent to cultural and historic sites, key aesthetic areas, within or in proximity to watercourse protection zones or environmentally sensitive areas, and/or where the use of mechanized equipment is not feasible or advised such as slopes exceeding ~40%. Handwork may be utilized to lessen treatment impacts in sites where special consideration should be taken. These may include but are not limited to areas around known or observed habitat sites, rookeries, sites involving rare or endangered plant species, cultural or historic resource areas, locations near buildings, infrastructure or public utilities, or areas hazardous to equipment operators.

Handwork generally consists of conducting physical labor to remove smaller trees ( $\leq 8''$  in diameter) and understory vegetation with various hand operated equipment including chainsaws and chippers. Handwork is physically demanding and inherently exposes workers to increased safety risks. General production rates average approximately one half-acre per day for a crew of approximately 10 people.

Prescribed burning treatment activities may occur in the project areas in the form of pile burning and/or controlled broadcast burning within the proposed treatment units. As the project proponent, CAL FIRE crews will conduct the implementation of pile burning and broadcast burning throughout initial and maintenance treatments. As described in the CalVTP PEIR Section 2.52, prescribed burning is used as a tool to restore and maintain appropriate fire regimes in areas departed from native ecological processes as a result of prolonged fire suppression.

Vegetative outcomes of prescribed broadcast burning are variable depending upon the pre-existing conditions and timing of application. Typically, understory broadcast burn operations occur in the late Fall or early Winter, with the goal of reducing understory vegetation and duff and litter depths. Grassland burns typically occur in Fall, following slight precipitation, with the goal of burning the thatch layer of annual grasses and promoting native perennial grass and forb growth. Finally, chaparral burns typically occur in the late Spring, when live fuel moisture is high, but dead fuels are dry enough to facilitate consumption. However, burns will occur opportunistically when conditions allow. Furthermore, as referenced in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.17, p. 3) prescribed fire has shown to be effective in reducing fire frequency and severity when applied at the landscape scale over extended periods of time (Kim et al. 2013, Prichard and Kennedy, 2014). Prescribed fire operations may utilize drip torches, fuzees, heli-torches and other commonly used forms of ignition starts for prescribed fire. Additionally various sizes of fire engines may be utilized as control measures, as well as heavy equipment staged along control line roads in the event emergency measures are required.

This project proposes to implement understory burn prescriptions within the treatment sites using patterned lighting techniques to create a mosaic of burn treatments while typically retaining some groundcover vegetation in tree and shrub communities. Following a low-intensity surface fire in areas of exposed soil, favorable seedbed conditions exist for Monterey pine regeneration (USFS).

Herbicide application may be implemented where invasive species are present within the treatment areas to promote regeneration of native species and reduce the spread of invasive vegetation. The CalVTP PEIR Section 2.5.2 indicates that herbicide application may only be implemented at ground-level from equipment on vehicles or by manual application devices and must comply with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency directions, as well as California Environmental Protection Agency and Department of Pesticide Regulation label standards.

While herbicide application is not anticipated to be a primary treatment activity for this ecological restoration project, it may be used in conjunction with other treatment activities to control the colonization and spread of invasive plants following initial treatments. Herbicide treatments may occur within the bounds of treatment areas but will predominately occur near roads and where pockets of invasive species are concentrated.



Figure 6 San Simeon Point treatment units and treatment activity overlay; map not to scale



Figure 7 Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand treatment units and treatment activity overlay; map not to scale

#### **Fuel Types**

Treatments will occur predominantly in the tree fuel type as described in the Cal VTP PEIR Section 2.4.1. The dominant vegetation class within the tree fuel type is widely Closed-cone Pine-Cypress as classified by California Department of Fish and Wildlife CWHR-13 data both on San Simeon Point and at Pico Creek, with exceptions.

The forest at Pico Creek is dominated by Monterey pine and is intermixed with hardwoods and a dense understory of shrub species. Cypress is not a dominant tree species in the Pico Creek pine stand. This project will reduce fuel loading in the tree fuel type to decrease the risk of a stand-replacing fire event.

Forested stands at San Simeon Point are collectively a plantation of native (cypress and pine) and non-native (eucalyptus) trees intermixed with a variety of understory flora and shrub species.

#### Equipment

This project proposes the use of the following equipment:

Excavator/Mulcher or similar fixed with masticating head Chipping machines (tracked or non-tracked) Chainsaws and/or other mechanized tools or hand tools Haul vehicles for equipment transport Vehicles for contractor transport Fire suppression vehicles and equipment

#### **Duration of Treatments**

A proposed treatment project under the CalVTP would identify the time frame to complete the initial treatment and any anticipated maintenance. The treatment may be considered complete once either the time frame for accomplishing the treatment has concluded, the treatment objectives have been met, or the contractual agreements in place between the project proponent and landowner/land manager expire. The project proponent will consider whether additional treatment is warranted.

It is anticipated that initial treatments for this project may occur over a span of 1-3 years; however, initial treatment time frames are dependent on a number of potential unforeseen factors including, but not limited to, operation delays, production rates, funding availability, weather, natural disaster or wildfire events, contractor availability, or changes in regulatory or permitting framework.

Most treatments require maintenance; however, the maintenance interval varies widely. For example, treatment in tree-dominated vegetation types might initially involve a mechanical or manual treatment to reduce surface and ladder fuels. Following that initial activity, prescribed burning could be used at 10- to 15-year intervals to maintain the lower fuel hazard in consideration of the natural fire return interval of the vegetation community and other environmental factors as well as treatment objectives. Maintenance intervals may vary greatly and are generally related to the vegetation life form, landscape location (e.g., climate and soil types influence plant regrowth), and activity type.

Maintenance treatments may occur as needed at the discretion of the project proponent, CAL FIRE, and will be conducted under the expressed approval of the Landowner.

- 9. Treatment Types [see description in CalVTP PEIR Section 2.5.1, check every applicable category; provide detail in Description of Project]
  - Wildland-Urban Interface Fuel Reduction
  - Fuel Break
  - Ecological Restoration
- 10. **Treatment Activities** [see description in CalVTP PEIR Section 2.5.2, check every applicable category; include number of acres subject to each treatment activity, provide detail in Description of Project]
  - Prescribed (Broadcast) Burning, acres
  - Prescribed (Pile) Burning, acres
  - Mechanical Treatment, acres
  - Manual Treatment, acres
  - Prescribed Herbivory, acres
  - Herbicide Application, acres

- 11. **Fuel Type** [see description in in CalVTP PEIR Section 2.4.1, check every applicable category; provide detail in Description of Project]
  - Grass Fuel Type
  - Shrub Fuel Type
  - Tree Fuel Type
- 12. **Geographic Scope** [Refer to [to be determined] for a map of the CalVTP treatable landscape, check one box]
  - The treatment site is entirely within the CalVTP treatable landscape
  - The treatment site is NOT entirely within the CalVTP treatable landscape
- 13. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings)

#### San Simeon Point

#### Vegetation and Setting

San Simeon Point (SSP) predominantly consists of a historic Monterey pine (*Pinus* radiata), Monterey cypress (*Hesperocyparis macrocarpa*), and blue gum eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*) plantation introduced to the site in the early 1900s. While the exact date of planting is unknown, aerial imagery from 1937 (Figure 8) depicts a relatively young stand of cypress at the time, planted as a wind row along the western bluffs of SSP. The denser, seemingly more mature stand of eucalyptus delineated in orange was likely planted around the same time period, but naturally grows at a much faster rate than the more well-dispersed, native closed-cone conifer species or oaks in the region. Monterey pine is present on San Simeon point, but mostly intermixed among the more predominant species. It is assumed that the plantation was first implemented on SSP following the deconstruction of historic whaling infrastructure that once existed on the peninsula until the late 1800s.



Figure 8 San Simeon Point circa 1937 showing the planted cypress and eucalyptus groves

Figure 9 below provides a vegetation map developed in 2022 following an in-field assessment of San Simeon Point (SSP). Vegetation types were developed utilizing existing CAL FIRE's Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP) data in conjunction with the Manual of California Vegetation (MCV) maintained by the California Native Plant Society (CNPS). Naming conventions from the MCV were utilized. Primarily unconverted since the early 1900s, SSP maintains its Monterey cypress, pine, and eucalyptus components within the general footprints in which they were planted. Coastal scrub communities border the interior forested stands and are sparsely populated with shrubs and perennial grasses situated on sandy, welldrained soils. The northern, inland portion of the project area at SSP abruptly transitions into annual grasslands used as working cattle ranges.

Monterey cypress trees on SSP do not often exceed 80-100 ft in height and are densely packed in groves as ground fuel, woody material, and new growth has accumulated in these stands over time. Cypress trees towards the southern portion of SSP, as they approach the tip of the peninsula, are seemingly stunted in comparison to those growing in the northwestern region of the project area where topographic draws with deeper soils appear to be present.

Evidence of windthrow is readily observable across SSP as large accumulations of fallen material exist frequently within the project area. Ranch operations on SSP allow for cattle to roam the project area where bulls are often active in cypress and eucalyptus stands during rutting season, digging deep pits near the bases of trees in the sheltered interiors of groves.



Figure 9 San Simeon Point existing vegetation types

#### **Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand**

#### Vegetation and Setting

Proposed treatment activities at the Pico Creek Monterey pine stand (PCMP) focus on restoring one of five naturally occurring Monterey pine forests in the world to native ecological conditions for long-term forest health, systematic wildfire regimes, wildlife abundance, carbon sequestration, and resilience of are botanical alliances. Of the three native Monterey pine populations in California, the other two located in San Mateo/Santa Cruz and Monterey Counties, the rare pine forests of the Cambria/San Simeon region in San Luis Obispo County have been deemed exceptionally sensitive and in need of ecological restoration by land managers, foresters, and resource professionals for decades. The historic native range of Monterey pine once extended from its current southern extent in Cambria southward along the Pacific Coast to Mexico, where fossilized cones of the species have been discovered<sup>4</sup>, forming a connection to the species' remaining two island-based populations off the coast of the Baja Peninsula. The cones of the Monterey pine are serotinous and dependent on fire or high temperatures to release their seeds; therefore, this species is localized to fire- adapted environments where natural regeneration occurs. Commonly associated with western hardwood communities and may occur with other closed-cone pine species such as knobcone pine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://evolution.berkeley.edu/the-monterey-pine-through-geologic-time/

(*Pinus attenuata*) and bishop pine (*Pinus muricata*). Monterey pine can typically be found between 197-410 ft elevation.

The project area at Pico Creek encompasses one of the region's most intact native Monterey pine stands on the coast. Predominantly untouched by historic development, the forest at Pico Creek is situated between two coastal tributaries – Pico Creek to the south and Little Pico Creek to the north. Pico Creek splits into two forks approximately one mile upstream from its mouth, where the sinuous channel of North Fork Pico Creek forms most the eastern project boundary. The Monterey pine trees at Pico Creek do not generally exceed 100 feet in height, on average. Some dominant specimens with ample growing space; however, were observed to be as tall as 110-120 feet during in-field reconnaissance. Radial core samples extracted from representatives of the oldest, largest individuals within the project boundary produced age estimates of 80-85 years old at approximately 40-50 inches in diameter at breast height (DBH). Monterey pine trees within their native range typically reach the end of their individual life spans after 70-100 years, and rarely survive beyond 140-150 years<sup>5</sup>.

The mature pine forest at Pico Creek, like its regional cohorts, is in its decadent stages, possessing significant components of dead, dying, and diseased trees, deformed growth characteristics, varying levels of pathogenic agents, hazardous fuel accumulations in the understory and within the vertical crown structure, and excessive densities and competition among regrowth and existing flora.

On the margins of the mostly interior Monterey pine forest within the project boundary, hardwood communities, primarily comprised of coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*), form the eastern and southeastern portions of the proposed treatment area. Conifer-hardwood communities where Monterey pine is intermixed with oak populations serve as valuable habitat transition zones between the two dominant vegetation types at Pico Creek. Surrounding the forested areas and included as 2- to 8-acre patches within the interior, annual grasslands define the expansive range beyond the Monterey pines and oak woodlands.

Domestic livestock are present within the project bounds at both San Simeon Point and Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand and exist as part of Hearst Ranch's active agricultural and cattle operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.srs.fs.usda.gov/pubs/misc/ag\_654/volume\_1/pinus/radiata.htm



Figure 10 Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand existing vegetation types

#### 14. Other public agencies whose approval is required: (e.g., permits)

The proposed project is within the Coastal Zone of San Luis Obispo County, as defined by the California Coastal Act, and subject to the Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area standards required in SPR BIO-8 in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 2.7.5, 43). The *Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District Forest Health and Fire Resilience Public Works Plan* (US-LT RCD PWP) establishes Vegetative Treatment Standards for CalVTP projects within the San Luis Obispo County Coastal Zone in lieu of a coastal development permit. The PWP was developed in consultation with the California Coastal Commission and the San Luis Obispo County are designed in accordance with all county and local ordinances, policies, and regulations including, but not limited to, those outlined in the San Luis Obispo County Local Coastal Program (LCP) (Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, Chapter 5, Sections 60-64).

The San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (SLO APCD) will be consulted by CAL FIRE prior to implementing prescribed burning treatment activities. In addition to their recommendations, a burn plan and smoke management plan will be prepared by CAL FIRE prior to prescribed burn operations pursuant to SPR AQ-3. An example burn plan and smoke management plan (Appendix PD-

The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) was notified of project development and will be consulted prior to implementation of treatments and when required by applicable SPRs to ensure operations are in conformance with appropriate agency standards.

The Unites States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) will be consulted prior to implementation of treatments and when required by applicable SPRs to ensure operations are in conformance with appropriate agency standards.

The Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (CCRWQCB) was consulted during the planning phase of this project. Consultation with CCRWQCB will be contacted prior to implementation of treatments and when required by applicable SPRs to ensure operations are in conformance with appropriate agency standards.

15. **Native American Consultation**. Pursuant to PRC Sections 21080.3.1, 21080.3.2, and 21082.3, lead agencies undertaking CEQA review must, upon written request of a California Native American tribe, begin consultation before the release of an environmental impact report, negative declaration, or mitigated negative declaration. For treatment projects that require additional CEQA review and documentation, have California Native American tribes traditionally and culturally affiliated with the project area requested consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1? If so, is there a plan for consultation that includes, for example, the determination of significance of impacts to tribal cultural resources, procedures regarding confidentiality, etc.? *Note: For treatment projects that are within the scope of this PEIR, AB 52 consultation has been completed. The Board of Forestry and Fire Protection and CAL FIRE completed consultation pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.3.1 in preparation of the PEIR.* 

An archaeological records check request for the project area was sent to the Central Coast Information Center (CCIC) at UC Santa Barbara on August 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022 and fulfilled on September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2022. Due to the confidentiality of the records check, results may be available to qualified personnel upon request, see the archaeological, historical, and tribal cultural resources discussion below.

CAL FIRE Associate State Archaeologist Denise Ruzicka was consulted during the planning phase of the proposed project and visited project sites in October of 2022 to provide support during in-field archaeological surveys. In addition, a notification letter was sent to geographically affiliated tribes on September 12<sup>th</sup>, 2022, and a full Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) will be completed and submitted to the CCIC prior to project implementation.

#### 16. Use of PSA for Treatment Maintenance:

[Prior to implementing a maintenance treatment, the project proponent would verify that the expected site conditions as described in the PSA are present in the treatment area. As time passes, the continued relevance of the PSA would be considered by the project proponent in light of potentially changed conditions or circumstances. Where the project proponent determines that the PSA is no longer sufficiently relevant, the project proponent would determine whether a new PSA or other environmental analysis is warranted. In addition to verifying that the PSA continues to provide relevant CEQA coverage for treatment maintenance, the project proponent would update the PSA at the time a maintenance treatment is needed when more than 10 years have passed since the approval of the PSA or the latest PSA update. For example, the project proponent may conduct a reconnaissance survey to verify that conditions are substantially similar to those anticipated in the PSA.

Prior to retreating any area within the project boundary, the project proponent will verify that site conditions described in the PSA are still relevant. CAL FIRE's contract with the landowner is for 10 years. After 10 years, the landowner can enter into a new agreement with CAL FIRE, and a new PSA will be developed. If a new contract is not initiated, it is at the discretion of the landowner to maintain the project area if desired.

17. **Standard Project Requirements and Mitigation Measures.** [Refer to Attachment A to identify which SPRs and Mitigation Measures apply to the project. Complete Attachment A to document the responsible party for each applicable SPR and Mitigation Measure. Check one box below.]

All applicable SPRs and Mitigation Measures are feasible and will be implemented

There is NO new information which would render mitigation measures previously considered infeasible or not considered in the CalVTP PEIR now feasible OR such mitigation measures have been adopted. [Guidelines Sec.15162(a)(3); PRC Sec. 21166(c)]



All applicable SPRs and Mitigation Measures are NOT feasible or will NOT be implemented (*provide explanation*)

Explanation:

### DETERMINATION (To be completed by the project proponent)

#### On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- □ I find that all of the effects of the proposed project (a) have been analyzed adequately in the CalVTP PEIR, (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to the CalVTP PEIR, and (c) all applicable mitigation measures and Standard Project Requirements identified in the CalVTP PEIR will be implemented. The proposed project is therefore **WITHIN THE SCOPE** of the CalVTP PEIR. NO ADDITIONAL CEQA DOCUMENTATION is required.
- □ I find that the proposed project will have effects that were not examined in the CalVTP PEIR. These effects are less than significant without any mitigation beyond what is already required pursuant to the CalVTP PEIR. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find that the proposed project will have effects that were not examined in the CalVTP PEIR. Although these effects might be significant in the absence of additional mitigation beyond what is already required pursuant to the CalVTP PEIR, revisions to the proposed project or additional mitigation measures have been agreed to by the project proponent that would avoid or reduce the effects so that clearly no significant effects would occur. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- □ I find that the proposed project will have environmental effects that were not examined in the CalVTP PEIR. Because these effects are or may be significant and cannot be clearly mitigated, an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT will be prepared.

Signature:		Date:
Printed Name:	Title:	
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION CAL FIRE		
Agency		

### EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1. A brief explanation is required for each Impact, Standard Project Requirement (SPR) and Mitigation Measure (MM) identified in the Project-Specific Analysis Checklist (PSA Checklist). The information provides clarity for review and/or provides direction to the field staff that will implement the project utilizing the checklist (persons familiar with the project and preparation of the document may be different through the life span of the document). Answers should consider whether the proposed project would result in new or more substantial environmental effects than described in the CalVTP PEIR, after incorporation of applicable SPRs and MM required by the CalVTP PEIR.
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and short-term as well as long-term impacts. Refer to the applicable resource analysis section in the CalVTP PEIR for each environmental topic.
- 3. Once the project proponent has evaluated the environmental effect that may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is:

(Definitions located in Chapter 3 – "Environmental Settings, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures,

- 3.1.4 Terminology Used In the PEIR")
  - <u>Less Than Significant (LTS)</u> An impact either on its own or with incorporation of SPRs, does not exceed the defined thresholds of significance (no mitigation required), or that is potentially significant and can be reduced to less than significant through implementation of feasible mitigation measures.
  - Less Than Significant with Mitigation (LTSM) An impact was identified within the PEIR which was viewed in totality as potentially significant and/or significantly unavoidable and the mitigation measures and SPRs and MMs provided in the PEIR will be implemented mitigating to a point of less than significance.
  - <u>Potential Significant (PS)</u> An impact treated as if it were a significant impact. "Potentially" is used to convey that not every qualifying treatment will result in impacts to the reasonably maximum degree that they are disclosed in this PEIR.
  - <u>Potentially Significant and unavoidable (PSU)</u> An impact is considered significant and unavoidable if it would result in a substantial adverse change in the environment that cannot be feasibly avoided or mitigated to a less-than-significant level. "Potentially" is used to convey that not every qualifying treatment will result in impacts to the reasonably maximum degree that they are disclosed in this PEIR
  - <u>Significantly Unavoidable (SU)</u> An impact is considered significant and unavoidable if it would result in a substantial adverse change in the environment that cannot be feasibly avoided or mitigated to a less-than-significant level.
  - Not applicable (N/A)

If the impact is equal to or less than the impact identified in the PEIR, the PEIR can be utilized without a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration or EIR. If there are one or more entries where the impact is evaluated to be greater than the impact in the PEIR, additional documentation is required.

- 4. Where a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration is required, the environmental review would be guided by the directions for use of the PEIR with later activities in Section 15168. Where an EIR is required, the environmental review would be guided by Sections 15162 and 15163. When preparing any environmental document, the environmental analysis may incorporate by reference the analysis from the CalVTP PEIR and focus the environmental analysis solely on issues that were not addressed in the CalVTP PEIR.
- Project proponents should incorporate into the PSA checklist references to information sources for potential impacts. Include a list of references cited in the PSA and make copies of such references available to the public upon request.

- 6. Standard Project Requirements (SPR) and Mitigations Measures (MM).
  - **Applicable (Yes/No).** Document whether the SPR or mitigation measure is applicable to the project (Yes or No). The applicability should be substantiated in the Environmental Checklist Discussion.
  - **Implementing Entity**. Most cases this will be CAL FIRE. The implementing entity is the individual or organization responsible for carrying out the requirement. This could include the project proponent's project manager, a technical specialist (e.g., archeologist or biologist), a vegetation management contractor, a partner agency or organization, or other entities that are primarily responsible for carrying out each project requirement.
  - Verifying/Monitoring Entity. Most cases this will be CAL FIRE. The verifying/monitoring entity is the individual or organization responsible for ensuring that the requirement is implemented. The verifying/monitoring entity may be different from the implementing entity.
  - **NOTE**: the cited SPRs and MMs are summarized to manage the templet's size. Refer to the approved CaIVTP language attached for the full list of requirements.

Board of Forestry and Fire Protection Program EIR for the California Vegetation Treatment Program

# EC-1: AESTHETICS AND VISUAL RESOURCES

	PEIR specific			Project specific		
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact AES-1: Result in Short-Term, Substantial Degradation of a Scenic Vista or Visual Character or Quality of Public Views, or Damage to Scenic Resources in a State Scenic Highway from Treatment Activities	Impact AES-1, 3.2	LTS	<u>SPR AES</u> - 2 <u>SPR AQ</u> - 2, 3 <u>SPR REC</u> -1	Yes	LTS	$\boxtimes$

The project area is entirely on private property and divided between two sites, both of which are visible from California State Route 1 (HWY 1) – an officially designated California State Scenic Highway. During project implementation, the project sites will not be accessible to the public and no public recreational trails exist within the project areas or public viewsheds. Treatment zones proposed at Pico Creek are situated at a minimum of 1,200 feet from HWY 1 and occur on private property inaccessible to the public. Public access to San Simeon Point will be temporarily suspended while treatment operations occur on the site. Project work may be visible from San Simeon Road in Old San Simeon Village during implementation, but treatments within this viewshed are designed to reduce dead fuel loads and the overaccumulation of downed woody material within non-native eucalyptus stands, ultimately enhancing the overall aesthetic of these groves.

Potential for the proposed treatment type to result in short-term degradation of the visual character of an area was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.2.3, 16-19). Project equipment may temporarily travel along HWY 1 and San Simeon Road during implementation of the proposed treatments. Most of the proposed work will occur in dense forested stands, outside of the viewshed of travelers on HWY 1.

Smoke generated from prescribed burning activities would not result in substantial short-term aesthetic impacts as burning would be temporary. Per SPR AES-2, equipment would be staged outside of viewsheds of public trails, parks, recreation areas, and roadways to the extent feasible. Prescribed burn activities will predominantly occur outside of the public viewshed and within the interior of project treatment areas.

Based on the implementation of the applicable SPRs and the nature of the treatment types, the potential for this project to result in short-term substantial degradation of the visual character of the project site or damage to scenic resources would be less than significant.

The project area is entirely on private property and divided between two sites, both of which are visible from California State Route 1 (HWY 1) – an officially designated California State Scenic Highway. During project implementation, the project sites will not be accessible to the public and trails within the public viewshed will be temporarily closed during operations for public safety. Treatment zones proposed at Pico Creek are situated at a minimum of 1,200 feet from HWY 1 and occur on private property inaccessible to the public. Public access to San Simeon Point will be temporarily suspended while treatment operations occur on the site. Project work may be visible from San Simeon Road in Old San Simeon Village; however, by way of implementation of SPR AES-1 and SPR AES-3, edges of the treatment area will be feathered, and sufficient vegetation will be preserved to screen treatments from public view where feasible.

Work occurring on San Simeon Point, where public access is granted throughout most of the year, will be predominantly focused on the reduction of dead, dying, and diseased material and excessive downed woody debris that provides hazardous fuel loads and barriers to wildlife. Treatment activities proposed on San Simeon Point are designed to enhance the overall aesthetic and visual character of its non-native forest stands, promote wildlife usage, and maintain public access and safety on this scenic vista.

Potential for the proposed treatment type to result in short-term degradation of the visual character of an area was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.2.3, 20-22). Project equipment may temporarily travel along HWY 1 and San Simeon Road during implementation of the proposed treatments. Most of the proposed work will occur in dense forested stands, outside of the viewshed of travelers on HWY 1.

Smoke generated from prescribed burning activities would not result in substantial short-term aesthetic impacts as burning would be temporary. Per SPR AES-2, equipment would be staged outside of viewsheds of public trails, parks, recreation areas, and roadways to the extent feasible. Prescribed burn activities will predominantly occur outside of the public viewshed and within the interior of project treatment areas.

Based on the implementation of the applicable SPRs and the nature of the treatment types, the potential for this project to result in short-term substantial degradation of the visual character of the project site or damage to scenic resources would be less than significant.

<b>Impact AES-3</b> : Result in Long-Term Substantial Degradation of a Scenic Vista or Visual Character or Quality of Public Views, or Damage to Scenic Resources in a State Scenic Highway from the Non-Shaded Fuel Break Treatment Type	Impact AES-3, 3.2	SU	<u>MM AES</u> - 3	No	N/A	
The proposed project does not involve a Non-Shaded Fuel Break Treatment Type						
Other Impacts to Aesthetics: Would the project result in other impacts to aesthetics that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?				No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities considered in the CalVTP PEIR. The project proponent has evaluated and considered site specific characteristics to determine that the project treatments are consistent with the CalVTP PEIR's environmental and regulatory settings (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the CalVTP PEIR. The project related to aesthetics and visual resources would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.						

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
<b>SPR AES-1 Vegetation Thinning and Edge Feathering:</b> This SPR only applies to mechanical and manual treatment activities within all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
<b>SPR AES-2 Avoid Staging within Viewsheds:</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
<b>SPR AES-3 Provide Vegetation Screening:</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
MM AES-3: Conduct Visual Reconnaissance for Non-Shaded Fuel Breaks and Relocate or Feather and Screen Publicly Visible Non-Shaded Fuel Breaks	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE
This project does not propose non-shaded fuel break treatments.			

# EC-2: AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES

	PEIR specific		Project specific			
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
<b>Impact AG-1:</b> Result Directly in the Loss of Forest Land or Conversion of Forest Land to a Non-Forest Use or Involve Other Changes in the Existing Environment Which, Due to Their Location or Nature, Could Result in Conversion of Forest Land to Non-Forest Use	Impact AG-1, 3.3	LTS	N/A	Yes	LTS	

The Ecological Restoration Treatment Type being proposed for this project will involve vegetation removal predominantly within forested areas designated as the tree fuel type. The potential for the proposed treatment to result in the loss of forest land was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.3.3, page 7-8). Treatments Activities proposed for this project include mechanical mulching/mastication, manual treatments, broadcast and pile burning, and limited herbicide use - none of which will reduce forest land to less than 10% native tree cover of any species or result in the conversion of forest land to non-forest use, as defined by CA PRC Section 12220(g).

Vegetation management has the potential to improve the forest stand conditions by removing competitive vegetation and introducing low-impact disturbance to the forest floor, allowing scarification and natural germination of disturbance-dependent tree species. Beneficial long-term effects resulting in increased natural regeneration of Monterey pine, oak, and associated botanical alliances are expected to occur. Stocking levels will be maintained well above 10% tree cover.

Activities and treatments proposed in this plan are not designed to result in the loss of forest land or convert forest land to non-forest types. Proposed treatments do not remove trees for commercial purposes and do not remove live trees established in the overstory canopy due to the 8-inch diameter at breast height (DBH) limitation in the treatment prescription. Treatments are designed to retain dominant vegetation types, promote existing ecosystem habitat value, and avoid conversion of forest land to non-forest land.

Although this project proposes the removal of understory vegetation and ladder fuels, treatments would improve the health and vigor of the forest and develop conditions more resilient to climate change and natural disturbance events. Based on the treatment activities and beneficial results of the proposed project, no forestland, timberland, or farmland will be converted, and any impact would be less than significant.

Other Impacts to Agriculture and Forest Resources: Would the	No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
project result in other impacts to agriculture and forest resources that			
are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?			

The proposed project treatment is consistent with the treatments and activities that are considered in the CalVTP PEIR. The project proponent has considered the site-specific characteristics of the proposed project and determined that they are consistent with the environmental and regulatory settings stated in the CalVTP PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.3.1 and 3.3.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to agriculture and forestry resources would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.

# EC-3: AIR QUALITY

	PEIR specific		Project specific			
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
<b>Impact AQ-1</b> : Generate Emissions of Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors During Treatment Activities that would exceed CAAQS or NAAQS	Impact AQ-1, 3.4	PSU	<u>SPR AD</u> - 4 <u>SPR AQ</u> - 2, 6 <u>MM AQ</u> - 1	Yes	LTSM	

Use of vehicles, mechanical equipment, and prescribed burning during treatments would result in emissions of criteria pollutants that could exceed CAAQS or NAAQS thresholds. Emissions of criteria air pollutants related to the proposed treatment are within the scope of the impacts addressed in the PEIR (CaIVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.4.3, page 26-33) because the proposed activities, as well as the associated equipment and duration of use, are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

The emission reduction techniques outline in Mitigation Measure AQ-1 have been reviewed by the project proponent, CAL FIRE, and will be implemented prior to, during, and following treatment operations to the extent feasible. These techniques may include, but are not limited to, substituting the use of gasoline-powered equipment for diesel-powered equipment and encouraging carpooling to the project site.

*Diesel-powered off-road equipment used for treatment operations will meet EPA's Tier 4 emission standards as defined in 40 CFR 1039 and comply with the exhaust emission test procedures and provisions of 40 CFR Parts 1065 and 1068 to the extent feasible.* 

Impact AQ-2: Expose People to Diesel Particulate Matter Emissions and Related Health Risk	Impact AQ-2, 3.4	LTS	<u>SPR HAZ</u> - 1 <u>SPR NOI</u> - 4 <u>SPR NOI</u> - 5	Yes	LTS	
---	------------------------	-----	--	-----	-----	--

The use of vehicles and mechanical equipment during initial and maintenance treatments could expose people to diesel particulate matter emissions. The potential to expose people to diesel particulate matter was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.4.3, page 33-34).

The proposed treatments will occur over a short duration and would not occur next to the same people for an extended period of time. The proposed treatments comply with SPR AQ-1, HAZ-1, NOI-4, and NOI-5, which requires compliance with all applicable air quality regulations, equipment to be maintained, activities and staging areas to be located away from human receptors and restricts equipment idling time. Diesel particulate matter emissions from the proposed project and its impacts are within the scope of the PEIR and treatment activities are consistent with those addressed in the PEIR.

There are no changes in circumstances that would occur in the proposed project would remain less than significant.	that were n	ot evaluate	d in the PEIR; a	therefore, tl	he impacts of this	project		
Impact AQ-3: Expose People to Fugitive Dust Emissions Containing Naturally Occurring Asbestos and Related Health Risk	Impact AQ-3, 3.4	LTS	<u>SPR AQ</u> - 4, 5	No	N/A			
This impact does not apply to this proposed treatment because no naturally occupublished by the California Geologic Survey. Therefore, no impact will occur in re	urring asbes elation to fug	stos appear. gitive dust e	s to be located missions cont	l in the trea aining natu	tment areas per r rally occurring as	naps bestos.		
Impact AQ-4: Expose People to Toxic Air Contaminants Emitted by Prescribed Burns and Related Health Risk	Impact AQ-4, 3.4	PSU	<u>SPR AD</u> - 4 <u>SPR AQ</u> - 2, 6	Yes	PSU			
Prescribed broadcast and pile burn treatments could expose people to toxic air contaminants. The duration and parameters of the prescribed burn treatments are within the scope of the activities addressed in the PEIR; therefore, the potential for exposure to toxic air contaminants is also within the scope of impacts covered in the PEIR. The project proponent, CAL FIRE, will prepare a burn plan (Appendix PD-2) and consult with San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (SLO APCD) prior to the implementation of prescribed burn treatment activities. All feasible measures to prevent and minimize smoke emissions as well as exposure to smoke are included in SPRs. No additional mitigation measures are feasible, and this impact would remain potentially significant and unavoidable, as explained in the PEIR.								
Impact AQ-5: Expose People to Objectionable Odors from Diesel Exhaust	Impact AQ-5, 3.4	LTS	<u>SPR HAZ</u> - 1 <u>SPR NOI</u> - 4, 5	Yes	LTS			
The use of vehicles and mechanical equipment during initial and maintenance treatments may expose human receptors to the objectional odors from diesel exhaust. The potential to expose human receptors to diesel exhaust was analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.4.3, 37-38). The release of objectional odors from diesel exhaust during proposed treatments is within the scope of the impacts stated in the PEIR because the treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. This project will comply with the following applicable SPRs to minimize the potential for impacts on diesel exhaust exposure: properly maintain all diesel and gasoline-powered equipment (HAZ-1), stage all equipment as far as possible from noise-sensitive receptors (NOI-4), and restrict equipment idle time (NOI-5). The implementation of these SPRs will reduce the amount of exhaust emissions produced by equipment by restricting idle time. Based on the staging area location requirements, suspension of public access, potential road closures, operation limitations, and equipment maintenance								
it is likely that the impacts of this project will remain less than significant.								
Impact AQ-6: Expose People to Objectionable Odors from Smoke During Prescribed Burning	Impact AQ-6, 3.4	PSU	<u>SPR AD</u> - 4 <u>SPR AQ</u> - 2, 6	Yes	PSU			

Prescribed broadcast and pile burn treatments could expose people to objectionable odors. The duration and parameters of the pile and burn treatments are within the scope of the activities addressed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.4.3, 37-38); therefore, the resultant potential for exposure to objectionable odors from smoke is also within the scope of impacts covered in the PEIR. The project proponent, CAL FIRE, will prepare a burn plan (Appendix PD-2) and consult with San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (SLO APCD) prior to the implementation of prescribed burn treatment activities.

All feasible measures to prevent and minimize smoke odors as well as exposure to smoke odors are included in SPRs. No additional mitigation measures are feasible, and this impact would remain potentially significant and unavoidable, as explained in the PEIR.

Other Impacts to Air Quality: Would the project result in other			No	N/A	$\square$
impacts to air quality that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?					

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR. The project proponent, CAL FIRE, has considered the site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and will pursue consistency with the regulatory standards of San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District prior to implementation of treatment activities.

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR. The project proponent has considered the sitespecific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined that they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental settings as stated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.4.1 and 3.4.2).

No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to air quality would occur that is not analyzed in the PEIR.

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity							
SPR AQ-1 Comply with Air Quality Regulations: This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
CAL FIRE policy requires all vegetation treatments utilizing prescribed broadcast and pile burning will comply with air quality regulations for their air district. A Smoke Management Plan (Appendix PD-2) will be submitted to the San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District (SLO APCD) prior to burning and a burn permit from the SLO APCD will be obtained.										
<b>SPR AQ-2 Submit Smoke Management Plan:</b> This SPR applies only to prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior	CAL FIRE							
The project proponent, CAL FIRE, will develop a smoke management plan (Appendix PD-2) to be reviewed by the Control District (SLO APCD) prior to implementation of prescribed burning treatment activities. An example CAL included as Attachment H.	San Luis Obi FIRE burn plo	ispo County Air Pollı an/SMP template ha	ition Is been							
---	-------------------------------	---	------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>SPR AQ-3 Create Burn Plan:</b> The project proponent will create a burn plan using the CAL FIRE burn plan template for all prescribed burns. This SPR applies only to prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior	CAL FIRE							
The project proponent, CAL FIRE, will develop a comprehensive burn plan (Appendix PD-2) prior to implementation of prescribed burning treatment activities. An example CAL FIRE burn plan/SMP template has been included as Attachment H.										
SPR AQ-4 Minimize Dust: This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE							
Measures within SPR AQ-4 will be implemented to minimize dust during treatments.		<u> </u>								
<b>SPR AQ-5 Avoid Naturally Occurring Asbestos:</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE							
There is no naturally occurring asbestos mapped within the treatment area per USGS publications.										
<b>SPR AQ-6: Prescribed Burn Safety Procedures:</b> Prescribed burns will follow all safety procedures required of CAL FIRE crew, including the implementation of an approved Incident Action Plan (IAP).	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
CAL FIRE requires the burn boss to prepare an incident action plan which identifies burn dates; burn hours; weather limitations; specific burn prescription; communication plan; medical plan; traffic plan; and other special instructions. The Incident Action Plan will also identify personnel to coordinate with the local air district (SLO APCD) for onsite briefings, posting notifications, and weather monitoring during burn treatments.										
MM AQ-1: Implement On-Road Vehicle and Off-Road Equipment Exhaust Emission Reduction Techniques Where feasible, project proponents will implement emission reduction techniques to reduce exhaust emissions from off-road equipment.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE							
The emission reduction techniques outline in Mitigation Measure AQ-1 have been reviewed by the project proponent, CAL FIRE, and will be implemented prior to, during, and following treatment operations to the extent feasible. These techniques may include, but are not limited to, substituting the use of gasoline-powered equipment for diesel-powered equipment and encouraging carpooling to the project site.										

Board of Forestry and Fire Protection Program EIR for the California Vegetation Treatment Program

# EC-4: ARCHEOLOGICAL, HISTORICAL, AND TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES

	PEIR specific			Project specific		
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact CUL-1: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of Built Historical Resources	Impact CUL-1, 3.5	LTS	<u>SPR CUL</u> - 1, 7, 8	No	N/A	$\boxtimes$

Initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical and pile and burning treatment activities that utilize heavy equipment and will result in ground disturbance. The potential for these treatments to cause a substantial adverse change in significance to built historical resources was analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.5.3, page 14-15). The potential to change the significance of built historical resources during project operations is within the scope of the PEIR because the treatment activities and level of disturbance are consistent with those addressed in the PEIR. Applicable SPRs will be implemented and require the following: an archaeological and historical resource records search will be conducted (SPR CUL-1), identified built historic resources will be avoided through the implementation of a 100 foot buffer for mechanical treatment activities (SPR CUL-7) until a qualified archaeologist or CAL FIRE archeological trained Registered Professional Forester is contacted and determines the significance of the find, and all crew members and contractors will be trained on the protection of sensitive archaeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources and avoidance measures for encountered or discovered archaeological resources (SPR CUL-8).

No built historic resources were observed within proposed treatment areas during ground surveys. An Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) will be developed for this project and sensitive resources will be protected pursuant to SPR CUL-5 and consultation with a CAL FIRE Associate State Archaeologist.

Impact CUL-2: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of Unique Archaeological Resources or Subsurface	Impact CUL-2, 3.5	SU	<u>SPR CUL</u> - 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 MM CUL- 2	Yes	SU	$\boxtimes$
Historical Resources			<u></u>			

Initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical mastication and prescribed burning treatment activities that utilize heavy equipment and will result in ground disturbance. The potential for these treatment activities to result in inadvertent discovery of unique archaeological resources or subsurface historical resources was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.5.3, page 15-16).

The potential for there to be an inadvertent discovery of unique archaeological resources or subsurface historical resources is within the scope of the activities and impacts discussed in the PEIR because the treatment activities and the extent of ground disturbance of the treatment project are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. The project proponent will implement SPR CUL-1 through CUL-5 and CUL-8 to minimize the risk of inadvertently damaging or discovering unknown resources during treatment activities. The applicable SPRs require the following: an archaeological and historical resource records search will be conducted (SPR CUL-1), all geographically affiliated California Native American Tribes will be notified of the treatment activities (SPR CUL-2), pre-field research will be conducted (SPR CUL-3), a site-specific archaeological survey will be conducted and survey reports will be completed (SPR CUL-4),

consultation with culturally affiliated tribes will occur if cultural resources are identified and cannot be avoided to develop protection measures for the resource(s) (SPR CUL-5), and all crew members and contractors will be trained on the protection of sensitive archaeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources and avoidance measures for encountered or discovered archaeological resources (SPR CUL-8).

*Mitigation Measure CUL-2 will also be implemented to further minimize impacts on unknown unique archaeological or subsurface historical resources by ceasing all activities within 100 feet of the discovered resource(s) until a qualified archaeologist is contacted and determines the significance of the find.* 

Although the implementation of the protocol and avoidance measures, SPRs, and mitigation measure will reduce the risks of this impact, unknown resources could be inadvertently damaged. Therefore, this impact would remain significant and unavoidable, as stated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.5.3, page 16).

Impact CUL-3: Cause a Substantial Adverse Change in the Significance of a Tribal Cultural Resource		Impact CUL-3, 3.5	LTS	<u>SPR CUL</u> - 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8	Yes	LTS	
--	--	----------------------	-----	---	-----	-----	--

Initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical and pile and burning treatment activities that utilize heavy equipment and will result in ground disturbance. The potential for treatment activities to cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resources was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.5.3, page 16-17).

The potential for adverse effects to tribal cultural resources during implementation of the treatment project is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the treatment activities and level of ground disturbance are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. The implementation of SPR CUL-1 through CUL-6 and CUL-8 would minimize the potential for impacting tribal cultural resources. The applicable SPRs require the following: an archaeological and historical resource records search will be conducted (SPR CUL-1), all geographically affiliated California Native American Tribes will be notified of the treatment activities (SPR CUL-2), pre-field research will be conducted (SPR CUL-3), a site-specific archaeological survey will be conducted and survey reports will be completed (SPR CUL-4), consultation with culturally affiliated tribes will occur if cultural resources are identified and cannot be avoided to develop protection measures for the resource(s) (SPR CUL-5), consultation with geographically affiliated tribes will occur if cultural resources are identified in the treatment areas to develop protection measures for the resource(s) (SPR CUL-6), and all crew members and contractors will be trained on the protection of sensitive archaeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources and avoidance measures for encountered or discovered archaeological resources (SPR CUL-8).

An information request letter and NAHC notification was sent out to geographically affiliated tribes on September 12<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

Based on the implementation of the applicable SPRs and the results from consulting with geographically affiliated tribes, it is likely that this project's potential to create an adverse change in the significance of tribal cultural resources is less than significant.

California Department of Forestry & Fire Prevention

Impact CUL-4: Disturb Human Remains	Impact CUL-4, 3.5	LTS	N/A	Yes	LTS	$\boxtimes$			
Initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical treatments utilizing heavy equipment, which may result in ground disturbing activities. The potential for treatment activities to uncover human remains was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.5.3, page 17).									
The potential for human remains to be uncovered during the implementation of addressed in the PEIR because the treatment activities and the level of ground a PEIR, this project would comply with the California Health and Safety Code Sectu remains are discovered, there shall be no further disturbance of the site and the Archaeologist and the San Luis Obispo County Coroner's Office will be notified in Based on this project's compliance with the California Health and Safety Code S discovered human remains is expected to be less than significant.	f the treatmer listurbance ar ions 7050.5 ar e human remo mmediately. T Sections 7050.	nt project is e consistent nd 7052 and ains shall be here are no 5 and 7052	within the sc t with those c d PRC Section e left undistu SPRs or MM in addition to	ope of the a malyzed in t 5097, which rbed. Furthe s for this imp o PRC Sectio	ctivities and impo he PEIR. As stated h indicate that if I rmore, a CAL FIRI pact. n 5097, any impo	acts I in the human E act to			
Other Impacts to Archeological, Historical, and Tribal Cultural Resources: Would the project result in other impacts to archeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?				No	N/A				
The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities considered in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered the site-specific characteristics of the treatment project and determined they are consistent with the environmental and regulatory setting conditions discussed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.5.1 and 3.5.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to archaeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources would occur that is not addressed in the PEIR.									

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity							
<b>SPR CUL-1 Conduct Record Search:</b> For treatments led by CAL FIRE, an archaeological and historical resource record search will be conducted per the "Archaeological Review Procedures for CAL FIRE Projects" (current edition dated 2010). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior	<u>CAL FIRE</u>							
An archaeological records check request for the project areas was sent to the Central Coast Information Center 2022 and fulfilled on September 6 <sup>th</sup> , 2022.	(CCIC) at UC	Santa Barbara on Al	ıgust 26 <sup>th</sup> ,							
<b>SPR CUL-2 Contact Geographically Affiliated Native American Tribes:</b> The project proponent will obtain the latest Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) provided Native Americans Contact List, which may be obtained from the CAL FIRE website, as appropriate. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
CAL FIRE Associate State Archaeologist Denise Ruzicka was consulted during the planning phase of the proposed project and visited project sites in October of 2022 to provide support during in-field archaeological surveys. In addition, a notification letter was sent to geographically affiliated tribes on September 12 <sup>th</sup> , 2022 (utilizing the July 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2022, Native Americans Contact List) and a full Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) will be completed and submitted to the CCIC prior to project implementation. Comments and concerns received from geographically affiliated tribes will be addressed and included in the ASR.										
<b>SPR-CUL-3 Pre-field Research:</b> The project proponent will conduct research prior to implementing treatments as part of the cultural resource investigation. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior	CAL FIRE							
Pre-field research has been completed as part of completing a full Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) to be submitted to CAL FIRE and the CCIC prior to treatment implementation.										
<b>SPR CUL-4 Archaeological Surveys:</b> The project proponent will coordinate with an archaeologically trained resource professional or qualified archaeologist to conduct a site-specific survey of the treatment area. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
Archaeological surveys of the project sites have been conducted as part of completing a full Archaeological Surv and the CCIC prior to treatment implementation. In addition, in-field archaeological surveys will be conducted t operations.	ey Report (As hroughout th	SR) to be submitted t ne duration of treatm	o CAL FIRE nent							

<b>SPR CUL-5 Treatment of Archaeological Resources:</b> If cultural resources are identified within a treatment area, and cannot be avoided, a qualified archaeologist will notify the culturally affiliated tribe(s) based on information provided by NAHC and assess, whether an archaeological find qualifies as a unique archaeological resource, an historical resource, or in coordination with said tribe(s), as a tribal cultural resource. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
The implementation of this SPR will minimize impacts to archaeological cultural resources discovered during op	erations.									
<b>SPR CUL-6 Treatment of Tribal Cultural Resources:</b> If a tribal cultural resource is identified within a treatment area, and cannot be avoided, the project proponent in consultation the culturally affiliated tribe(s), will develop effective protection measures for important tribal cultural resources located within treatment areas. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
The implementation of this SPR will minimize impacts to archaeological cultural resources discovered during op	erations.									
<b>SPR CUL-7 Avoid Built Historical Resources:</b> If the records search identifies built historical resources, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines, the project proponent will avoid these resources. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE							
Neither the records search nor ground surveys identified any built historical resources within the project area. However, if a built historical resource is discovered during ongoing archaeological surveys throughout operations, operations will cease within proximity to the resource site and appropriate protection measures will be implemented.										
Built historic resources are present outside of but adjacent to the treatment areas at San Simeon Point in the form of infrastructure that makes up Old San Simeon Village (OSSV). Proper measures and diligence will be implemented to ensure project activities do not adversely affect built historic resources in proximity to the project site.										
<b>SPR CUL-8 Cultural Resource Training:</b> The project proponent will train all crew members and contractors implementing treatment activities on the protection of sensitive archaeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE							
The implementation of this SPR will reduce the risk of operations resulting in an impact to sensitive archaeologic	cal, historica	l, or tribal cultural r	esources.							
MM CUL-2: Protect Inadvertent Discoveries of Unique Archaeological Resources or Subsurface Historical Resources If any prehistoric or historic-era subsurface archaeological features or deposits, including locally darkened soil ("midden"), that could conceal cultural deposits, are discovered during ground- disturbing activities, all ground-disturbing activity within 100 feet of the resources will be halted and	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE							

a qualified professional archaeologist or CAL FIRE archeological trained Registered Professional			
Forester will assess the significance of the find.			
This project proposes mechanical and manual treatments that may result in light ground disturbance. Impleme	ntation of th	is SPR will minimize	potential
improved to subsurface resources that may be discovered during exercises. Majority of the ground surface with	in trantmant	areas is same ad with	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

impacts to subsurface resources that may be discovered during operations. Majority of the ground surface within treatment areas is capped with a 3-6 layer of organic material/duff on average. Impacts to subsurface archaeological resources is not expected.

## EC-5: BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

	PEIR specific			Pro	oject specific	
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact BIO-1: Substantially Affect Special-Status Plant Species Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications	Impact BIO-1, 3.6	PS	<u>SPR BIO-</u> 1, 2, 7, 9 <u>SPR AQ-</u> 3, 4, <u>SPR GEO-</u> 1, 3, 4, 5, 7 <u>SPR HYD-</u> 5 <u>MM BIO-</u> 1a, 1b, 1c	Yes	LTSM	

Proposed initial and maintenance treatments involve the use of mechanized equipment, handwork, prescribed broadcast and/or pile burning, and may include limited, selective herbicide application which could result in direct or indirect adverse effects to special-status plant species due to the project areas containing potentially suitable habitat for some listed and non-listed species. The potential for adverse effects to special status plants is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the activities and level of disturbance as a result of implementing treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

Mechanical treatments, prescribed burning, and herbicide application may directly or indirectly impact special-status species; however, the removal of understory vegetation and invasive species will promote the regeneration of native species that support a healthier residual forest. SPRs applicable to this project include SPR BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-9, GEO-1, GEO-3, GEO-4, GEO-5, and GEO-7.

No listed or non-listed special-status plants are known to exist within the property or project boundary except for Monterey pine (Pinus radiata), which is a non-listed special-status tree species ranked as 1B.1 under the CNPS California Rare Plant Ranking system; Monterey Pine Forest possesses a State Rarity Rank of S1.1. Residual effects of treatments to non-listed special-status plant species will be less than significant after implementation of MM BIO-1b and applicable SPRs as the treatments will be designed to maintain and conditionally enhance the function of the special-status species plant habitat. If special-status and conditionally enhance the function of the special-status species plant habitat.

status species are found within the project area per the recommendation of a qualified RPF or biologist following a reconnaissance-level survey per the application of SPR BIO-1 (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.6, 119), Mitigation Measure BIO-1b will be implemented for prescribed burning, manual treatments, and mechanical treatments to avoid loss of special-status plants as described in the PEIR.

Initial and maintenance treatments will not result in the unavoidable loss of special-status plants. The treatments proposed for this project are focused on hazardous fuels reduction and ecological restoration of the Monterey pine forest, to promote a healthy and resilient residual stand.

Selective removal of special-status species will occur within the Coastal Zone Monterey pine Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area (ESHA) of Monterey pine trees up to 8" DBH or trees over 8" DBH that are determined to be dead, dying, or diseased, hazardous to the public or infrastructure, or planned for removal to meet residual tree spacing and density standards. However, based on the ecological restoration treatments proposed for this project, applicable SPRs and Mitigation Measures, and the determination of qualified RPFs, it is expected that any impacts to special-status plant species will be less than significant with mitigation and will likely improve overall habitat conditions for Monterey pine.

	Impact BIO-2, 3.6	PS/SU	<u>SPR BIO-</u> 1, 2, 3, 4,	Yes	LTSM	$\boxtimes$
			5, 8, 10, 11			
			SPR HYD-			
			1, 3, 4, 5			
Impact BIO-2: Substantially Affect Special-Status Wildlife Species			SPR HAZ-			
Either Directly or Through Habitat Modifications			5, 6			
			MM BIO-			
			2a, 2b, 2c,			
			2d, 2e, 2f,			
			2g, 2h, 3a,			
			3b, 3c, 4			

Proposed initial and maintenance treatments involve the use of mechanized equipment, handwork, prescribed broadcast and/or burning, and may include limited/selective herbicide application which could result in direct or indirect adverse effects to special-status plant species due to the project areas containing potentially suitable habitat for some listed and non-listed species. The potential for adverse effects to special status wildlife is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the activities and level of disturbance as a result of implementing treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. Mechanical treatments and herbicide application will result in reduced understory vegetation that may modify preferred habitats for some species, however, it will promote a healthier, native residual forest habitat. SPR BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-3, BIO-4, BIO-5, BIO-9, GEO-1, HAZ-5, HAZ-6, HYD-1, HYD-4, and HYD-5 will be implemented to minimize impacts, however, the mitigation measures listed below would need to be implemented to reduce impact significance.

Implementing the proposed treatments for this project will create a mosaic of diverse wildlife habitat including, but not limited to, additional edge habitat between dense and sparsely vegetated areas, habitat corridors through increased spacing of residual trees, and the recruitment of new wildlife species that occupy treated landscapes (Kennedy & Fontaine, 2009).

The applicable SPRs require the following: biological resources will be reviewed and surveyed (SPR BIO-1), crew members and contractors will be trained on applicable biological resources (SPR BIO-2), if sensitive natural communities or habitats cannot be avoided, then a protocol-level survey will be conducted to identify and map the limits of the potentially sensitive area (SPR BIO-3), treatments will be designed to avoid loss or degradation of riparian habitat function including retaining a minimum of 75% overstory and 50% understory canopy (SPR BIO-4), implement mitigations to prevent the spread of invasive plants, noxious weeds, and invasive wildlife (SPR BIO-9), suspend mechanical and herbicide treatments during heavy precipitation (SPR GEO-1), develop a Spill Prevention and Response Plan (SPR HAZ-5), obtain all required licensing and permitting for herbicide application through the San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Commissioner's office (SPR HAZ-6), comply with water quality regulations including vegetation and land disturbance related Waste Discharge Requirements (SPR HYD-1), identify and protect WLPZ's (SPR HYD-4), and protect non-target vegetation and special-status species from herbicides (SPR HYD-5).

A CNDDB BIOS query resulted in 21 special-status wildlife species within a 9-quadrangle vicinity surrounding the project property. Of the 21 special-status wildlife species identified, 1 species is known to occur or have a record of occurring within the project area or project property – monarch butterfly.

Mitigation Measures BIO-2a, BIO-2b, BIO-2c, BIO-2g, BIO-3a, BIO-3b, and BIO-3c will be applied based on the life history groupings to minimize residual impacts after the application of the SPRs. An analysis of the potential impact on each special-status wildlife species that may occur within 5 miles of the project property boundaries has been completed and can be found in Attachment C.

Field reconnaissance and comprehensive biological surveys will have been conducted within the project area prior to operations to determine occupancy and identify additional potential impacts to special-status wildlife species by biologist Kevin Cooper of Resolute Associates LLC. Findings of these surveys determined no substantial impacts to special-status species are expected for this project and appropriate mitigation and protection measures will be implemented in the event a special-status species is observed prior to or during treatments. A report that concurs with the findings of biological resources likely to occur within the project areas can be found in Attachment E.

Based on the survey protocols and pre-operational meetings, the proximity of special-status wildlife species to treatment areas, and the implementation of the SPRs and Mitigation Measures it is likely that this project will result in a less than significant impact on all wildlife species, except for bumble bees, whose impact would remain potentially significant and unavoidable due to the difficulty in detecting overwintering and nesting bumble bees as addressed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.6.3, page 171). Additional information is available in Attachment A providing Project-Specific Requirements and Mitigation Measures for special-status bumble bees.

<b>Impact BIO-3</b> : Substantially Affect Riparian Habitat or Other Sensitive Natural Community Through Direct Loss or Degradation that Leads to Loss of Habitat Function	Impact BIO-3, 3.6	PS	<u>SPR BIO-</u> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 <u>SPR HYD-</u> 4, 5 <u>MM BIO-</u> 3a, 3b, 3c	Yes	LTS	

*Initial and maintenance treatments include mechanical and manual treatments, which could result in direct or indirect adverse effects to sensitive habitats. The potential for treatment activities to result in adverse effects to sensitive habitats was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II)* 

Section 3.6.3, 187-192). The potential for adverse effects to sensitive habitats is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the treatment activities and level of disturbance as a result of the treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. The SPRs that apply to this impact are SPR BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-3, BIO-4, BIO-6, BIO-8, BIO-9, and HYD-4.

Table 3.6-3 in the PEIR (Volume II) for the Central California Coast ecoregion was reviewed and it was determined that the Closed-Cone Pine-Cypress, Coastal Scrub, and Annual Grassland California Wildlife Habitat Relationship (CWHR) classifications may be present within or in proximity to the treatment areas. Treatments at Pico Creek are proposed within the Monterey pine forest, a sensitive natural community and habitat. Due to the Monterey pine forest community being considered a sensitive natural community under the PEIR, SPR BIO-3 will be implemented and requires site-specific surveys and mapping sensitive natural communities within these habitat types.

Based on the treatment prescription, determination of qualified RPFs for treatments in Monterey pine forests to occur, survey protocol and pre-operational meetings, and the implementation of the applicable SPRs and mitigation measures, it is likely that any impact to riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities would be less than significant.

Impact BIO-4: Substantially Affect State or Federally Protected Wetlands	Impact BIO-4, 3.6	PS	<u>SPR BIO-</u> 1 <u>SPR HYD-</u> 1, 3, 4, <u>MM BIO-</u> 4	No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
There are no State or Federally Protected Wetlands in the project area.						
Impact BIO-5: Interfere Substantially with Wildlife Movement Corridors or Impede Use of Nurseries	Impact BIO-5, 3.6	PS	<u>SPR BIO-</u> 1, 4, 5, 10, 11 <u>SPR HYD-</u> 1, 4 <u>MM BIO-</u> 5	Yes	LTS	

Project treatment (prescribed broadcast and pile burning, manual treatment, mechanical treatment) could result in direct or indirect adverse effects to wildlife movement corridors and nurseries because suitable habitat is present in the project area. The potential for treatment activities to result in adverse effects to wildlife movement corridors and nurseries was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.6.3, page 193-197).

The potential for adverse effects to wildlife movement corridors and nurseries is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the treatment activities and extent of expected disturbance as a result of implementing treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. Implementing the proposed treatments for this project will create a mosaic of diverse wildlife habitat including, but not limited to, additional edge habitat between dense and sparsely vegetated areas, habitat corridors through increased spacing of residual trees, and the recruitment of new wildlife species that occupy treated landscapes (Kennedy & Fontaine, 2009). The project treatment site does not contain any portion of a modeled essential connectivity area or natural landscape block (CDFW, 2018).

The proposed treatment areas may contain essential connectivity areas for some ungulate species and mountain lions as well as habitat for breeding sites or cover. This project proposes the use of mechanical treatment outside of sensitive riparian corridors and will comply with overstory cover requirements in riparian areas (SPR BIO-4). Mitigation Measure BIO-5 will be implemented to retain and avoid nursery habitat through the establishment of buffers where necessary. Based on the implementation of SPRs and MMs, it is likely that any impact to wildlife movement corridors and nurseries would be less than significant. SPR BIO-LTS  $\boxtimes$ Impact LTS Yes Impact BIO-6: Substantially Reduce Habitat or Abundance of BIO-6. 3.6 1, 2, 3, 4, Common Wildlife 5, 12 Project treatment (prescribed broadcast and pile burning, manual treatment, mechanical treatment) could result in direct or indirect adverse effects resulting in reduction of habitat or abundance of common wildlife, including nesting birds, because suitable habitat is or may be present in the project area. The potential for adverse effects to common wildlife, including nesting birds, is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.6.3, 197-199) because the treatment activities and extent of expected disturbance as a result of implementing treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. The implementation of these survey protocols and the retention and planned improvement of suitable habitat for common wildlife will prevent a substantial reduction of any common species, therefore any impact to the abundance of common wildlife would be less than significant. Impact Np SPR AD- 3 No N/A  $\boxtimes$ Impact BIO-7: Conflict with Local Policies or Ordinances Protecting BIO-7, 3.6 Impact **Biological Resources** The potential for treatment activities to result in conflict with local policies or ordinances was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.6.3, 199). Vegetation treatment projects implemented under the CaIVTP that are subject to local policies or ordinances would be required to comply with any applicable county, city, or other local policies, ordinances, and permitting procedures related to protection of biological resources, per SPR AD-3. Initial and maintenance treatments would include the allowable removal of dead, dving, and diseased trees and live trees less than 8 inches diameter at four feet above grade pursuant to standards defined in Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 5, Sections 60-64). The CalVTP Standard Project Requirements and Mitigation Measures address environmental concerns that could occur due to mechanized removal of vegetation for forest health and climate resiliency. The San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building has been consulted by US-LT RCD and the proposed project does not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources; therefore, this impact does not apply. Impact No N/A N/A  $\boxtimes$ No Impact BIO-8: Conflict with the Provisions of an Adopted Natural BIO-8, 3.6 Impact Community Conservation Plan, Habitat Conservation Plan, or Other **Approved Habitat Plan** 

Implementation of the proposed vegetation treatment and treatment maintenan (HCP) or natural community conservation plans (NCCP), because the treatment s	ce would not result in conj ite is not within the plan ai	flict with adopted rea of any adopte	habitat conservation d HCP or NCCP.	n plans
Other Impacts to Biological Resources: Would the project result in other impacts to biological resources that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?		N	D N/A	
The proposed project treatments are consistent with the treatment types and act considered the site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and and regulatory conditions presented in the CalVTP PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volum new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact rela	ivities considered in the Ca d determined that they are e II Section 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 tted to biological resources	IVTP PEIR. The pr consistent with tl ). No changed cir would occur tha	oject proponent has ne applicable enviror cumstances would gi t is not covered in the	nmental ive rise to e PEIR.
		Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
SPR BIO-1: Review and Survey Project-Specific Biological Resource	es.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
1. Suitable Habitat Is Present but Adverse Effects Can Be Clear	ly Avoided.	Yes		
2. Suitable Habitat is Present and Adverse Effects Cannot Be C	learly Avoided.	No		
This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.				
A CNDDB nine-quad search was conducted in December of 2022. The project are T26S, R07E, and Portions of Sections 31 & 32, T26S, R08E, MDBM, USGS San Sime	a spans two 7.5′ USGS qua con Quadrangle -AND- Port	drangles (Portion tions of Sections	s of Sections 26, 27, 5 & 6, T27S, R08E, ML	34, & 35, DBM, USGS

Pico Creek Quadrangle). Review of Appendix BIO-3, Table 1a and Table 1b, in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II) for special-status plants and wildlife that could occur in the Central California Coast ecoregion 261A was reviewed. Complete lists of species with potential to occur in the treatment site are included in Attachment C.

Per SPR BIO-1, a data review of project-specific biological resources and reconnaissance survey of the project area were conducted. The CalVTP Final PEIR Appendix BIO-3 Tables 1a and 1b were used to identify species known or with potential to occur within the Central California Coast ecoregion and their associated California Wildlife Habitat Relationship (CWHR) types that may be present within or in proximity to treatment areas. The CNDDB BIOS 5 and the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California database were used to identify the state and federally listed species that may be present within a nine-quadrangle vicinity surrounding the Hearst Ranch project boundaries. The search yielded 38 federal and state threatened, endangered, or candidate species, CDFW species of special concern and candidate species, and the CNPS's California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) List 1 and 2. The species reviewed are listed and impacts to each species are analyzed within the "Biological Resources Species List" (Attachment C). A biological survey report developed on XX by biologist Kevin Cooper of Resolute Associates, LLC concurs with the findings of biological resources likely to occur within the project areas (Attachment E). From the complete list of species, XX of the special-status plants and XX of the special-status wildlife were determined to have potential to occur within project areas.

Based on this query and local knowledge of the area, biological scoping was conducted for species with habitat potential across the project sites. Although the biological scoping indicates numerous special-status species have potentially suitable habitat within project treatment areas and special-status species have been observed, analysis of project impacts concluded no species would be adversely affected. Table B in Attachment C summarizes the scoping and subsequent impact analysis for each species from the nine-quad query.

<b>SPR BIO-2: Require Biological Resource Training for Workers.</b> The project proponent will require crew members and contractors to receive training from a qualified RPF or biologist prior to beginning a treatment project. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE			
The implementation of this SPR will minimize the risk of an impact occurring to biological resources during oper	rations.		1			
<b>SPR BIO-3: Survey Sensitive Natural Communities and Other Sensitive Habitats.</b> If SPR BIO-1 determines that sensitive natural communities or sensitive habitats may be present and adverse effects cannot be avoided. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE			
SPR BIO-1 determined that the project area contains sensitive natural communities, the Monterey pine Forest Al Woodland at San Simeon Point; however, the treatments proposed will promote the health and resiliency of the multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency, and habita different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a complex mosaic of diversity in more information.	liance at Pico residual sta t health in th the understa	o Creek and Coastal nd. In treatment are ne residual stand by ory. Refer to Impact	Cypress as where creating BIO-3 for			
<b>SPR BIO-4: Design Treatment to Avoid Loss or Degradation of Riparian Habitat Function.</b> Project proponents, in consultation with a qualified RPF or qualified biologist, will design treatments in riparian habitats to retain or improve habitat functions. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE			
No mechanical equipment will be utilized within the zones established for the protection of watercourses except where equipment crossing zones are established on Class III streams. CDFW has made the following recommendations on previous projects:						
All equipment and staging areas shall occur within upland areas and shall avoid wetland, riparian, or s allowed within wetland, riparian or stream channel habitats.	tream chanr	nel habitats. No equi	pment is			

Proper best management practices (BMP's) shall be used to minimize erosion. No hazardous materials and/or sedimentation shall be discharged into wetland, riparian, or stream channel habitats.

Treatments within the Equipment Exclusion Zone (EEZ) of Class III watercourses capable of sediment transport will be completed by hand methods where treatment is proposed. Dead and down debris may be removed from the Class III zone and piled and burned outside of the EEZ when feasible. Some debris may be lopped and scattered in the EEZ. Vegetation treatments will focus on areas where there are uncharacteristic fuel loads adjacent to the dominate and codominant trees. No Class II or Class I watercourses are present within the treatment area.

<b>SPR BIO-5: Avoid Environmental Effects of Type Conversion and Maintain Habitat Function</b> <b>in Chaparral and Coastal Sage Scrub.</b> The project proponent will design treatment activities to avoid type conversion where native coastal sage scrub and chaparral are present. These SPR requirements apply to all treatment activities and all treatment types. Additional measures will be applied to ecological restoration treatment types	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE
The proposed treatment units do not contain Chaparral or Coastal Sage Scrub vegetation types; therefore, this S 3 and 4 in Attachment B for Coastal Sage Scrub locations within the project boundary. No treatments will occur communities.	SPR does not in chappara	apply to this project. Il or coastal scrub plo	. See Maps ant
<b>SPR BIO-6: Prevent Spread of Plant Pathogens.</b> When working in sensitive natural communities, riparian habitats, or oak woodlands that are at risk from plant pathogens (e.g., lone chaparral, blue oak woodland), the project proponent will implement best management practices to prevent the spread of <i>Phytopthora</i> and other plant pathogens (e.g., pitch canker ( <i>Fusarium</i> ), goldspotted oak borer, shot hole borer, bark beetle). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	<u>CAL FIRE</u>
Pre-field research, field reconnaissance, and local knowledge of the project area has determined the presence of Monterey pine forest at Pico Creek, particularly western dwarf mistletoe, western gall rust, and pitch canker. This infected with plant pathogens and/or disease only be chipped and spread back into areas already impacted by to diseases into non-infested zones. For more information, see Pest and Disease section under Item #8.	f forest path s project pro the pathoger	ogens and disease w poses that chipped r n to prevent the trans	ithin the naterial sfer of
<b>SPR BIO-7: Survey for Special-Status Plants.</b> If SPR BIO-1 determines that suitable habitat for special-status plant species is present and cannot be avoided, the project proponent will require a qualified RPF or botanist to conduct protocol-level surveys for special-status plant species with the potential to be affected by a treatment prior to initiation of the treatment. The survey will follow the methods in the current version of CDFW's "Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities." This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE

SPR BIO-8: Identify and Minimize Impacts in Coastal Zone ESHAs. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and only the ecosystem restoration treatment type.YesCAL FIRE Prior-DuringCAL FIRE <b< th=""><th><i>Per SPR BIO-1, it has been determined that potentially suitable habitat may be present for some special-status p species can be avoided; therefore, this SPR does not apply.</i></th><th>olant species;</th><th>: however, the habita</th><th>t for these</th></b<>	<i>Per SPR BIO-1, it has been determined that potentially suitable habitat may be present for some special-status p species can be avoided; therefore, this SPR does not apply.</i>	olant species;	: however, the habita	t for these
Due to this project occurring within the coastal zone, consultation with the California Coastal Commission (CCC) is necessary throughout the development and implementation of the proposed treatments. Efforts between the CCC and Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District developed a Public Works Plan (PWP) document that establishes a set of standards for CalVTP projects occurring within the coastal zone within US-LT RCDs jurisdictional boundary in San Luis Obispo County that allows further treatments than presented in SPR BIO-8. A Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards document has been prepared for this project and is included in Attachment D. The entirety of the Monterey pine forest at Pico Creek is considered ESHA, as defined by the CCC. The basis of this project is to conduct ecologically restorative treatments that promote the persistence and resiliency of the Monterey pine forest type as an environmentally sensitive habitat area through a myriad of protection, conservation, and avoidance measures.         Based on the treatment prescription, determination of qualified RPFs for treatments in Monterey pine forests to occur, survey protocol and pre-operationa meetings, and the implementation of the applicable SPRs and Mitigation Measures, it is likely that any impacts in Coastal Zone ESHAs would be minimized.         SPR BIO-9: Prevent Spread of Invasive Plants, Noxious Weeds, and Invasive Wildlife. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.       Yes       CAL FIRE Prior-During       CAL FIRE Prior-Dur	<b>SPR BIO-8: Identify and Minimize Impacts in Coastal Zone ESHAs.</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and only the ecosystem restoration treatment type.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Based on the treatment prescription, determination of qualified RPFs for treatments in Monterey pine forests to occur, survey protocol and pre-operational meetings, and the implementation of the applicable SPRs and Mitigation Measures, it is likely that any impacts in Coastal Zone ESHAs would be minimized.         SPR BIO-9: Prevent Spread of Invasive Plants, Noxious Weeds, and Invasive Wildlife. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.       Yes       CAL FIRE Prior-During       CAL FIRE         Given the potential for invasive plants, noxious weeds, and invasive wildlife to occur in the project area, this SPR applies. More information can be found in the Initial Treatment Description section under Item #8, Treatment Activities, "Herbicides" and under "Invasive Species" in the same section.       SPR BIO-10: Survey for Special-Status Wildlife and Nursery Sites. If SPR BIO-1 determines that suitable habitat for special-status wildlife species or nurseries of any wildlife species is present and cannot be avoided, the project proponent will require a qualified RPF or biologist to conduct focused or protocol-level surveys for special-status wildlife species or nursery sites (e.g., bat maternity roosts, deer fawning areas, heron, or egret rookeries) with potential to be directly or reductive of pro-During       Yes       CAL FIRE Prior-During       CAL FIRE	Due to this project occurring within the coastal zone, consultation with the California Coastal Commission (CCC) and implementation of the proposed treatments. Efforts between the CCC and Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resour Works Plan (PWP) document that establishes a set of standards for CalVTP projects occurring within the coastal boundary in San Luis Obispo County that allows further treatments than presented in SPR BIO-8. A Coastal Vege been prepared for this project and is included in Attachment D. The entirety of the Monterey pine forest at Pico CCC. The basis of this project is to conduct ecologically restorative treatments that promote the persistence and as an environmentally sensitive habitat area through a myriad of protection, conservation, and avoidance meas	is necessary ce Conservat zone within tation Treat Creek is cons resiliency of sures.	throughout the deve ion District develope US-LT RCDs jurisdicti ment Standards docu idered ESHA, as defin the Monterey pine fo	elopment d a Public onal ument has ned by the orest type
SPR BIO-9: Prevent Spread of Invasive Plants, Noxious Weeds, and Invasive Wildlife. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.YesCAL FIRE Prior-DuringCAL FIRE Prior-D	Based on the treatment prescription, determination of qualified RPFs for treatments in Monterey pine forests to meetings, and the implementation of the applicable SPRs and Mitigation Measures, it is likely that any impacts i	occur, surve n Coastal Zo	y protocol and pre-o ne ESHAs would be n	perational ninimized.
Given the potential for invasive plants, noxious weeds, and invasive wildlife to occur in the project area, this SPR applies. More information can be found in the Initial Treatment Description section under Item #8, Treatment Activities, "Herbicides" and under "Invasive Species" in the same section.  SPR BIO-10: Survey for Special-Status Wildlife and Nursery Sites. If SPR BIO-1 determines that suitable habitat for special-status wildlife species or nurseries of any wildlife species is present and cannot be avoided, the project proponent will require a qualified RPF or biologist to conduct focused or protocol-level surveys for special-status wildlife species or nursery sites (e.g., bat maternity roosts, deer fawning areas, heron, or egret rookeries) with potential to be directly or Yes Yes Prior-During  CAL FIRE Prior-During	SPR BIO-9: Prevent Spread of Invasive Plants, Noxious Weeds, and Invasive Wildlife. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
SPR BIO-10: Survey for Special-Status Wildlife and Nursery Sites. If SPR BIO-1 determines that suitable habitat for special-status wildlife species or nurseries of any wildlife species is present and cannot be avoided, the project proponent will require a qualified RPF or biologist to conduct focused or protocol-level surveys for special-status wildlife species or nursery sites (e.g., bat maternity roosts, deer fawning areas, heron, or egret rookeries) with potential to be directly or indirectly efforted by a treatment activity. The survey area will be determined by a qualified RDF ar	Given the potential for invasive plants, noxious weeds, and invasive wildlife to occur in the project area, this SPR the Initial Treatment Description section under Item #8, Treatment Activities, "Herbicides" and under "Invasive S <sub>1</sub>	applies. Mo pecies" in the	re information can b e same section.	e found in
biologist based on the species and habitats and any recommended buffer distances in agency protocols. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	<b>SPR BIO-10: Survey for Special-Status Wildlife and Nursery Sites.</b> If SPR BIO-1 determines that suitable habitat for special-status wildlife species or nurseries of any wildlife species is present and cannot be avoided, the project proponent will require a qualified RPF or biologist to conduct focused or protocol-level surveys for special-status wildlife species or nursery sites (e.g., bat maternity roosts, deer fawning areas, heron, or egret rookeries) with potential to be directly or indirectly affected by a treatment activity. The survey area will be determined by a qualified RPF or biologist based on the species and habitats and any recommended buffer distances in agency protocols. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Surveys will be required prior to treatment activities in potentially suitable habitat for special-status wildlife species listed and described in Attachment C, unless it is assumed that a special-status wildlife species will occur within the project area and feasible mitigation is implemented based on that assumption. Project-Specific Requirements for special-status wildlife can be found in Attachment A and will be implemented in addition to existing Mitigation Measures and SPRs for this project.				
SPR BIO-11. Install Wildlife-Friendly Fencing (Prescribed Herbivory). This SPR applies only to prescribed herbivory and all treatment types.       No       CAL FIRE N/A       CAL FIRE	<b>SPR BIO-11. Install Wildlife-Friendly Fencing (Prescribed Herbivory).</b> This SPR applies only to prescribed herbivory and all treatment types.	No	CAL FIRE N/A	CAL FIRE

This project does not include prescribed herbivory at this time, therefore, this SPR does not apply. If considered considered and implemented where applicable.	in the future,	. SPR-BIO-11 shall be			
<b>SPR BIO-12. Protect Common Nesting Birds, Including Raptors.</b> The project proponent will schedule treatment activities to avoid the active nesting season of common native bird species, including raptors, that could be present within or adjacent to the treatment site, if feasible. Common native birds are species not otherwise treated as special status in the CalVTP PEIR. The active nesting season or peak nesting season will be defined by the qualified RPF or biologist. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE		
See entire SPR in PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, Section 2.7.5, 45-46) for complete avoidance strategies iden Treatment, Defer Treatment, Monitor Active Raptor Nest During Treatment, Retention of Raptor Nest Trees).	tified in PEIR	(Establish Buffer, Mo	odify		
Mitigation Measure MM BIO-2b of the EIR includes the same protection measures necessary for the protection of nesting birds. More information regarding protection measures of common nesting birds, including raptors, can be found in Attachment A.					
They anyoreseen impacts to common nesting birds, including raptors, are expected to be ress than significant.			_		
<b>MM BIO-1a:</b> Avoid Loss of Special-Status Plants Listed under ESA or CESA If listed plants are determined to be present through application of SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-7, the project proponent will avoid and protect these species by establishing a no-disturbance buffer around the area occupied by listed plants and marking the buffer boundary with high-visibility flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway).	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE		
A CNDDB RareFind 5 search of the project area did not determine the occurrence of any special-status listed plant species within the project boundaries. Comprehensive biological surveys will be conducted prior to any treatments across all subunits. Following a biological survey, per SPR BIO-1 and in the event a special-status listed species is found within the proposed project, MM BIO-1a will be implemented to avoid loss of and protect that species.					
MM BIO-1b: Avoid Loss of Special-Status Plants Not Listed Under ESA or CESA					
If non-listed special-status plant species (i.e., species not listed under ESA of CESA, but meeting the definition of special-status as stated in Section 3.6.1 of the Program EIR) are determined to be present through application of SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-7, the project proponent will implement measures to avoid loss of individuals and maintain habitat function of occupied habitat.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	<u>CAL FIRE</u>		

MM BIO-1c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Special-Status Plants					
If significant impacts on listed or non-listed special-status plants cannot feasibly be avoided as specified under the circumstances described under Mitigation Measures BIO-1a and 1b, the project proponent will prepare a Compensatory Mitigation Plan that identifies the residual significant impacts that require compensatory mitigation and describes the compensatory mitigation strategy being implemented and how unavoidable losses of special-status plants will be compensated. If the special-status plant taxa are listed under ESA or CESA, the plan will be submitted to CDFW and/or USFWS (as appropriate) for review and comment. Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., insidented take permit for state listed plants)	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	<u>CAL FIRE</u>		
if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above.					
Initial and maintenance treatments will not result in the unavoidable loss of special-status plants. The treatments proposed for this project are focused on hazardous fuels reduction, habitat enhancement, and ecological restoration of the Monterey Pine Forest, a sensitive natural community with a State Rarity Rank of S1.1, at Pico Creek to promote a healthy and resilient residual stand. Long-term results of the proposed treatments are expected to reflect historic ecological conditions. Adequate spacing and vigor of the residual stand will help to mitigate deadly forest pathogens and create a mosaic of diverse plant habitats.					
Based on the available findings and site-specific conditions, it is likely that some special-status plant species other than the Monterey pine may benefit from understory treatment activities (Dyrness, 1973). The opening of light gaps as a product of treatment and subsequent development of favorable seed bed conditions for germination are factors expected to promote the regeneration of special-status plant species. Treatments being proposed for this project are designed to mimic natural disturbance and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine alliances and potentially other sensitive species.					
Due to the scope of treatments and the objectives of this project, Mitigation Measure BIO-1c does not apply.					
MM BIO-2a: Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Maintain Habitat Function for Listed Wildlife Species and California Fully Protected Species (All Treatment Activities)	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE		
A CNDDB search was conducted within the nine USGS quadrangles surrounding the project area. Of the 21 special-status wildlife species determined to have occurred in these vicinities, some of the species are listed under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) or the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) (See Attachment C). No CDFW designated Fully Protected Species are known to occur in the project area or surrounding vicinity.					
If listed wildlife species or California fully protected species are observed prior to or during implementation of treatments, Mitigation Measure BIO-2a will be considered and implemented where appropriate. Additional information is available in Attachment A providing Project-Specific Requirements and Mitigation Measures for special-status wildlife species.					
Based on the CNDDB findings, site-specific review, biological surveys, and the determination of qualified RPFs, an maintenance treatments that could cause mortality, injury, loss of habitat function, or disturbance to any specie than significant and would most likely benefit from the proposed treatments.	ny potential i Il-status liste	impact during initial d wildlife species woo	and uld be less		

MM BIO-2b: Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Maintain Habitat Function for Other Special- Status Wildlife Species (All Treatment Activities) If other special-status wildlife species (i.e., species not listed under CESA or ESA or California Fully Protected, but meeting the definition of special status as stated in Section 3.6.1 of the Program EIR) are observed during reconnaissance surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-1) or focused or protocol-level surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-10), the project proponent will avoid or minimize adverse effects to the species. The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or biologist that the special-status wildlife would benefit from treatment in the occupied habitat area even though some of the non-listed special-status wildlife may be killed, injured, or disturbed during treatment activities. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status wildlife, no compensatory mitigation will be required.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	<u>CAL FIRE</u>		
A CNDDB search was conducted for the 9 USGS quadrangles surrounding the project area. Of the 21 special-sta occurred in these vicinities, some of the species are not listed under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA (ESA) (See Attachment C). No CDFW designated Fully Protected Species are known to occur in the project area or	tus wildlife s ) or the fede surrounding	pecies determined to ral Endangered Spec g vicinity.	have ies Act		
If non-listed special status wildlife species or California fully protected species are observed prior to or during in Measure BIO-2b will be considered and implemented where appropriate.	nplementatic	on of treatments, Miti	igation		
Based on the CNDDB findings, site-specific review, biological surveys, and the determination of qualified RPFs, a maintenance treatments that could cause mortality, injury, loss of habitat function, or disturbance to any speci than significant and wildlife would most likely benefit from the proposed treatments.	ny potential al-status liste	impact during initial ed wildlife species wo	and uld be less		
MM BIO-2c: Compensate for Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Loss of Habitat Function for Special- Status Wildlife if Applicable (All Treatment Activities) If the provisions of Mitigation Measure BIO-2a, BIO- 2b, BIO-2d, BIO-2e, BIO-2f, or BIO-2g cannot be implemented and the project proponent determines that additional mitigation is necessary to reduce significant impacts, the project proponent will compensate for such impacts to species or habitat by acquiring and/or protecting land that provides (or will provide in the case of restoration) habitat function for affected species that is at least equivalent to the habitat function removed or degraded as a result of the treatment. Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., incidental take permit), if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	<u>CAL FIRE</u>		
Based on the CNDDB findings, site-specific review, biological surveys, and the determination of qualified RPFs, it is unlikely that initial and maintenance treatments will cause mortality, injury, loss of habitat function, or disturbance to any special-status wildlife species that would necessitate compensatory mitigation and special-status wildlife would benefit from the proposed treatments; therefore, this Mitigation Measure does not apply.					
MM BIO-2d: Implement Protective Measures for Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (All Treatment Activities)	No	CAL FIRE N/A	<u>CAL FIRE</u>		
Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (Desmocerus californicus dimorphus) is not known to exist or expected to exis	st within the	project area.			

<b>MM BIO-2e: Design Treatment to Retain Special-Status Butterfly Host Plants (All Treatment Activities)</b> The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or biologist that the special-status butterfly would benefit from treatment in the occupied habitat area even though some may be killed, injured or disturbed during treatment activities. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status butterflies, no compensatory mitigation will be required.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Measures listed in Attachment A will be implemented to avoid impacts to and maintain habitat for monarch but Conservation (Xerces Society) was consulted to provide technical assistance for this project; see Attachment F for	tterfly. The Xi r more infori	erces Society for Inve mation.	rtebrate
MM BIO-2f: Avoid Habitat for Special-Status Beetles, Flies, Grasshoppers, and Snails (All Treatment Activities)	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE
Reconnaissance-level field surveys determined no suitable habitat for special-status beetles, flies, grasshoppers, and a CNDDB search of the 9 quadrangles surrounding the project area did not produce evidence that any of th known to occur within the proximity of the proposed treatment sites; therefore, this mitigation does not apply.	or snails exi tese species l	sts within the project have been recorded o	t areas, or are
MM BIO-2g: Design Treatment to Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Maintain Habitat Function for Special-Status Bumble Bees (All Treatment Activities) The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or biologist that the special-status bumble bee would benefit from treatment in the occupied (or assumed to be occupied) habitat area even though some of the non-listed special-status bumble bees may be killed, injured, or disturbed during treatment activities. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status bumble bees, no compensatory mitigation will be required.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
This MM will apply to this project. See Attachments A and C for more information regarding the avoidance, prote bumble bees for this CalVTP project. Measures listed in Attachment A will be implemented to avoid or minimize (e.g., floral resources) for special-status bumble bees.	ections, and impacts to a	occurrences of speci nd maintain habitat	al-status function
MM BIO-2h: Avoid Potential Disease Transmission Between Domestic Livestock and Special-Status Ungulates (Prescribed Herbivory)	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE
This project does not include prescribed herbivory at this time, therefore, this SPR does not apply.			
<ul> <li>MM BIO-3a: Design Treatments to Avoid Loss of Sensitive Natural Communities and Oak Woodlands         The project proponent will implement the following measures when working in treatment areas that contain         sensitive natural communities identified during surveys conducted pursuant to         SPR BIO-3:         The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF         or botanist that the sensitive natural community or oak woodland would benefit from treatment in      </li> </ul>	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE

sensitive natural communities. However, this project falls under the exception for this Mitigation Measure because it has been determined by qualified RPFs							
that sensitive natural communities would benefit from treatments in the occupied habitat. See more information	n in Attachm	nents A and C.					
MM BIO-3b: Compensate for Loss of Sensitive Natural Communities and Oak Woodlands. If significant impacts on sensitive natural communities or oak woodlands cannot feasibly be avoided or reduced as specified under Mitigation Measure BIO-3a, the project proponent will prepare a Compensatory Mitigation Plan that identifies the residual significant effects on sensitive natural communities or oak woodlands that require compensatory mitigation and describes the compensatory mitigation strategy being implemented to reduce residual effects.       No <u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A <u>CAL FI</u>							
This Mitigation Measure does not apply because significant impacts to Sensitive Natural Communities of Monter	rey Pine Fore	est, Coastal Cypress	Woodland,				
Coastal Scrub, and Oak woodland can be avolaed by implementing MM BIO-3d. See Attachments A and C for m	ore informat	tion.					
Prescribed broadcast and pile burning will be implemented in areas previously treated by way of mechanical or	manual trea	atment methods to	reduce or				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex	pected to mi	itigate the spread oj	f deadly				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr	pected to mi ity of the for	itigate the spread of rest for public safety	f deadly and fire				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment b	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen	itigate the spread oj rest for public safety nting MM BIO-3a.	<sup>f</sup> deadly and fire				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment k The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr	itigate the spread oj rest for public safety ting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene	f deadly and fire erative				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment k The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget	itigate the spread oj rest for public safety nting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment b The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t	itigate the spread oj rest for public safety nting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment b The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t y, and health	itigate the spread of rest for public safety ting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I n in the residual stand	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex- forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integra resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment b The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t r, and health the understo	itigate the spread of rest for public safety nting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I in the residual stan ory.	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment b The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t , and health the understo	itigate the spread of rest for public safety iting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I o in the residual stan ory.	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex- forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integra- resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment k The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in <b>MM BIO-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat</b> Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t y, and health the understo	itigate the spread of rest for public safety nting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I in the residual stan ory. <u>CAL FIRE</u>	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integra resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment be The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist, action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in <b>MM BIO-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat</b> Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement), if the area information and the project proponent (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement),	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t , and health the understo	itigate the spread of rest for public safety iting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I o in the residual stan ory. <u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by <u>CAL FIRE</u>				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integra resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment be The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in <b>MM BIO-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat</b> Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement), if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above.	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t y, and health the understo	itigate the spread of rest for public safety ting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I o in the residual stan ory. <u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by <u>CAL FIRE</u>				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integr resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment be The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in <b>MM BIO-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat</b> Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement), if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above.	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t , and health the understo No	itigate the spread of rest for public safety iting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I o in the residual stan ory. <u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment ad by <u>CAL FIRE</u> irements in				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integri- resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment be The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in <b>MM BIO-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat</b> Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement), if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above. This project proposes the use of mechanical treatment outside of Class III Equipment Exclusion Zones and will cor riparian areas. No Class I or Class II streams occur in the project area.	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t , and health the understo No	itigate the spread of rest for public safety iting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I o in the residual stan ory. <u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by <u>CAL FIRE</u> irements in				
dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine at Pico Creek, which is ex forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integri- resiliency. Other Sensitive Natural Communities within the project area are expected to benefit from treatment be The natural fire regime is not expected to be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characterist action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of und DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and r areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in <b>MM BIO-3c: Compensate for Unavoidable Loss of Riparian Habitat</b> Compensatory mitigation may be satisfied through compliance with permit conditions, or other authorizations obtained by the project proponent (e.g., Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement), if these requirements are equally or more effective than the mitigation identified above. This project proposes the use of mechanical treatment outside of Class III Equipment Exclusion Zones and will cor riparian areas. No Class I or Class II streams occur in the project area. <b>MM BIO-4: Avoid State and Federally Protected Wetlands</b>	pected to mi ity of the for by implemen ics of fire, pr erstory veget resiliency of t y, and health the understo No	itigate the spread of rest for public safety iting MM BIO-3a. redominantly regene tation, live trees up the residual stand. I o in the residual stan ory. <u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A overstory cover requ	f deadly and fire erative to 8 inches n treatment nd by <u>CAL FIRE</u> irements in				

The project area does not contain state and federally protected wetlands; therefore, this Mitigation Measure does not apply.

MM BIO-5: Retain Nursery Habitat and Implement Buffers to Avoid Nursery Sites	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
		Thor-During	

If wildlife nursery habitat is identified during SPR BIO-10 surveys, treatment activities could result in disturbance of nursery behavior causing loss of young or result in direct removal of nursery habitat and this mitigation measure will apply. A qualified RPF or biologist will establish buffers around active deer fawning sites during the fawning season of the appropriate size prior to implementation of treatment activities. The appropriate size and shape of the buffer will be based on potential effects of project-related habitat disturbance, noise, visual disturbance, and other factors.

Refer to *Attachments A* and *E* for more information on project-specific guidelines and survey procedures for biological resources.

#### SPECIES STATUS SUMMARY TABLE Results of Listed Species Found in the CNDDB Query

WILDLIFE	LIS	ting s	STATUS	HABITAT
COMMON NAME SCIENTIFIC NAME	FED	СА	OTHER	
tricolored blackbird	Ν	TH	SSC	
Agelaius tricolor	Tricol requin veget rice, g	ored b re som ation. ٦ grain, o	lackbirds a e sort of su They also n r alfalfa.	re found in areas near water, such as marshes, grasslands, and wetlands. They ubstrate nearby to build nests. This substrate is often in the form of aquatic eed foraging areas, which can consist of grassland or agricultural pastures such as
grasshopper sparrow	Ν	Ν	SSC	
Ammodramus savannarum	Grass avoid prefe feedir	hoppe signifio rring d ng.	r sparrows cant shrub rier, sparse	utilize prairie and cultivated grasslands, weedy fallow fields, and alfalfa fields. They cover unless for concealment. They occupy intermediate grassland habitat, to moderately dense sites in tallgrass prairies, with open or bare ground for
Northern California legless lizard	Ν	Ν	SSC	
Anniella pulchra	Sandy essen	v or loc tial. Th	ose loamy s ney prefer s	oils under sparse vegetation in chaparral and coastal scrub. Soil moisture is soils with a high moisture content.
pallid bat	Ν	Ν	SSC	
Antrozous pallidus	This s conife large	pecies erous f flying c	favors roc orests. The or ground-o	ky outcrops in semi-arid climates within grasslands, chaparral, oak woodlands, and pallid bat diet consists of ground-dwelling prey like small mammals or reptiles and dwelling insects.
obscure bumblebee	Ν	Ν	SSC	
Bombus caliginosus	Pollin Colun withir	ator sp nbia to n the fo	oecies foun Central Ca og belt.	d in grassland and herbaceous environments along the Pacific Coast from British lifornia. Primarily associated with relatively humid climates and coastal areas
western snowy plover	TH	Ν	SSC	

This species favors coastal beaches, sand spits, dune-backed beaches, sparsely-vegetated dunes, and estuaries at the mouths of rivers or creeks. The western snowy plover breeds above high tide lines and nests are generally located on flat, open areas where females will lay approximately 2-6 eggs.
NSSCNorthern Harriers breed in wide-open habitats ranging from Arctic tundra to prairie grasslands to fields and marshes. Their nests are concealed on the ground in grasses or wetland vegetation. In migration and winter, harriers typically move south away from areas that receive heavy snow cover, ending up in open habitats similar to those in which they breed.
N N SSC
meters elevation. This bat prefers dark, open caves or cliffs in cold areas for roosting and does not roost in rock crevices. The primary food source for this species is moths, however, beetles and other small insects are also common.
N N SSC
<i>Cypseloides niger</i> nests on cliff ledges and behind waterfalls in areas inaccessible to predators. Forages over
forests and open areas.
C N SSC
The western overwintering population of monarch butterflies migrates to the coast of California, from
Mendocino County to Baja California, to hibernate in clusters during the fall and winter months. Monarchs
utilize temperate tree groves along the coast to protect themselves from winter storms. A common tree
evidence suggests the west coast population prefers native groves of Monterey nine ( <i>Pinus radiata</i> )
Monterey cypress ( <i>Cupressus macrocarpa</i> ), and/or coast redwood ( <i>Sequoia sempervirens</i> ) over the non-
native eucalyptus groves. During the spring months, the monarch butterfly utilizes open fields and
meadows containing milkweed as feeding habitat. Development, forest pathogens, disease, and climate
change have led to significant habitat loss and population declines in recent years.
N N SSC
The habitat for this species consists of aquatic and terrestrial environments, including lakes rivers,
streams, ponds, wetlands, vernal pools, creeks, reservoirs, agricultural ditches, estuaries, and brackish
waters. Adults ravor deep waters while juveniles ravor shallow waters, however, both prefer slow moving
away from water in flat areas with short vegetation and dry soils

tidewater goby	E	Ν								
Eucyclogobius newberryi	It is fo	ound p ing ma	rimarily in rine or free	coastal lagoons and the uppermost brackish water zones of larger estuaries, rarely shwater habitats. The species habitat ranges along the west coast of California from						
	Hedic	Hedionda Lagoon (northern San Diego County).								
Smith's blue butterfly	E	Ν								
Euphilotes enoptes smithi	The habitat consists of coastal dune, prairie, and scrub along Central California. During its whole lifespan, the Smith's blue butterfly uses only two host buckwheat: Eriogonum latifolium and Eriogonum parvifolium After emerging while the buckwheat flowers bloom, adult butterflies' mate and deposit eggs on the flower of these host plants within their 1-week lifespan. Hatching transpires soon afterward, and the larvae begin to feed on the flowers of the very same host plant.									
tufted puffin	Ν	Ν	SSC							
Fratercula cirrhata	Ocean, nesting colonially in burrows on sea cliffs. Ranges widely at sea, from fairly near shore to far out of sight of land. Even during breeding season, may be at sea far from nesting colonies. Nests on islands, primarily on grassy steep slopes or cliff tops (steep dropoff may help birds take flight). Throughout range,									
steelhead – south-central	T	N								
California coast DPS	This i	s an ar	adromous	fish species that occurs in freshwater Pacific coast streams. This steelhead species						
Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus pop. 9	will m	nigrate	to marine	waters once it nears maturity, then returns to freshwater streams for spawning.						
	Typic	ally, th	s species r	equires a minimal of approximately 7 inches of water depth for migration and						
	favor	s spaw	ning habita	at between 6 and 24 inches deep, usually in slow moving currents. High water						
	veloc	ities ar	id low wate	er depth can impede on this species' capability to migrate.						
foothill yellow-legged frog	N	N								
Rana boyili	Habit	at is pr	imarily foo	ithill and mountain streams with rocky substrate in open, sunny banks within						
California red-legged frog	T	N								
Rana dravtonii	Comr	non ha	bitat consi	sts of locations near ponds or along streams in humid forests, grasslands, and						
	coastal scrub communities that contain plant cover. This species breeds in permanent water sources and									
	requi	res mo	ist refuges	, like animal burrows, for cover in the dry season.						
Coast Range newt	Ν	N	SSC							
Taricha torosa ssp. torosa	A rec	ognize	d subspecie	es of the California newt, the Coast Range newt migrates to ponds from late- to mid-						
	year.	In Cen	tral Califor	nia, they are found in mountainous or rolling woodland and grassland						
	envir	onmen	ts. Breedin	ig is aquatic and takes place primarily in ponds, reservoirs, and streams.						

two-striped gartersnake	Ν	Ν	SSC				
Thamnophis hammondii	This h	This highly aquatic species forages primarily in and along streams for fish eggs or amphibian larvae.					
	Prima	rimarily associated with permanent or semi-permanent bodies of water bordered by dense vegetation.					
	Frequ	requents holes, burrows, crevices, and surface objects nocturnally. During the day, the two-striped					
	garte	rsnake	can be fou	and basking on streamside rocks or vegetated banks.			

The above table refers to all special-status species returned by CNDDB within a 9-quad vicinity of the project area. Refer to Attachment E for guidance on the project-specific review and survey procedures for biological resources.

		Species	Status Identifiers Used on the T	able
<b>DL</b> – Delisted	$\mathbf{E}$ – Endangered	CE – Candidate Endangered	<b>CTH</b> – Candidate Threatened	<b>TH</b> – Threatened <b>PTH</b> – Potential Threatened
N – None	NL – Not Listed	<b>R</b> – Rare	WL – Watch List	SSC – DFG Species of Special Concern

PLANTS (PROVIDED BY CDFW)	ST	ATUS		HABITAT
COMMON NAME SCIENTIFIC NAME	FED	STATE	CNPS LIST	
bristlecone fir <i>Abies bracteata</i>	Ν	Ν	1B.3	Discontinuous stands of one to hundreds of trees, generally comprising < 5 ha, in less fire prone areas such as steep, west-, north-, or east-facing slopes in canyons or ravines, often in moist microsites near the bottom or at the head of drainages, often in talus or scree; above 1400 m on all exposures on rocky ridgetops, bluffs, or cliffs; and occasionally on stream benches or terraces. Generally in rocky, clayey, or loamy soil, occasionally on sandstone and serpentine.
Hickman's onion Allium hickmanii	N	Ν	1B.2	Coastal prairie or grassy openings in Monterey pine (Pinus radiata) forest or the edges of vernal pools, usually on damp clay-loam soils (but not heavy adobe), underlain by sandstone or shale.
Arroyo de la Cruz manzanita Arctostaphylos cruzensis	N	N	1B.2	Prefers sandy soils in broadleafed upland forests, closed-cone coniferous forests, coastal scrub, and grasslands. Local to the coastal bluffs and terraces of San Luis Obispo and Monterey Counties.
Hearsts' manzanita Arctostaphylos hookeri ssp. hearstiorum	N	E	1B.2	This shrub is endemic to California where its native range extends from the coastal San Francisco Bay Area to the Central Coast, really only known to occur within the proximity of San Simeon. Can tolerate a little clay but does best in heavy loam or sandy loam that is slightly acidic. Dry coastal slopes, bluffs and dunes, northern coastal scrub, and sandy openings in coastal pine woodlands from the bay area to San Luis Obispo County.
dwarf goldenstar Bloomeria humilis	N	R	1B.2	Found on the edges of grassy places. It is endemic to San Luis Obispo County, California, where it is known from only one occurrence on the coastline near San Simeon. It is a plant of the local chaparral and coastal grassland.
late-flowered mariposa-lily Calochortus fimbriatus	N	N	1B.3	Native to the coastal mountain ranges of southern Monterey, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara and northern Ventura counties, where it is a member of the chaparral flora. Dry places with heavy or rocky soil.
San Luis mariposa-lily Calochortus obispoensis	N	N	1B.2	Found in coastal sage scrub, chaparral, valley grassland. Endemic to San Luis Obispo County. Primarily associated with dry, serpentine soils in open chaparral where it flowers May–June.
Hardham's evening-primrose Camissoniopsis hardhamiae	N	N	1B.2	This evening primrose grows in the chaparral and foothill woodland communities of San Luis Obispo and Monterey Counties. Typically found between 1020-2060' elevation in sandy, limestone, or disturbed oak woodland soils.

San Luis Obispo sedge <i>Carex obispoensis</i>	N	Ν	1B.2	San Luis Obispo sedge occurs in a variety of habitats and is often associated with clay soils near serpentine seeps, sometimes gabbro. May occur in closed-cone coniferous forests, chaparral, coastal prairie, coastal scrub, and grassland habitats.
Heckard's owl's-clover Castilleja ambigua var. heckardii	N	Ν	1B.1	A species of Indian paintbrush native to western North America from British Columbia to California, where it is most common along the coast in salt marshes and scrub. It occurs in shallow depressions that may be vernally moist in sandy soils on coastal bluffs and ridge tops in dryish coastal terrace grasslands with a mix of native species and invasive grasses.
San Luis Obispo owl's-clover Castilleja densiflora var. obispoensis	Ν	Ν	1B.2	This species is endemic to San Luis Obispo County. May occur in serpentinite soils and is associated with meadows, seeps, and coastal valley or foothill grasslands.
Hearsts' ceanothus <i>Ceanothus hearstiorum</i>	N	R	1B.2	Endemic to California, where it grows wild only on the hilly coastline of San Luis Obispo County. This plant prefers to be near the coast where it would have cooler temperatures and some fog. Tolerates sandy/rocky, clay or adobe soils but not pure beach sand.
maritime ceanothus <i>Ceanothus maritimus</i>	N	R	1B.2	Rare species of ceanothus known from only a few occurrences in the vicinity of Hearst Ranch. It shares the same range as the similarly rare <i>Ceanothus</i> <i>hearstiorum</i> , growing on the coastal bluffs. Normally found on sand sea bluffs, but tolerant of clay or rocky soils.
Santa Lucia purple amole <i>Chlorogalum purpureum var.</i> <i>purpureum</i>	TH	Ν	1B.1	Very rare perennial herb found in foothill woodland environments and poorly vegetated, infertile, gravelly or clay soil patches in blue oak woodland or chaparral edges, ± 300 meters elevation.
Monterey spineflower Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	ТН	N	1B.2	The Monterey spineflower grows in sandy soils in coastal dunes and favors chaparral, foothill woodland, northern coastal scrub, and coastal sage scrub communities and is known to occur between 0 to 558ft in elevation. Blooms April- June.
Chorro Creek bog thistle <i>Cirsium fontinale var. obispoense</i>	E	E	1B.2	Chorro Creek bog thistle occurs naturally only in San Luis Obispo County and is restricted to open seeps in serpentine outcrops and drainages. May occur along streams (extremely rare).
compact cobwebby thistle <i>Cirsium occidentale var.</i> <i>compactum</i>	N	Ν	1B.1	Occurs in chaparral, coastal dunes and bluff communities, coastal prairie, and coastal scrub in northern San Luis Obispo County and Monterey County.

dune larkspur Delphinium parryi ssp. blochmaniae	N	Ν	1B.2	The dune larkspur occurs in maritime chaparral communities and coastal dune habitats as it prefers sandy soils. Low elevation species.
Blochman's dudleya Dudleya blochmaniae ssp. blochmaniae	N	Ν	1B.1	Occurs on rocky, often clay-dominant or serpentinite soils in coastal scrub, chaparral, and grassland habitats at less than 450 m elevation. Commonly found on coastal bluffs.
Ojai fritillary Fritillaria ojaiensis	N	Ν	1B.2	This wildflower is endemic to central California, where it is known from very few occurrences in the central Coast Ranges. Rocky slopes, river basins. May occur between 380-4800' elevation.
Hardham's bedstraw Galium hardhamiae	N	Ν	1B.3	A strict endemic occurring in closed-cone pine forests and chaparral on serpentinite soils at less than 1000 m elevation. Known to associate with Sargent cypress ( <i>Cupressus sargentii</i> ).
Kellogg's horkelia Horkelia cuneata var. sericea	N	Ν	1B.1	Occurs in sandy or gravelly openings in closed-cone coniferous forests, maritime chaparral, coastal dunes, and coastal scrub.
perennial goldfields Lasthenia californica ssp. macrantha	N	N	1B.2	Perennial goldfields occur in grassland and dune habitats along the immediate coast in full sun and damp coastal breezes; found in coastal dune, coastal bluff, and coastal scrub habitats.
Santa Lucia bush-mallow Malacothamnus palmeri var. palmeri	N	N	1B.2	Primarily found in rocky chaparral communities and interior valley foothills. Extremely rare endemic species.
Palmer's monardella Monardella palmeri	N	N	1B.2	A species of flowering plant in the mint family, endemic to California, where it is known only from the Santa Lucia Mountains of the California Coast Ranges in Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties. It grows in local habitat types such as chaparral and forest, often on serpentine soils.
southern curly-leaved monardella <i>Monardella sinuata ssp. sinuata</i>	N	N	1B.2	Sandy soils, coastal strand, dune and sagebrush scrub, coastal chaparral, and oak woodland <300 m elevation.
woodland woollythreads Monolopia gracilens	N	Ν	1B.2	This species grows in openings of grasslands, chaparral, redwood forests, and oak woodland communities. The woodland woollythreads favors serpentine soils between 100-1200 m elevation.

Arroyo de la Cruz lousewort Pedicularis rigginsiae	N	N	1B.1	Endemic to the Arroyo de la Cruz area of San Luis Obispo County, California. Very few recorded occurrences.
Monterey pine <i>Pinus radiata</i>	Ν	Ν	1B.1	The Monterey pine occurs primarily along the Pacific Coast of California in three disjunct populations in San Mateo and Santa Cruz Counties, Monterey County, and San Luis Obispo County. Variations of this species occur on Guadalupe Island and Cedros Island off the west coast of Baja California. Monterey pine grows in coastal, closed-cone coniferous woodlands on primarily well-drained soils. The cones of the Monterey pine are serotinous and dependent on fire or high temperatures to release their seeds; therefore, this species is localized to fire- adapted environments where natural regeneration occurs. Commonly associated with western hardwood communities and may occur with other closed-cone pine species such as knobcone pine ( <i>Pinus attenuata</i> ) and bishop pine ( <i>Pinus muricata</i> ). Monterey pine can typically be found between 197-410 ft elevation.
Santa Lucia mint Pogogyne clareana	N	Е	1B.2	Summer-dry creek beds, swales, vernal pools. Chaparral and oak woodland environments. Known only from about fifty occurrences all located within the bounds of Fort Hunter Liggett.
adobe sanicle <i>Sanicula maritima</i>	N	R	1B.1	Endemic to California, primarily known from limited occurrences in Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties. Habitat includes moist coastal meadows and canyons.
most beautiful jewelflower Streptanthus albidus ssp. peramoenus	N	N	1B.2	This extremely rare species primarily occurs in serpentine soils in open areas of chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, and cismontane woodland habitats. May occur on metamorphic soils (Franciscan formation) on generally barren slopes.
California seablite Suaeda californica	E	Ν	1B.1	Rare species of flowering plant in the amaranth family where it is known from a few occurrences on the margins of coastal salt marshes around Morro Bay.
twisted horsehair lichen Sulcaria spiralifera	N	N	1B.2	Endemic to coastal dune forests in western North America. It occurs at scattered localities from central California to Washington. It is rare throughout its range, except on the Samoa Peninsula in California's Humboldt County and on the Oregon Dunes in Coos County where it is locally abundant.
Cook's triteleia Triteleia ixioides ssp. cookii	N	Ν	1B.3	Endemic to the southern Santa Lucia Mountains, in southern California. Stream sides, wet ravines on serpentine, often near cypresses <700 m elevation.

The above table refers to all special-status plant species returned by CNDDB with federal or state listings (R, TH, E, CTH, CE) within a 9quad vicinity of the project areas.

Additionally, the above table refers to all non-listed special-status plant species returned by CNDDB possessing CNPS California Rare Plant Ranks of 1A/1B or 2A/2B within a 5-mile radius of the project areas.

Refer to Attachment E for guidance on the project-specific review and survey procedures for biological resources.

#### **CNPS Identifiers Used on the Table**

- **1A** Plants presumed extinct in California and rare/extinct elsewhere.
- 1B.1 Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere; seriously threatened in California
- 1B.2 Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere; fairly threatened in California
- 1B.3 Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere; not very threatened in California
- 2A Plants presumed extirpated in California, but more common elsewhere
- 2B.1 Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere; seriously threatened in California
- 2B.2 Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere; fairly threatened in California
- 2B.3 Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere; not very threatened in California

## EC-6: GEOLOGY, SOILS, PALEONTOLOGY, AND MINERAL RESOURCES

		PEIR specific Project specific				
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact GEO-1: Result in Substantial Erosion or Loss of Topsoil	Impact Geo-1, 3.7	LTS	<u>SPR GEO</u> - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, <u>SPR HYD</u> -3 <u>SPR AQ</u> - 3 <u>SPR HYD</u> - 4	Yes	LTS	

Project treatment would include manual treatment, prescribed broadcast and pile burning, and mechanical treatment, which would result in vegetation removal and soil disturbance. Potential impacts related to soil erosion during implementation of the treatment project are within the scope of the of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the extent of vegetation removal, pile burning, and use of mastication equipment are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.7.3, 26-29).

The potential impacts are within the scope of the PEIR because the treatment activities are consistent and will comply with SPR's GEO-1 through GEO-5, GEO-7, GEO-8, HYD-4, AD-3, and AQ-4, which will avoid and minimize the risk of substantial erosion and loss of topsoil. All equipment will be limited to operating on slopes less than 40% but may utilize access routes that are 50% or less. The average slope of operation throughout the treatment areas ranges from approximately 10-30%. Operations will not occur while soils are saturated to avoid disturbances caused by the removal of vegetation.

Although treatments will reduce vegetation and disturb topsoil, the implementations of the SPRs, slope limitations, and soil condition limitations indicate that the potential for this project impact to have substantial erosion and loss of topsoil would be less than significant.

Impact GEO-2: Increase Risk of Landslide	Impact Geo-2,	LTS	<u>SPR GEO-</u> 3, 4, 7, 8, SPR AO- 3	Yes	LTS	
	3.7		<u>3FR AQ</u> - 3			

No mechanical treatments are proposed on slopes greater than 50%. The two project sites exhibit very gentle slopes and mostly flat ground. Most mechanical mastication operations will occur on slopes averaging 30% or less; no significant increase in risk of landslide is anticipated. Potential impacts related to landslides during implementation of the treatment project are within the scope of the of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the extent of vegetation removal, intensity of prescribed burning, and avoidance of steep slopes are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.7.3, 29-30). Equipment will not operate in wet areas to avoid disturbances caused by the removal of vegetation. The project area does not contain any Class II or Class I streams. This project will comply with SPRs GEO-3, GEO-4, GEO-7, GEO-8, and AQ-4 to avoid or minimize the risk of landslide resulting from these treatment activities.

Other Impacts to Geology, Soils, Paleontology, And Mineral Resources: Would the project result in other impacts to geology, soils, paleontology, and mineral resources that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?	N	o N/A	
The proposed treatments are consistent with the treatment types and activities evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR. T site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and has determined they are consistent with the discussed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.7.1 and 3.7.2). No changed circumstances would lead to r PEIR. Therefore, no new impact to geology, soils, paleontology, or mineral resources would occur that is not co	he project pro environmente new significan vered in the P	ponent has consider al and regulatory set t impacts not addres EIR.	ed the tings sed in the
	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
<b>SPR GEO-1 Suspend Disturbance during Heavy Precipitation:</b> The project proponent will suspend mechanical, prescribed herbivory, and herbicide treatments if the National Weather Service forecast is a "chance" (30 percent or more) of rain within the next 24 hours. This SPR applies only to mechanical, prescribed herbivory, and herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	<u>Cal fire</u>
Mechanical treatments will be suspended during heavy precipitation events to minimize the risk of soil compar propose prescribed herbivory at this time.	ction and dist	urbance. This project	does not
• All mechanized equipment including track chippers will shut down for 24 hours following any precipitor hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event 1 inches than 2 inc	ation event of itation event <u>{</u>	0.20 inch to less thar greater or equal to 2	1 inch, 48 inches.
	1		
SPR GEO-2 Limit High Ground Pressure Vehicles: The project proponent will limit heavy equipment that could cause soil disturbance or compaction to be driven through treatment areas when soils are wet and saturated to avoid compaction and/or damage to soil structure. This SPR pplies only to mechanical treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	<u>CAL FIRI</u>

<b>SPR GEO-3 Stabilize Disturbed Soil Areas:</b> The project proponent will stabilize soil disturbed during mechanical, prescribed herbivory treatments and prescribed burns that result in exposure of bare soil over 50 percent or more of the treatment area with mulch or equivalent immediately after treatment activities, to the maximum extent practicable, to minimize the potential for substantial sediment discharge. This SPR only applies to mechanical and prescribed herbivory treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE							
The implementation of this SPR will stabilize soils following the proposed mechanical treatments. This project proposes chipping materials and scattering the chips within the treated areas, which will reduce the amount of exposed bare soil following treatments.										
<b>SPR GEO-4 Erosion Monitoring:</b> The project proponent will inspect treatment areas for the proper implementation of erosion control SPRs and mitigations prior to the rainy season. This SPR applies only to mechanical and prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During-Post	CAL FIRE							
The rainy period for this project area is Mid-October through April. After the first storm event where 1.5 inches of rain or more fell within a 24-hour period the project area will be inspected to determine if water breaks functioned properly, if any area is identified where erosion could result in substantial discharge the area will be immediately corrected and stabilized.										
<b>SPR GEO-5 Drain Stormwater via Water Breaks:</b> The project proponent will drain compacted and/or bare linear treatment areas capable of generating storm runoff via water breaks using the spacing and erosion control guidelines contained in Sections 914.6, 934.6, and 954.6(c) of the California Forest Practice Rules. This SPR applies only to mechanical, manual, and prescribed burn treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During-Post	CAL FIRE							
The implementation of this SPR will direct stormwater runoff to minimize the risk of erosion occurring within tre during operations following mechanical and manual treatments that may compact or disturb soils.	atment area	s or road infrastruct	ture utilized							
<b>SPR GEO-6 Minimize Burn Pile Size:</b> The project proponent will not create burn piles that exceed 20 feet in length, width, or diameter, except when on landings, road surfaces, or on contour to minimize the spatial extent of soil damage. This SPR applies to mechanical, manual, and prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE							
Pile burning activities will be implemented and supervised by CAL FIRE and burn piles will not exceed 20 feet in length, width, or diameter, unless implemented in accordance with the exceptions described in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 2.7.6, 47).										
SPR GEO-7 Minimize Erosion, Slope Restrictions for Heavy Equipment and Tractor Roads. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE							
The proposed mechanical treatments are limited to slopes equal to or less than 40%. Equipment access is limite the average slope of operation throughout the treatment areas ranges from approximately 20-30%.	ed to slopes e	equal to or less than	50% and							

The proposed mechanical treatments are limited to slopes equal to or less than 40%. Equipment access is limited to slopes equal to or less than 50% and the average slope of operation throughout the treatment areas ranges from approximately 20-30%, therefore, SPR GEO-8 does not apply to this project.

### EC-7: GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
<b>Impact GHG-1</b> : Conflict with applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of GHGs	Impact GHG-1, 3.8	LTS	<u>SPR GHG</u> - 1	Yes	LTS	

During initial and maintenance treatments, the use of vehicles and mechanical equipment would result in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The potential for these treatments and treatment activities to result in a conflict with the applicable plans, policies, and regulations regarding GHG emissions was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.8.3, page 10-11). The proposed project is consistent with all applicable plans, policies, and regulations related to the purpose of reducing GHG emissions and treatment activities area consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. The project proponent will comply with SPR GHG-1 to provide all necessary data required by the USFS and FRAP to fulfill AB 1504. The project impacts relating to the consistency of treatments with the applicable plans, policies, and regulations will remain less than significant.

The use of vehicles and pile burning and mechanical equipment during initial and maintenance treatments would result in GHG emissions.

The potential for treatments to generate GHG emissions was analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.8.3, page 11-17). In the long-term, the treatment activities are expected to have carbon sequestration benefits and are intended to reduce the risk of wildfire, which would decrease projected GHG emissions.

Based on the proposed treatments in tree fuel types listed in the CalVTP Table 3.8-3, mechanical treatments are estimated to produce approximately 494.7 MTCO2e, or 0.92 MTCO2e/ acre, manual treatments are estimated to produce approximately 39.8 MTCO2e, or 0.69 MTCO2e/acre, pile burn treatments are estimated to produce approximately 0.02 MTCO2e/acre. The actual acreage that will be treated by pile burning and herbicide is variable, therefore, accurate estimates of GHG emissions for these treatment activities are not provided. The estimated calculation derived from the values in the CalVTP PEIR Table 3.8-3 does not include the GHG emissions from vehicle transport, including the transportation of equipment and contractors. CalVTP PEIR Table 3.8-2 indicates that in 2008, the largest fire year displayed in the table, 1.35 million acres burned producing approximately 45.7 MMTCO2. As of October 2020, approximately 4 million acres have burned, which is approximately three times the acreage burned and MMTCO2 produced in 2008. Implementing the treatment activities for this project would produce significantly less MTCO2 than an average wildfire year and would create an opportunity for wildfire to be contained or slow the rate of its spread.

The GHG emissions produced from this treatment project are within the scope of the impacts evaluated in the PEIR because the proposed activities, equipment and duration of use, and the intent of the treatments to reduce wildfire risk and GHG emissions associated with wildfire are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. Therefore, the potential for the project treatment activities to result in GHG emissions is considered potentially significant and unavoidable, as stated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.8.3, page 17).

Other Impacts to related to Greenhouse Gases: Would the project	No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
result in other impacts related to greenhouse gases that are not			
evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?			

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities considered in the CalVTP PEIR. The project proponent has considered all sitespecific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined that they are consistent with the environmental and regulatory settings as stated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.8.1 and 3.8.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact regarding GHG emissions would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.
	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
<b>SPR GHG-1 Contribute to the AB 1504 Carbon Inventory Process:</b> The project proponent of treatment projects subject to the AB 1504 process will provide all necessary data about the treatment that is needed by the U.S. Forest Service and FRAP to fulfill requirements of the AB 1504 carbon inventory, and to aid in the ongoing research about the long-term net change in carbon sequestration resulting from treatment activity. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE During-Post	CAL FIRE
The project proponent will comply with SPR GHG-1 to provide all necessary data required by the USFS and FRAP	to fulfill AB	1504.	
<b>MM GHG-2. Implement GHG Emission Reduction Techniques During Prescribed Burns.</b> The project proponent will document in the Burn Plan required pursuant to SPR AQ-3 which methods for reducing GHG emissions can feasibly be integrated into the treatment design.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
A Burn Plan pursuant to SPR AQ-3 will be prepared by the project proponent prior to prescribed broadcast and reducing GHG emissions may include, but are not limited to, the retention of large fuels (e.g., large logs, snags) to burns to occur before new fuels appear.	pile burn tre o reduce toto	atment activities. Me al area burned and s	thods for cheduling

#### EC-8: ENERGY

		PEIR specific		Project specific		
	ldentify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact ENG-1: Result in Wasteful, Inefficient, or Unnecessary Consumption of Energy	Impact ENG-1, 3.9	LTS	N/A	Yes	LTS	

The use of vehicles, mechanical equipment, chainsaws, and other mechanized hand tools during initial and maintenance treatments will result in the consumption of energy. The potential for impacts to result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy and the use of fossil fuels was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.9.3, page 7-8). The consumption of energy during the project treatment activities is within the scope of the impacts addressed in the PEIR because the treatment activities, the equipment, and its duration of use, are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. There are no applicable SPR's or mitigation measures for this project impact, however, idle time for all equipment will be limited and crews will be

encouraged to carpool to reduce the amount of energy consumed throughout the duration of this project. Therefore, the potential for this project to result in significant wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary energy consumption remains less than significant.

 Other Impacts to Energy Resources: Would the project result in other impacts to energy resources that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?
 No
 N/A
 Image: Calver peint is consistent with the treatment types and activities discussed in the Calver peint. The project proponent has considered all site

specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined that they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental setting conditions developed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.9.1 and 3.9.2). No changed circumstances would lead to significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to energy resources would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.

## EC-9: HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY

		PEIR specific	;	Pro	oject specific	
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact HAZ-1: Create a Significant Health Hazard from the Use of Hazardous Materials	Impact HAZ-1, 3.10	LTS	<u>SPR HAZ</u> - 1	Yes	LTS	

The initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical treatments and may include herbicide application, both of which would require the use of hazardous materials. The potential for treatment activities to create a significant health hazard from the use of hazardous materials was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.10.3, page 14-15). The potential impacts related to the use of fuels during treatment activities are within the scope of the activities and impacts discussed in the PEIR because the treatment types, equipment, and types of hazardous materials to be used are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

Any hazardous materials and emissions would result from the use of diesel fuel, chainsaw and mechanized hand tool fuel, and chainsaw bar oil; these materials will be transported and stored in appropriate containers. All personnel will wear personal protective equipment (PPE) and will be properly trained in the usage of equipment. All equipment associated with the proposed project will comply with SPR HAZ-1 to ensure proper maintenance and

*minimize leaks.* SPR HAZ-2 requires mechanized hand tools to have spark arrestors and will be implemented to minimize the risk of potential ignitions. *Herbicide application impacts are discussed under Impact HAZ-2 below.* 

Based on the proper storage and transportation of fuels and oils, the use of PPE, and the implementation of the applicable SPR's, the potential for this project to result in significant health hazards from the use of hazardous materials is less than significant.

Impact HAZ-2: Create a Significant Health Hazard from the Use of Herbicides	Impact HAZ-2, 3.10	LTS	<u>SPR HAZ</u> - 5, 6, 7, 8, 9	Yes	LTS	
---	--------------------------	-----	-----------------------------------	-----	-----	--

Initial and maintenance treatments may include herbicide application that would require the transportation, storage, and disposal of various herbicides. The potential for treatment activities to create a significant health hazard from the use of herbicides was analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.10.3, page 15-18). The potential impacts related to the use of herbicides during treatment activities are within the scope of the activities and impacts discussed within the PEIR because the application methods and herbicides to be used are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

Under the CalVTP, herbicide treatments will be limited to ground-level application and must comply with all Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) label directions. According to the PEIR Table 3.10-1, the herbicides proposed under the CalVTP pose low levels of toxicity to humans (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.10.3 Table 3.10-1, page 16-17). In addition, the proposed project treatments will comply with SPR HAZ-5 through HAZ-9, which requires the following: a Spill Prevention and Response Plan will be prepared prior to any herbicide treatment activities (SPR HAZ-5), compliance to herbicide application regulations including permitting and licensing through the San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Commissioner's office prior to herbicide application (SPR HAZ-6), triple rinse herbicide containers and dispose of rinsed materials at an approved site (SPR HAZ-7), minimize herbicide drift into public areas through application parameters such as limitations for nozzle pressure and nozzle distance from vegetation (SPR HAZ-8), and notification of herbicide within 500 feet of public areas including posting signs on either side of herbicide treatment areas (SPR HAZ-9). Based on compliance to regulatory requirements and SPR's in addition to utilizing low-level toxicity herbicides proposed under the PEIR, the potential for this project to result in significant health hazard from the use of herbicides is less than significant.

	Impact HAZ-3: Expose the Public or Environment to Significant Hazards from Disturbance to Known Hazardous Material Sites	Impact HAZ-3, 3 10	PS	<u>MM HAZ</u> - 3	Yes	LTSM	
--	---	--------------------------	----	-------------------	-----	------	--

The initial and maintenance treatments of this proposed project include mechanical treatments that will disturb soils, which could expose workers, the public, or the environment to hazardous material if a contaminated site is present within the project area. The potential for the treatment activities to disturb or encounter contaminated sites that could expose workers, the public, or the environment to hazardous materials was examined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.10.3, page 18-19). Based on the Cortese List from the DTSC, there are no known hazardous waste sites identified within the proposed project areas. In addition, the project areas do not appear to contain any naturally occurring asbestos.

The project proponent will implement and comply with Mitigation Measure HAZ-3 to identify and avoid any known hazardous waste sites. The project area is on private property and no public state or federal lands exist within the boundary of the treatment sites. Treatment areas are only accessible to the public under the revocable permission of the Landowner. Public access will be suspended during treatment operations.

Other Impacts to Hazardous Materials, Public Health and Safety: Would the project result in other impacts to hazardous materials, public health and safety that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?				No	N/A	
---	--	--	--	----	-----	--

The proposed project is consistent with the treatment types and activities considered in the CalVTP PEIR. The project proponent has considered all sitespecific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined that they comply with the regulatory and environmental setting conditions as stated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.10.1 and 3.10.2). No changed circumstances would give rise to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to hazardous materials, public health, and safety would occur that are not covered in the PEIR.

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
<b>SPR HAZ-1 Maintain All Equipment:</b> The project proponent will maintain all diesel- and gasoline- powered equipment per manufacturer's specifications, and in compliance with all state and federal emissions requirements. Maintenance records will be available for verification. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Mechanical and manual treatment crews and prescribed burn crews will maintain all equipment in compliance impacts resulting from leaks.	to SPR HAZ-	1 to minimize the risl	k of
SPR HAZ-2 Require Spark Arrestors: This SPR applies only to manual treatment activities and all treatment types	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
CAL FIRE chainsaw training course requires and trains employee's chainsaw operations without a spark arrestor service until a spark arrestor is installed.	is prohibite	d, and the chainsaw	is out of
<b>SPR HAZ-3 Require Fire Extinguishers:</b> The project proponent will require tree cutting crews to carry one fire extinguisher per chainsaw. Each vehicle would be equipped with one long-handled shovel and one axe or Pulaski consistent with PRC Section 4428. This SPR applies only to manual treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE During	<u>CAL FIRE</u>

Manual treatment crews will carry one fire extinguisher per chainsaw and vehicles will be equipped with one lon	ng-handled s	hovel and one axe o	or Pulaski.
<b>SPR HAZ-4 Prohibit Smoking in Vegetated Areas.</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Contractor crews shall not smoke in vegetated areas prior to or during operations.			
<b>SPR HAZ-5 Spill Prevention and Response Plan:</b> The project proponent or licensed Pest Control Advisor (PCA) will prepare a Spill Prevention and Response Plan (SPRP) prior to beginning any herbicide treatment activities to provide protection to onsite workers, the public, and the environment from accidental leaks or spills of herbicides, adjuvants, or other potential contaminants. This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
The project proponent (CAL FIRE) or a licensed Pest Control Advisor (PCA) will prepare a SPRP prior to herbicide t	reatments.		
<b>SPR HAZ-6 Comply with Herbicide Application Regulations.</b> This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
The project proponent (CAL FIRE) will coordinate herbicide use with the San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Com of herbicide treatments.	nmissioner's	Office prior to impl	ementation
<b>SPR HAZ-7 Triple Rinse Herbicide Containers.</b> This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
The project proponent (CAL FIRE) or a supervised designee will triple rinse herbicide containers at approved loca per Rinse and Drain Procedures defined in 3 CCR Section 6684.	tions and di	spose of rinsate in k	oatch tanks
<b>SPR HAZ-8 Minimize Herbicide Drift to Public Areas.</b> This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
The project proponent (CAL FIRE) or a supervised designee will minimize herbicide drift to public areas by employ parameters including, but not limited to, avoiding application in excessive winds, applying large droplet sizes, m application in close proximity to the target vegetation.	ying respons aintaining lo	sible herbicide appli ow nozzle pressure,	cation and
<b>SPR HAZ-9 Notification of Herbicide Use in the Vicinity of Public Areas.</b> This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
	alamantatio	n of herhicide treatr	nents in

<b>MM HAZ-3: Identify and Avoid Known Hazardous Waste Sites</b> Prior to the start of vegetation treatment activities requiring soil disturbance (i.e., mechanical treatments) or prescribed burning, CAL FIRE and other project proponents will make reasonable efforts to check with the landowner or other entity with jurisdiction (e.g., California Department of Parks and Recreation) to determine if there are any sites known to have previously used, stored, or disposed of hazardous materials.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior	CAL FIRE		
The project proponent has completed pre-operational research to determine that there are not any sites known to have previously used, stored, or					

### EC-10: HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

		PEIR specif	ic	Pro		
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
<b>Impact HYD-1</b> : Violate Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements, Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality, or Conflict with or Obstruct the Implementation of a Water Quality Control Plan Through the Implementation of Prescribed Burning	Impact HYD-1, 3.11	LTS	<u>SPR HYD</u> - 4 <u>SPR AQ</u> - 3 <u>SPR BIO</u> - 4, 5 <u>SPR GEO</u> -4, <u>6</u> <u>MM BIO</u> - 3b	Yes	LTS	

No Class I or Class II streams are present within the proposed treatment areas.

*Pile construction and pile burn treatments are not proposed to occur within watercourse protection zones or watercourse channels inside the project area and will be implemented outside of Class III EEZs. No ignition or use of accelerant associated with prescribed broadcast burn treatments may occur within Class III channels or EEZs; however, low intensity burns or backing fires may be allowed to enter or spread into Class III EEZs.* 

Equipment used for prescribed burn operations will be excluded from Equipment Exclusion Zones (EEZs) around Class III watercourses except in locations along the Class III where designated equipment crossing zones are established and flagged.

The impact is within the scope of the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.11.3, 24-27) and site-specific analysis.

<b>Impact HYD-2</b> : Violate Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements, Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality, or Conflict with or Obstruct the Implementation of a Water Quality Control Plan Through the Implementation of Manual or Mechanical Treatment Activities	Impact HYD-2, 3.11	LTS	<u>SPR HYD</u> - 1, 4, 5 <u>SPR BIO</u> - 1 <u>SPR GEO</u> - 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8 <u>SPR HAZ</u> - 1, 5	Yes	LTS		
---	--------------------------	-----	--	-----	-----	--	--

Initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical treatments, and manual treatments. All qualifying manual and mechanical treatments implemented under the CalVTP would integrate SPRs into treatment design to protect watercourses, limit equipment use on wet soils or steep slopes, stabilize highly disturbed areas, prevent concentration of runoff, and prevent spill or leaks from equipment. The potential for mechanical treatments to violate water quality regulations or degrade water quality was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.11.3, 27-28).

Equipment Exclusion Zones (EEZs) have been identified and established around Class III watercourses within the project area; No Class I or Class II watercourses are present within the treatment areas. Equipment use will be excluded from Class III Equipment Exclusion Zones except in locations along the Class III where equipment crossing zones are established. Equipment crossing points along Class IIIs shall be clearly flagged and identified with the contractor in the field prior to operations to prevent degradation of downstream beneficial uses of water. The centerlines of Class III watercourses have been flagged; EEZs extend to a minimum of 30' from the centerline on each side of a Class III stream channel.

Potential impacts are within the scope of the activities and impacts evaluated in the PEIR because the use of equipment and associated impacts to water quality are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. The project proponent will implement SPR GEO-1 through GEO-4, GEO-7, GEO-8, BIO-1, HAZ-1, and HYD-1 to avoid and minimize the risk of substantial degradation to surface or groundwater quality from mechanical treatment activities. The implemented SPRs include limitations to precipitation, soil saturation, and operable slopes, stabilizing disturbed soil and erosion monitoring, equipment maintenance, preliminary review of biological resources, and compliance with water quality regulations.

Based on avoidance measures and implementation of SPRs, the potential for this project to result in a violation of water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, degradation of surface and ground water quality, or conflict with or obstruct the Water Quality Control Plan would be less than significant.

<b>Impact HYD-3</b> : Violate Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements, Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality, or Conflict with or Obstruct the Implementation of a Water Quality Control Plan Through Prescribed Herbivory	Impact HYD-3, 3.11	LTS	<u>SPR HYD</u> - 3	No	N/A	
Quality Control Plan Through Prescribed Herbivory						

*This impact does not apply to the initial treatment because prescribed herbivory is not proposed for this project at this time.* 

<b>Impact HYD-4</b> : Violate Water Quality Standards or Waste Discharge Requirements, Substantially Degrade Surface or Ground Water Quality, or Conflict with or Obstruct the Implementation of a Water Quality Control Plan Through the Ground Application of Herbicides	Impact HYD-4, 3.11	LTS	<u>SPR HYD</u> - 5 <u>SPR BIO</u> - 4 <u>SPR HAZ</u> - 5, 7	Yes	LTS	
---	--------------------------	-----	--	-----	-----	--

Treatment activities may include limited, selective herbicide application, which can affect water quality through runoff, leaching, drift, and misapplication or spills. The potential for herbicide treatment activities to violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, substantially degrade surface or ground water quality, or conflict with or obstruct the implementation of a water quality control plan through the ground application of herbicides was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.11.3, 29-30). Potential impacts are within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the methods of herbicide application, transportation, storage, and disposal are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

Under the CalVTP, herbicide treatment activities are limited to ground-level application by hand (SPR BIO-4) and compliance to EPA labels is required. The proposed project treatment areas are located outside of any Class I or Class II WLPZs, and SPR HYD-5 prohibits nonaquatic herbicide formulations from being applied within 50 feet of a waterbody or riparian area and prohibits application during precipitation or within 24 hours of forecasted precipitation. In addition, a Spill Prevention and Response Plan will be prepared prior to herbicide treatment activities (SPR HAZ-5) and all herbicide containers must be triple rinsed and hazardous waste materials must be disposed of at an approved site (SPR HAZ-7).

Based on the compliance to EPA labels and SPR limitations, the potential for this project to result in a violation of water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, substantially degrade surface or ground water quality, or conflict with or obstruct the implementation of a water quality control plan through the ground application of herbicides is less than significant.

<b>Impact HYD-5</b> : Substantially Alter the Existing Drainage Pattern of a Treatment Site or Area	Impact HYD-5,	LTS	<u>SPR HYD</u> - 4, 6	Yes	LTS	
	3.11		<u>SPR GEO-</u> 5			

The initial and maintenance treatments include the use of mechanical treatment, which would result in ground disturbance. The potential for mechanical treatment to substantially alter existing drainage patterns of a project site was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.11.3, 30-31). The potential impacts are within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the use of equipment and treatment activities are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

All identified Class III drainages have been flagged in the field prior to operations where equipment has the potential to approach the channel. Chipped material should not be placed or cast into watercourses or near existing culverts. The implementation of SPR HYD-1, HYD-2, HYD-4, and HYD-6 would avoid and minimize the risk of substantially altering the existing drainage pattern of the treatment area through compliance to water quality regulations, avoiding construction of new roads, identifying and establishing EEZs, and protecting existing drainage systems. Therefore, any impact would be less than significant.

Other Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality: Would the project		No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
result in other impacts to hydrology and water quality that are not evaluated in the CaIVTP PEIR?				

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities addressed in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered all site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental settings discussed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.11.1 and 3.11.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not analyzed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to hydrology and water quality would occur not covered in the PEIR.

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity				
<b>SPR HYD-1 Comply with Water Quality Regulations:</b> Project proponents must also conduct proposed vegetation treatments in conformance with appropriate RWQCB timber, vegetation and land disturbance related Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) and/or related Conditional Waivers of Waste Discharge Requirements (Waivers), and appropriate Basin Plan Prohibitions. Where these regulatory requirements differ, the most restrictive will apply. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	<u>CAL FIRE</u>				
Initial and maintenance treatments will be implemented in conformance with the Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) and/or related Conditional Waivers of Waste Discharge Requirements (Waivers), and the water quality control plan for the Central Coast Basin, pursuant to the standards adopted by the California Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (CCRWQCB) (Region 3). The CCRWQCB has been contacted and consulted during the development phase of this project to ensure its compliance with applicable water quality regulations.							
<b>SPR HYD-2 Avoid Construction of New Roads:</b> The project proponent will not construct or reconstruct (i.e., cutting or filling involving less than 50 cubic yards/0.25 linear road miles) any new roads (including temporary roads). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE				
No new roads will be constructed as part of the proposed project.							
<b>SPR HYD-3 Water Quality Protections for Prescribed Herbivory:</b> This SPR applies to prescribed herbivory treatment activities and all treatment types.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE				
This project does not include prescribed herbivory at this time, therefore, this SPR does not apply.							

<b>SPR HYD-4 Identify and Protect Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones:</b> The project proponent will establish Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZs) as defined in 14 CCR Section 916 .5 of the California Forest Practice Rules on either side of watercourses. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE					
Standards and protection buffers related to Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZs), as defined in 14 C Practice Rules, apply to Class I and Class II streams. No Class I or Class II streams are present within the project	CR Section 9 or treatment	16.5 of the Californic areas.	r Forest					
Class III streams identified within proposed treatment areas are ephemeral and have been provided an Equipment Exclusion Zone (EEZ) buffer of at least 30' in areas where equipment has the potential to approach a Class III stream channel. Equipment use will be excluded from Class III EEZs except in locations along the Class III where equipment crossing zones are established to prevent the degradation of downstream beneficial uses of water. Equipment crossing points along Class IIIs shall be clearly flagged and identified with the contractor in the field prior to operations.								
<b>SPR HYD-5 Protect Non-Target Vegetation and Special-status Species from Herbicides:</b> This SPR applies to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE					
Herbicide application will be conducted in accordance with applicable local ordinances and polices, SPRs and M recommendations to protect non-target and special-status plant species.	litigation Me	asures, and manufa	cturer					
<b>SPR HYD-6 Protect Existing Drainage Systems:</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE					
All Class III watercourses in proximity to treatment areas and existing watercourse crossings shall be flagged pri- equipment from accessing the watercourses and minimize the risk of mechanical treatments resulting in an imp	or to operati act to existir	ons to exclude heavy ng drainage systems.	/					

# EC-11: LAND USE AND PLANNING, POPULATION AND HOUSING

	PEIR specific			Pro				
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact		
Impact LU-1: Cause a Significant Environmental Impact Due to a Conflict with a Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation	Impact LU-1, 3.12	LTS	<u>SPR AD</u> - 3, 9	No	N/A			
Conflict with a Land Use Plan, Policy, or Regulation 3.12 0.12   The proposed project will occur on private property that allows limited public access only at San Simeon Point under the revocable permission of the Landowner and within the terms of the 2005 conservation easement. Public access to Pico Creek is prohibited without prior consent from the Landowner. This Project Specific Analysis will be submitted to local agencies including, but not limited to, San Luis Obispo County Planning & Building Department to ensure all standards of local coastal programs, county land use plans, and local ordinances, regulations, and policies are satisfied prior to treatments.   Due to the proposed treatment areas being located within the California Coastal Zone, the California Coastal Commission (CCC) and Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District were consulted during the development of this project to ensure compliance with the Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District Forest Health and Fire Resilience Public Works Plan (PWP) (2021). A Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards (Coastal VTS) document has been developed pursuant to the PWP and is included as part of this PSA (Attachment D).   The potential for treatment activities to cause a significant environmental impact to these standards was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.12.3, 13-14). The treatment types and activities are within the scope of those evaluated in the PEIR because the treatment activities and associated impacts are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.   The implementation of SPR AD-3 will avoid and minimize the risk of significant environmental impact due to conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation. Therefore, the impact does not apply.								
Impact LU-2: Induce Substantial Unplanned Population Growth	Impact LU-2, 3.12	LTS	N/A	Yes	LTS			
The initial and maintenance treatments will require contractors and local CAL Fi substantial population growth as a result of increases in demand for employees 14-15). Impacts associated with short-term increases in demand for employees the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the number of workers analyzed in the PEIR for the types of treatments proposed.	IRE crews for s was analyze during the in s required fo	implemento ed in the PEII nplementatio r treatment i	ntion. The pot R (CalVTP Find on of the trea implementation	ential for tr al PEIR Volu tment proje on is consist	eatments to resul me II Section 3.12 oct are within the s tent with the crew	t in 2.3, page scope of size		

Activities proposed under this project are not expected to result in substantial demand for additional employees to implement treatments or cause a need for new housing, roads, or infrastructure. Any impact to population growth in the area would be non-existent or less than significant.

Other Impacts related to Land Use and Planning, Population and Housing: Would the project result in other impacts related to land use and planning, and population and housing that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?

	No	N/A	$\boxtimes$

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities covered in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered all site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined they are consistent with the applicable environmental and regulatory setting conditions discussed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.12.1 and 3.12.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to land use and planning, population and housing would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.

### EC-12: NOISE

	PEIR specific			Pro		
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact NOI-1: Result in a Substantial Short-Term Increase in Exterior Ambient Noise Levels During Treatment Implementation	Impact NOI-1, 3.13	LTS	<u>SPR NOI</u> - 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, <u>6</u> <u>SPR AD</u> - 3	Yes	LTS	

The initial and maintenance treatments would include the use of mechanical treatment that requires heavy, noise-generating equipment. The potential for substantial short-term increase in ambient noise levels was analyzed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.13.3, 9-12). Short-term increases in noise from the use of heavy equipment is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the types and number of equipment proposed, and the duration of use of the equipment are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

The implementation of SPR AD-3 and NOI-1 through NOI-6 would minimize the risk of increasing exterior ambient noise levels during treatment implementation. The applicable SPRs require that heavy equipment use will be limited to daytime hours (SPR NOI-1), equipment will be maintained and equipped with exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds (SPR NOI-2), engine shrouds will be closed during operations (SPR NOI-3), staging areas will be located away from noise-sensitive land uses (SPR NOI-4), equipment idle time will be limited to 5 minutes (SPR NOI-5), and noise-sensitive receptors located within 1,500 feet of treatment activities will be notified (SPR NOI-6). Therefore, the impact would be less than significant.

SPR NOI-1 allows for project proponents not subject to local ordinances (e.g., CAL FIRE) to adhere to operational hour limitations described in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 2.7.10, 52-53) or elect to adhere to the local Noise Level Standards identified by Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 6, Sections 42-50). Impact LTS SPR NOI-1 Yes LTS  $\boxtimes$ Impact NOI-2: Result in a Substantial Short-Term Increase in Truck-NOI-2, Generated SENL's During Treatment Activities 3.13 The initial and maintenance treatments would require large trucks to haul heavy equipment and crews to the project site. These haul trucks would pass by residential receptors, which could increase the single event noise levels (SENL). The potential for a substantial short-term increase in SENL was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.13.3, 12). Short-term increases in noise from the use of heavy equipment during project implementation is within the scope of the treatment activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the number and types of equipment proposed are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. All haul trips and use of heavy equipment will be limited to daytime hours to avoid sleep disturbance of nearby residents. SPR NOI-1 allows for project proponents not subject to local ordinances (e.g., CAL FIRE) to adhere to operational hour limitations described in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 2.7.10, 52-53) or elect to adhere to the local Noise Level Standards identified by Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 6, Sections 42-50). N/A  $\boxtimes$ No Other Impacts Related to Noise: Would the project result in other impacts related to noise that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR? The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities discussed in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered all site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental setting conditions addressed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.13.1 and 3.13.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to noise would occur that is not analyzed in the PEIR. Implementing Entity Verifying/ Monitoring & Timing Relative Applicable to Implementation Entity

	to implementation	
Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
	Yes	Yes CAL FIRE During

Sunday and federal holidays 9:00 am to 6:00 pm

SPR NOI-1 allows for project proponents not subject to local ordinances (e.g., CAL FIRE) to adhere to operational hour limitations described in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 2.7.10, 52-53) or elect to adhere to the local Noise Level Standards identified by Title 23 of the San Luis Obispo County Code, Coastal Zone Land Use Ordinance (Chapter 6, Sections 42-50).

<b>SPR NOI-2 Equipment Maintenance:</b> All diesel- and gasoline-powered treatment equipment will be properly maintained and equipped with noise-reduction intake and exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds, in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations. This SPR applies to all activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
Implementation of this SPR will reduce the amount of ambient noise produced during operations.			
<b>SPR NOI-3 Engine Shroud Closure:</b> The project proponent will require that engine shrouds be closed during equipment operation. This SPR applies only to mechanical treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
The implementation of this SPR will reduce the amount of ambient noise produced during operations.			
<b>SPR NOI-4 Locate Staging Areas Away from Noise-Sensitive Land Uses.</b> This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
The project is located on private property; public access will be suspended in areas where limited public access Landowner. Equipment will be staged well within the project boundaries and away from noise-sensitive land use	is allowed ur es.	nder the discretion o	f the
<b>SPR NOI-5 Restrict Equipment Idle Time:</b> The project proponent will require that all motorized equipment be shut down when not in use. Idling of equipment and haul trucks will be limited to 5 minutes. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
The implementation of this SPR will reduce the amount of noise produced during operations.	1		
<b>SPR NOI-6 Notify Nearby Off-Site Noise-Sensitive Receptors:</b> For treatment activities utilizing heavy equipment, the project proponent will notify noise-sensitive receptors (e.g., residential land uses, schools, hospitals, places of worship) located within 1,500 feet of the treatment activity. This SPR applies only to mechanical treatment activities and all treatment types.	Choose an item.	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Choose an item.	CAL FIRE
All Off-site noise-sensitive receptors will be notified prior to treatments.	1		

# EC-13: RECREATION

	PEIR specific						
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to th project Treatment proposed	e Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact	
Impact REC-1: Directly or Indirectly Disrupt Recreational Activities within Designated Recreation Areas	Impact REC-1, 3.14	LTS	<u>SPR REC</u> - 1	No	N/A		
The project boundaries do not contain any designated public recreation areas as defined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.14.3, 6-7), therefore this impact does not apply. Limited public access to San Simeon Point is permitted but revocable under the discretion of the Landowner and within the terms of a conservation easement enacted on the property in 2005. Permissive public access on San Simeon Point will be suspended during treatment operations and restored at the discretion of the Landowner. Public access to Pico Creek is prohibited without prior consent from the Landowner.							
Other Impacts to Recreation: Would the project result in other impacts to recreation that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?				No	N/A		
The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities addressed in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered all site-specific characteristics and determined they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental setting conditions presented in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.14.1 and 3.14.2). There are no changed circumstances that would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to recreation would occur that is not discussed in the PEIR.							
			Appl	icable t	nplementing Entity & Timing Relative o Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity	
SPR REC-1 Notify Recreational Users of Temporary Closures. If tem recreation area or facility is required, the project proponent will work with post notifications of the closure approximately 2 weeks prior to the comm activities. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types	porary clos the owner nencement S.	sure of a /manager tof the trea	to atment <sup>Y</sup>	es	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE	

*Limited public access to San Simeon Point is permitted but revocable under the discretion of the Landowner and within the terms of a conservation easement enacted on the property in 2005. Permissive public access on San Simeon Point will be suspended during treatment operations and restored at the discretion of the Landowner. Public access/recreation at Pico Creek is prohibited without prior consent from the Landowner.* 

Notification of treatment activities on San Simeon Point will be posted and/or made available to public visitors by appropriate and effective means at least 2 weeks prior to the commencement of treatment operations.

## EC-14: TRANSPORTATION

	PEIR specific			Pro		
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
<b>Impact TRAN-1</b> : Result in temporary traffic operations impacts by conflicting with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing roadway facilities or prolonged road closures	Impact TRAN- 1, 3.15	LTS	<u>SPR TRAN</u> - 1 <u>SPR AD</u> - 3	Yes	LTS	$\boxtimes$

The initial and maintenance treatments may temporarily increase vehicular traffic due to hauling equipment and crew transportation. The potential for a temporary increase in traffic to conflict with a program, plan, or policy addressing roadway facilities or prolonged road closures was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.15.3, 9-10). The proposed treatment project would be short-term and temporary increases in traffic related to the treatments are within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the treatment duration and number of vehicles is consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

The implementation of SPR AD-3 and TRAN-1 will reduce the risk of conflicting with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing roadway facilities or prolonged road closures through the implementation of traffic control during operations. Vehicles and equipment would be staged within project boundaries, away from public viewsheds where feasible and not located on permanent roads. Proposed treatment activities are not expected to result in substantial or long-term impacts to traffic operations, therefore this impact would be less than significant.

Impact TRAN-2: Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses	Impact TRAN- 2, 3.15	LTS	<u>SPR TRAN</u> - 1 SPR AD-3	No	N/A	
--	----------------------------	-----	---------------------------------	----	-----	--

*The impact does not apply to the proposed project initial and maintenance treatments because the treatments would not require the construction or alteration of any roadways.* 

Impact TRAN-3: Result in a net increase in VMT for the proposed CalVTP	Impact TRAN- 3, 3.15	PSU	<u>MM AQ</u> - 1	Yes	PSU	
--	----------------------------	-----	------------------	-----	-----	--

Initial and maintenance treatments could temporarily increase vehicle miles traveled (VMT) because the project sites cover a large area, which requires vehicle trips to access the sites. The potential for net increase in VMT to occur was analyzed in the PEIR and was identified as potentially significant and unavoidable for the program as a whole (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.15.3, page 11-13).

This individual project is expected to require only a small number of trips per day; far less than the 110-trip threshold as discussed in the PEIR and the Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts (OPR, 2018). The most VMT would occur at the beginning and end of the project to haul equipment in and out of the project area. Daily VMT would consist of crew transportation to and from the site. Hiring local contractors will be encouraged where feasible to reduce the amount of VMT. No SPRs apply to this impact. The project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure AQ-1 to encourage crew members to carpool and further reduce VMT.

Based on the implementation of Mitigation Measure AQ-1, measures to reduce VMT, and short-term duration of this project, the potential for this individual project to result in a net increase in VMT would remain potentially significant and unavoidable, as stated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.15.3, page 12-13):

"...even though the probability of a net VMT reduction could be reasonably expected to occur in the long term with the intended reduction in wildfire occurrence and severity, and individual vegetation treatments would likely be less than significant pursuant to the thresholds identified in OPR's Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts. Even though the intended outcome would be less than significant, the 'potentially significant and unavoidable' determination is necessary under CEQA to disclose in good faith the potential effects related to VMT generated by the program as a whole."

Other Impacts to Transportation: Would the project result in other		No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
impacts to transportation that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?				

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities discussed in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered all site-specific characteristics of the proposed treatment project and determined they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental setting conditions presented in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II 3.15.1 and 3.15.2). No changed circumstances would give rise to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to transportation would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
<b>SPR TRAN-1 Implement Traffic Control during Treatments:</b> Prior to initiating vegetation treatment activities the project proponent will work with the agency(ies) with jurisdiction over affected roadways to determine if a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is needed. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
Traffic will not be increased beyond what is normal for the local area. Vehicles will be entering and exiting the p San Simeon Village and CA State Route 1. It will be determined by the project proponent if traffic control is need during operations.	roject areas j ed at any loc	from San Simeon Ro ation along public ro	ad in Old bads

Signs will be placed at the entrance to and along San Simeon Road as necessary advising motorists of slow vehicles entering and exiting the roadway.

During prescribed burning operations signs, will be placed along appropriate roadways to advise of smoke conditions.

# EC-15: PUBLIC SERVICES, UTILITIES, AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

	PEIR specific			Project specific				
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact		
Impact UTIL-1: Result in Physical Impacts Associated with Provision of Sufficient Water Supplies, Including Related Infrastructure Needs	Impact UTL-1, 3.16	LTS	N/A	Yes	LTS			
Vegetation treatments would include prescribed burning, which would require an on-site water supply. Water may be supplied from the Landowner's existing on-site water supply or municipal sources. During pile burning operations, fire equipment will come equipped with water prior to entering the project location. No significant impact to the local water supply in the form of increased demand for water as a result of this project is likely. The impact is within the scope of the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.16.3, 9) and site-specific analysis.								
Impact UTIL-2: Generate Solid Waste in Excess of State Standards or Exceed Local Infrastructure Capacity	Impact UTL-2, 3.16	SU	<u>SPR UTIL</u> - 1	No	N/A			
The initial and maintenance treatments would generate biomass as a result of vegetation removal within the project site. Biomass generated would be chipped and scattered on-site because there is not a facility within an economically feasible distance to ship biomass off-site during this project, therefore,								

this impact does not apply to the project. This impact was evaluated in the PEIR and identified as potentially significant and unavoidable with no SPRs or Mitigation Measures because biomass hauled off-site could exceed the capacity of existing infrastructure handling biomass (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.16.3, 10-12). This project does not propose hauling any biomass off-site at this time; therefore, there is no potential to exceed the capacity of existing infrastructure.

	-	-				
Impact UTIL-3: Comply with Federal, State, and Local Management	Impact	LTS	<u>SPR UTIL</u> - 1	Yes	LTS	
and Reduction Goals, Statutes, and Regulations Related to Solid	2.46					
Waste	3.16					

Initial and maintenance treatments would generate biomass as a result of vegetation removal within the project site. The compliance with federal, state, and local management and reduction goals, statutes, and regulations related to solid waste was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.16.3, 12). This project would not include hauling biomass off-site because all biomass generated would be chipped and scattered in the treatment areas or piled and burned onsite. Compliance with all management and reduction goals, statutes, and regulations related to solid waste is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the disposal of biomass onsite is consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. SPR UTIL-1 does not apply to this project because no biomass will be hauled off-site.

Based on the compliance with all applicable management and reduction goals, statutes, and regulations, the potential for impact would be less than significant.

	No	N/A	
Other Impacts to Public Services, Utilities, and Service Systems			
Would the project result in other impacts to public services utilities			
would the project result in other impacts to public services, utilities,			
and convice systems that are not systematic the Call/TD DEID2			
and service systems that are not evaluated in the Carvir PEIR?			

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities considered in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered the site-specific characteristics and determined that they are consistent with the regulatory and environmental setting conditions addressed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.16.1 and 3.16.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to public services, utilities, or service systems would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity
<b>SPR UTIL-1: Solid Organic Waste Disposition Plan.</b> For projects requiring the disposal of material outside of the treatment area, the project proponent will prepare an Organic Waste Disposition Plan prior to initiating treatment activities. This SPR applies only to mechanical and manual treatment activities and all treatment types.	No	<u>CAL FIRE</u> N/A	CAL FIRE

This SPR does not apply to this project because no biomass will be hauled off-site.

## EC-16: WILDFIRE

	PEIR specific			Pro		
	Identify location of impact Analysis in the PEIR	Identify impact Significance in the PEIR	SPRs & MMs applicable to the impact analysis in PEIR	Does the Impact Apply to the project Treatments proposed	Identify Impact Significance for the Treatment Project	No New Impact
Impact WIL-1: Substantially Exacerbate Fire Risk and Expose People to Uncontrolled Spread of a Wildfire	Impact WIL-1, 3-17	LTS	<u>SPR HAZ</u> - 2, 3, 4	Yes	LTS	$\boxtimes$

Initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical treatments using heavy equipment and prescribed burning which could exacerbate fire risk and expose people to uncontrolled spread of wildfire. The potential increase in exposure to wildfire during implementation of the proposed treatments was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.17.3, 13-14). Increased wildfire risk associated with mechanical treatment and prescribed burning in vegetated areas is within the scope of the activities and impacts addressed in the PEIR because the equipment types and duration of use are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR.

SPR HAZ-2, HAZ-3, and HAZ-4 will be implemented to reduce the risk of exposure to wildfire by requiring spark arrestors for all mechanical hand tools, a fire extinguisher to be carried with each chainsaw, and prohibiting smoking in treatment areas. In addition, modeling fire behavior utilizing the Interagency Fuel Treatment Decision Support System (IFTDSS) based on the proposed treatments and Fuel Model 10 shows positive changes to fire behavior immediately following treatments similar to the proposed actions in this project. Fuel Model 10, or Mature/Overmature Timber and Understory, describes an excessively stocked forest environment similar to the conditions represented in the project area at Pico Creek where broadcast burning is proposed (Anderson, 1982). CAL FIRE crews will be implementing prescribed burn treatment activities.

This project intends to restore the forest stand to reflect native, well-spaced conditions that allow for low-intensity fire to move through slowly without reaching into the crown, ultimately increasing the potential for containment before a fire were to reach the communities of Old San Simeon Village and San Simeon Acres. This project would have a positive impact to wildfire after treatments. Based on the implementation of the SPRs and positive outcome of this project, the potential to substantially exacerbate fire risk and expose people to uncontrolled spread of wildfire would be less than significant.

Impact WIL-2: Expose People or Structures to Substantial Risks Related to Post-Fire Flooding or Landslides	Impact WIL-2, 3-17	LTS	<u>SPR AQ</u> - 3 <u>SPR GEO</u> - 3, 4, 5, 8	Yes	LTS	

The initial and maintenance treatments would include mechanical treatments using heavy equipment and prescribed burning which could exacerbate fire risk as discussed above in WIL-1. The proposed project treatments are limited to slopes equal to or less than 50% and equipment access is limited to slopes

equal to or less than 50% and the average slope of operation throughout the treatment areas ranges from approximately 10-30%, therefore, SPR GEO-8 does not apply to this project impact.

The potential for post-fire landslides and flooding was evaluated in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.17.3,14-15). The potential exposure of people or structures to post-fire landslides and flooding are within the scope of the activities and impacts covered in the PEIR because the equipment types and duration of use are consistent with those analyzed in the PEIR. SPR GEO-3 through GEO-5 will be implemented to reduce the risk of erosion and mass wasting post-fire, in the event that a wildfire occurred as a result of the proposed treatments or an unrelated occurrence.

The applicable SPRs require the following: disturbed soil areas exhibiting bare soil over 50% or more of the treatment area will be stabilized with mulch or organic matter produced from mastication (SPR GEO-3), erosion will be monitored by the project proponent through an inspection for proper implementation of applicable SPRs and mitigations prior to the rainy season and an inspection of the treated areas for evidence of erosion after the first large storm or rainfall event (SPR GEO-4), and compacted treatment areas will be drained via water breaks where feasible (SPR GEO-5). This project intends to create conditions that will serve as an opportunity for fire resources to stop or slow the spread of wildfire, which may lead to smaller burn scars, or less area susceptible to post-fire flooding or erosion. Based on the implementation of the applicable SPRs, the potential for this project to result in post-fire flooding or landslides would be less than significant.

Other Impacts related to Wildfire: Would the project result in other		No	N/A	$\boxtimes$
impacts related to wildfire that are not evaluated in the CalVTP PEIR?				

The proposed treatment is consistent with the treatment types and activities considered in the PEIR. The project proponent has considered all site-specific characteristics and determined they are consistent with the environmental and regulatory setting conditions discussed in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR, Volume II, 3.17.1 and 3.7.2). No changed circumstances would lead to new significant impacts not addressed in the PEIR. Therefore, no new impact related to wildfire would occur that is not covered in the PEIR.

## EC-17: ADMINISTRATIVE STANDARD PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

	Applicable	Implementing Entity & Timing Relative to Implementation	Verifying/ Monitoring Entity						
<b>SPR AD-1 Project Proponent Coordination:</b> For treatments coordinated with CAL FIRE, CAL FIRE would meet with the project proponent to discuss all natural and environmental resources that must be protected using SPRs and any applicable mitigation measures; identify any sensitive resources onsite; and discuss resource protection measures. For any prescribed burn treatments, CAL FIRE would also discuss the details of the burn plan in the incident action plan (IAP). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE						
CAL FIRE is the project proponent for this project. Discussions related to natural and environmental resource protections measures, SPRs and mitigation measures, and identifying sensitive resources onsite will be maintained with contractors and the Landowner throughout the duration of the proposed project. CAL FIRE will be developing and implementing a burn plan/smoke management plan prior to prescribed burn treatment operations.									
SPR AD-2 Delineate Protected Resources: The project proponent will clearly define the boundaries									
of the treatment area and protected resources. The project proponent will clearly define the boundaries of the treatment area and protected resources on maps for the treatment area and with highly-visible flagging or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway) prior to beginning any treatment to avoid disturbing the resource. "Protected Resources" refers to environmentally sensitive places within or adjacent to the treatment areas that would be avoided or protected to the extent feasible during planned treatment activities to sustain their natural qualities and processes. This work will be performed by a qualified person, as defined for the specific resource (e.g., qualified Registered Professional Forester or biologist). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE						
CAL FIRE and Auten Resource Consulting have clearly defined the project boundaries and treatment areas on mo	aps (Attachm	ent B) and will have	delineated						
protected resources on the ground with highly-visible flagging prior to beginning any treatment operations. The implementation of this SPR will minimize the risk of an impact to sensitive resources resulting from operations.									
<b>SPR AD-3 Consistency with Local Plans, Policies, and Ordinances:</b> The project proponent would design and implement the treatment in a manner that is consistent with applicable local plans (e.g., general plans, Community Wildfire Protection Plans, CAL FIRE Unit Fire Plans), policies, and ordinances to the extent the project is subject to them. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE						

This SPR will be implemented to reduce the risk of inconsistencies with local plans, policies, and ordinances.			
<b>SPR AD-4 Public Notifications for Prescribed Burning:</b> At least three days prior to the commencement of prescribed burning operations, the project proponent would: 1) post signs along the closest public roadway to the treatment area describing the activity and timing, and requesting persons in the area to contact a designated representative of the project proponent (contact information would be provided with the notice) if they have questions or smoke concerns; 2) publish a public interest notification in a local newspapers or other widely distributed media source describing the activity, timing, and contact information; 3) send the local county supervisor and county administrative officer (or equivalent official responsible for distribution of public information) a notification letter describing the activity, its necessity, timing, and measures being taken to protect the environment and prevent prescribed burn escape. This SPR applies only to prescribed burn treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Prescribed fire signs will be placed within the project area at least three days prior to burn activities.			
A notification will be published through a widely distributed media source containing applicable treatment infor	mation.		
County Supervisors will be notified as required in SPR AD-4.			
<b>SPR AD-5 Maintain Site Cleanliness:</b> If trash receptacles are used on-site, the project proponent will use fully covered trash receptacles with secure lids (wildlife proof) to contain all food, food scraps, food wrappers, beverages, and other worker generated miscellaneous trash. Remove all temporary non-biodegradable flagging, trash, debris, and barriers from the project site upon completion of project activities. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> During	CAL FIRE
Contractor compliance with this SPR will maintain the natural landscape within the project area and minimize impacts to wildlife as a result of human generated trash.			
<b>SPR AD-6 Public Notifications for Treatment Projects.</b> One to three days prior to the commencement of a treatment activity, the project proponent would post signs in a conspicuous location near the treatment area describing the activity and timing and requesting persons in the area to contact a designated representative of the project proponent (contact information would be provided with the notice) if they have questions or concerns. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance. Prescribed burning is subject to the additional notification requirements of SPR AD-4.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During	CAL FIRE
The project will occur on private property that maintains permissive public access to San Simeon Point by way o Simeon Point will be suspended during treatment operations and notifications shall be located in a location visi by traffic in the area. Public access to Pico Creek is prohibited without prior consent from the Landowner.	f the Landow ble to local re	vner. Public access o esidents that may be	it San e impacted

<b>SPR AD-7 Provide Information on Proposed, Approved, and Completed Treatment Projects</b> . For any vegetation treatment project using the CalVTP PEIR for CEQA compliance, the project proponent will provide the information listed below to the Board or CAL FIRE during the proposed, approved, and completed stages of the project. The Board or CAL FIRE will make this information available to the public via an online database or other mechanism. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior-During-Post	CAL FIRE
Information will be provided on proposed, approved, and completed treatment project pursuant to this SPR.			
<b>SPR AD-8 Request Access for Post-Treatment Assessment.</b> For CAL FIRE projects, during contract development, CAL FIRE would include access to the treated area over a prescribed period (usually up to three years) to assess treatment effectiveness in achieving desired fuel conditions and other CalVTP objectives as well as any necessary maintenance, as a contract term for consideration by the landowner. For public landowners, access to the treated area over a prescribed period would be a requirement of the executed contract. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	CAL FIRE Prior-During	CAL FIRE
Terms of post-treatment assessment access will be established at the discretion of the Landowner and CAL FIRE, per an RM-75 agreement, prior to treatment operations.			
SPR AD-9. Obtain a Coastal Development Permit for Proposed Treatment Within the Coastal Zone Where Required. When planning a treatment project within the Coastal Zone, the project proponent would contact the local Coastal Commission district office, or applicable local government to determine if the project area is within the jurisdiction of the Coastal Commission, a local government with a certified Local Coastal Program (LCP), or both. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types.	Yes	<u>CAL FIRE</u> Prior	CAL FIRE
Initial contact and ongoing communication with the California Coastal Commission (CCC) is included as part of this PSA due to the proposed project boundaries existing within the coastal zone. Previous regional developments between the CCC and Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District (US-LT RCD) achieved approval of a Public Works Plan in 2021 that establishes a set of standards for CalVTP projects occurring within the coastal zone and US-LT RCD's jurisdictional boundary in San Luis Obispo County. A Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards (Coastal VTS) document has been prepared for this project and is included in Attachment D.			

### EC-18: MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

		New Impact that is Significant or Potentially Significant	New Impact that is Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	New Impact that is Less Than Significant Impact	No New Impact
a)	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self- sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of an endangered, rare, or threatened species, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)				
c)	Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				

## Discussion

No additional comments.

Ado	<b>ditional information:</b> List of Standard Project Requirements (SPRs) and Mitigations Measures (MMs), ( <mark>See</mark>
Atta	achment A)
$\boxtimes$	Vicinity map on a USGS guad map (SPR AD-2)
	Aerial imagery of subsequent activity area
	Subsequent activity location on Treatable Landscape & Ecoregions Map (See
	Attachment B)
	Parcel map with APN's covering all ownerships within subsequent activity area
	Soil survey map of subsequent activity area
$\boxtimes$	Smoke Management Plan/Burn Plan (SPR AQ-2 & 3)
	Public Notice for Prescribed Burning
	Model run of FOFEM, BEHAVE, or other appropriate fire behavior modeling
	simulation
	Burn Unit Maps – Ortho and Topographic
	Air District Asbestos Dust Control Plan (SPR AQ-5)
	Incident Action Plan (IAP) (SPR AQ-6)
$\square$	Archaeological reviews/surveys (Confidential addendum) (EC-4)
$\square$	Biological review/surveys (EC-5)
	CNDDB Records Search
	Biologist Consultation/Notification
	Water Quality consultation
	Consult Attachment E (and Cal VTP Appendix BIO-3)
	Biological Compensation Plan (MM BIO-1c, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2f, 3b, 3c,)
	Geological Review (MM GHG-2)
	Spill Prevention & Response Plan (SPR HAZ-5)
	Traffic Management Plan (SPR TRAN-1)
	Organic waste Disposal Plan (SPR UTIL-1)
	Air Quality and GHG Emissions Estimates (SPR GHG-1)
_	Air Quality consultations
	Off-Site Noise-Sensitive Receptors Notification (SPR NOI-6)
	Other
	DELIVERABLES POST APPROVAL Public Notification (News/Press Release) Authorized PFIRS Ignition Request

Live Fire Notification

Approved FC 400

Public Notifications to neighbors

Weather Forecasts/Spot weather Forecasts

🖾 Go NO Go Checklist

Incident Action Plans (IAP's, Prescribed burn activities)

- Completion Reports to Region
- Other: FC 33, Project Photos

## ATTACHMENT A: STANDARD PROJECT REQUIREMENTS (SPRs) AND MITIGATION MEASURES (MMs)

#### **EC-1: Aesthetics and Visual Resource Standard Project Requirements**

- SPR AES-1 Vegetation Thinning and Edge Feathering: The project proponent will thin and feather adjacent vegetation to break up or screen linear edges of the clearing and mimic forms of natural clearings as reasonable or appropriate for vegetation conditions. In general, thinning and feathering in irregular patches of varying densities, as well as a gradation of tall to short vegetation at the clearing edge, will achieve a natural transitional appearance. The contrast of a distinct clearing edge will be faded into this transitional band. This SPR only applies to mechanical and manual treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AES-2 Avoid Staging within Viewsheds: The project proponent will store all treatment-related materials, including vehicles, vegetation treatment debris, and equipment, outside of the viewshed of public trails, parks, recreation areas, and roadways to the extent feasible. The project proponent will also locate materials staging and storage areas outside of the viewshed of public trails, parks, recreation areas, and roadways to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AES-3 Provide Vegetation Screening: The project proponent will preserve sufficient vegetation within, at the edge of, or adjacent to treatment areas to screen views from public trails, parks, recreation areas, and roadways as reasonable or appropriate for vegetation conditions. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

#### **EC-2: Agriculture and Forest Resources**

NONE

#### EC-3: Air Quality Standard Project Requirements

- SPR AQ-1 Comply with Air Quality Regulations: The project proponent will comply with the applicable air quality requirements of air districts within whose jurisdiction the project is located. This SPR applies only to prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AQ-2 Submit Smoke Management Plan: The project proponent will submit a smoke management plan for all prescribed burns to the applicable air district, in accordance with 17 CCR Section 80160. Pursuant to this regulation a smoke management plan will not be required for burns less than 10 acres that also will not be conducted near smoke sensitive areas, unless otherwise directed by the air district. Burning will only be conducted in compliance with the burn authorization program of the applicable air district(s) having jurisdiction over the treatment area. Example of a smoke management plan is in Appendix PD-2. This SPR applies only to prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AQ-3 Create Burn Plan: The project proponent will create a burn plan using the CAL FIRE burn plan template for all prescribed burns. The burn plan will include a fire behavior model output of First Order Fire Effects Model and BEHAVE or other fire behavior modeling simulation and that is performed by a qualified fire behavior technical specialist that predicts fire behavior, calculates consumption of fuels, tree mortality, predicted emissions, greenhouse gas emissions, and soil heating. The project proponent will minimize soil burn severity from broadcast burning to reduce the potential for runoff and soil erosion. The burn plan will be created with input from a qualified technician or certified State burn boss.

This SPR applies only to prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- **SPR AQ-4 Minimize Dust:** To minimize dust during treatment activities, the project proponent will implement the following measures:
  - Limit the speed of vehicles and equipment traveling on unpaved areas to 15 miles per hour to reduce fugitive dust emissions, in accordance with the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Fugitive Dust protocol.
  - If road use creates excessive dust, the project proponent will wet appurtenant, unpaved, dirt roads using water trucks or treat roads with a non-toxic chemical dust suppressant (e.g., emulsion polymers, organic material) during dry, dusty conditions. Any dust suppressant product used will be environmentally benign (i.e., non-toxic to plants and will not negatively impact water quality) and its use will not be prohibited by ARB, EPA, or the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). The project proponent will not over-water exposed areas such that the water results in runoff. The type of dust suppression method will be selected by the project proponent based on soil, traffic, site-specific conditions, and air quality regulations.
  - Remove visible dust, silt, or mud tracked-out on to public paved roadways where sufficient water supplies and access to water is available. The project proponent will remove dust, silt, and mud from vehicles at the conclusion of each workday, or at a minimum of every 24 hours for continuous treatment activities, in accordance with Vehicle Code Section 23113.
  - Suspend ground-disturbing treatment activities, including land clearing and bulldozer lines, when there is visible dust transport (particulate pollution) outside the treatment boundary, if the particulate emissions may "cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public, or that endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any of those persons or the public, or that cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property," per Health and Safety Code Section 41700.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR AQ-5 Avoid Naturally Occurring Asbestos: The project proponent will avoid ground-disturbing treatment activities in areas identified as likely to contain naturally occurring asbestos (NOA) per maps and guidance published by the California Geological Survey, unless an Asbestos Dust Control Plan (17 CCR Section 93105) is prepared and approved by the air district(s) with jurisdiction over the treatment area. Any NOA-related guidance provided by the applicable air district will be followed. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AQ-6: Prescribed Burn Safety Procedures: Prescribed burns planned and managed by non-CAL FIRE crews will follow all safety procedures required of CAL FIRE crew, including the implementation of an approved Incident Action Plan (IAP). The IAP will include the burn dates; burn hours; weather limitations; the specific burn prescription; a communications plan; a medical plan; a traffic plan; and special instructions such as minimizing smoke impacts to specific local roadways. The IAP will also assign responsibilities for coordination with the appropriate air district, such as conducting onsite briefings, posting notifications, weather monitoring during burning, and other burn related preparations. This SPR applies only to prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• MM AQ-1 Implement On-Road Vehicle and Off-Road Equipment Exhaust Emission Reduction Techniques: Where feasible, project proponents will implement emission reduction techniques to reduce exhaust emissions from off-road equipment. It is acknowledged that due to cost, availability, and the limits of current technology, there may be circumstances where implementation of certain emission reduction techniques will not feasible. The project proponent will document the emission reduction techniques that will be applied and will explain the reasons other techniques that could reduce emissions are infeasible.

Techniques for reducing emissions may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Diesel-powered off-road equipment used in construction will meet EPA's Tier 4 emission standards as defined in 40 CFR 1039 and comply with the exhaust emission test procedures and provisions of 40 CFR Parts 1065 and 1068. Tier 3 models can be used if a Tier 4 version of the equipment type is not yet produced by manufacturers. This measure can also be achieved by using battery-electric off-road equipment as it becomes available. Prior to implementation of treatment activities, the project proponent will demonstrate the ability to supply the compliant equipment. A copy of each unit's certified tier specification or model year specification and operating permit (if applicable) will be available upon request at the time of mobilization of each unit of equipment.
- Use renewable diesel fuel in diesel-powered construction equipment. Renewable diesel fuel must meet the following criteria:
  - meet California's Low Carbon Fuel Standards and be certified by CARB Executive Officer;
  - be hydrogenation-derived (reaction with hydrogen at high temperatures) from 100 percent biomass material (i.e., non-petroleum sources), such as animal fats and vegetables;
  - contain no fatty acids or functionalized fatty acid esters; and
  - have a chemical structure that is identical to petroleum-based diesel and complies with American Society for Testing and Materials D975 requirements for diesel fuels to ensure compatibility with all existing diesel engines.
- Electric- and gasoline-powered equipment will be substituted for diesel-powered equipment.
- Workers will be encouraged to carpool to work sites, and/or use public transportation for their commutes.
- Off-road equipment, diesel trucks, and generators will be equipped with Best Available Control Technology for emission reductions of NOX and PM.

#### EC-4: Archaeological, Historical, and Tribal Cultural Resources Standard Project Requirements

Cultural resource SPRs and mitigation measures require that qualified individuals implement components of the measures. The requirements listed below will be met to be considered qualified and may be performed by individuals of various titles (including supervised designees) as long as they are qualified.

**Qualified Archaeologist:** To be qualified, an archaeologist would hold a Prehistoric Archeology, Historic Archeology, Conservation, Cultural Anthropology, or Curation degree from an accredited university and meet the Secretary of Interior's Qualifications Standards (36 CFR Part 61). The project proponent will review the resume and approve the qualifications of the archaeologists.

**Archaeologically Trained Resource Professional**: To be qualified, an archaeologically-trained resource professional would hold a valid Archaeological Training Certificate issued by CAL FIRE and the Board or equivalent state or local agency training or certification.

- SPR CUL-1 Conduct Record Search: An archaeological and historical resource record search will be conducted per the applicable state or local agency procedures. Instead of conducting a new search, the project proponent may use recent record searches containing the treatment area requested by a landowner or other public agency in accordance applicable agency guidance. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR CUL-2 Contact Geographically Affiliated Native American Tribes: The project proponent will obtain the latest Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) provided Native Americans Contact List. Using the appropriate Native Americans Contact List, the project proponent will notify the California Native American Tribes in the counties where the treatment activity is located. The notification will contain the following:
  - A written description of the treatment location and boundaries.
  - Brief narrative of the treatment objectives.
  - A description of the activities used (e.g., prescribed burning, mastication) and associated acreages.
  - A map of the treatment area at a sufficient scale to indicate the spatial extent of activities.
  - A request for information regarding potential impacts to cultural resources from the proposed treatment.
  - A detailed description of the depth of excavation if ground disturbance is expected.

In addition, the project proponent will contact the NAHC for a review of their Sacred Lands File. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR CUL-3 Pre-field Research: The project proponent will conduct research prior to implementing treatments as part of the cultural resource investigation. The purpose of this research is to properly inform survey design, based on the types of resources likely to be encountered within the treatment area, and to be prepared to interpret, record, and evaluate these findings within the context of local history and prehistory. The qualified archaeologist and/or archaeologically-trained resource professional will review records, study maps, read pertinent ethnographic, archaeological, and historical literature specific to the area being studied, and conduct other tasks to maximize the effectiveness of the survey. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR CUL-4 Archaeological Surveys: The project proponent will coordinate with an archaeologicallytrained resource professional and/or qualified archaeologist to conduct a site-specific survey of the treatment area. The survey methodology (e.g., pedestrian survey, subsurface investigation) depends on whether the area has a low, moderate, or high sensitivity for resources, which is based on whether the records search, pre-field research, and/or Native American consultation identifies archaeological or historical resources near or within the treatment area. A survey report will be completed for every cultural resource survey completed. The specific requirements will comply with the applicable state or local agency procedures. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR CUL-5 Treatment of Archaeological Resources: If cultural resources are identified within a treatment area, and cannot be avoided, a qualified archaeologist will notify the culturally affiliated tribe(s) based on information provided by NAHC and assess, whether an archaeological find qualifies as a unique archaeological resource, an historical resource, or in coordination with said tribe(s), as a tribal cultural resource. The project proponent, in consultation with culturally affiliated tribe(s), will develop effective protection measures for important cultural resources located within treatment areas. These measures may include adjusting the treatment location or design to entirely avoid cultural resource locations or changing treatment activities so that damaging effects to cultural resources will not occur. These protection measures will be written in clear, enforceable language, and will be included in the survey report in accordance with applicable state or local agency procedures. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR CUL-6 Treatment of Tribal Cultural Resources: The project proponent, in consultation with the culturally affiliated tribe(s), will develop effective protection measures for important tribal cultural resources located within treatment areas. These measures may include adjusting the treatment location or design to entirely avoid cultural resource locations or changing treatment activities so that damaging effects to cultural resources will not occur. The project proponent will provide the tribe(s) the opportunity to submit comments and participate in consultation to resolve issues of concern. The project proponent will defer implementing the treatment until the tribe approves protection measures, or if agreement cannot be reached after a good-faith effort, the proponent determines that any or all feasible measures have been implemented, where feasible, and the resource is either avoided or protected. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR CUL-7 Avoid Built Historical Resources: If the records search identifies built historical resources, as defined in Section 15064.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines, the project proponent will avoid these resources. Within a buffer of 100 feet of the built historical resource, there will be no prescribed burning or mechanical treatment activities Buffers less than 100 feet for built historical resources will only be used after consultation with and receipt of written approval from a qualified archaeologist. If the records search does not identify known historical resources in the treatment area, but structures (i.e., buildings, bridges, roadways) over 50 years old that have not been evaluated for historic significance are present in the treatment area, they will similarly be avoided. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR CUL-8 Cultural Resource Training: The project proponent will train all crew members and contractors implementing treatment activities on the protection of sensitive archaeological, historical, or tribal cultural resources. Workers will be trained to halt work if archaeological resources are encountered on a treatment site and the treatment method consists of physical disturbance of land surfaces (e.g., soil disturbance). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- MM CUL-2 Protect Inadvertent Discoveries of Unique Archaeological Resources or Subsurface Historical Resources: If any prehistoric or historic-era subsurface archaeological features or deposits, including locally darkened soil ("midden"), that could conceal cultural deposits, are discovered during ground-disturbing activities, all ground-disturbing activity within 100 feet of the resources will be halted and a qualified archaeologist will assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist will work with the project proponent to develop a primary records report that will comply with applicable state or local agency procedures. If the archaeologist determines that further information is needed to evaluate

significance, a data recovery plan will be prepared. If the find is determined to be significant by the qualified archaeologist (i.e., because the find constitutes a unique archaeological resource, subsurface historical resource, or tribal cultural resource), the archaeologist will work with the project proponent to develop appropriate procedures to protect the integrity of the resource. Procedures could include preservation in place (which is the preferred manner of mitigating impacts to archaeological sites), archival research, subsurface testing, or recovery of scientifically consequential information from and about the resource. Any find will be recorded standard DPR Primary Record forms (Form DPR 523) will be submitted to the appropriate regional information center.

#### **EC-5 Biological Resources Standard Project Requirements**

Biological resource SPRs and mitigation measures require that qualified individuals implement components of the measures. The requirements listed below will be met to be considered qualified and may be performed by individuals of various titles (including biologist, botanist, ecologist, Registered Professional Forester, biological technician, or supervised designees working at the direction of a qualified professional) as long as they are qualified for the task at hand.

**Qualified Registered Professional Forester (RPF) or Biologist:** To be qualified, an RPF or biologist would hold a wildlife biology, botany, ecology, forestry, or other relevant degree from an accredited university and: 1) be knowledgeable in relevant species life histories and ecology, 2) be able to correctly identify relevant species and habitats, 3) have experience conducting field surveys of relevant species or resources, 4) be knowledgeable about survey protocols, 5) be knowledgeable about state and federal laws regarding the protection of special-status species, and 6) have experience with CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) and Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS). The project proponent will review the resume and approve the qualifications of RPFs or biologists. If species-specific protocol surveys are performed, surveys would be conducted by qualified RPFs or biologists with the minimum qualifications required by the appropriate protocols, including having CDFW or USFWS approval to conduct such surveys, if required by certain protocols.

**Qualified RPF or Botanist:** To be qualified, an RPF or botanist would 1) be knowledgeable about plant taxonomy, 2) be familiar with plants of the region, including special-status plants and sensitive natural communities, 3) have experience conducting floristic botanical field surveys as described in CDFW "Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities" (current version dated March 20, 2018), or experience conducting such botanical field surveys under the direction of an experienced botanical field surveyor, 4) be familiar with the *California Manual of Vegetation* (Sawyer et al. 2009 or current version, including updated natural communities data at http://vegetation.cnps.org/), and 5) be familiar with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to plants and plant collecting. The project proponent will review the resume and approve the qualifications of RPFs or botanists.

**Qualified RPF or Biological Technician:** To be qualified, an RPF or biological technician would 1) be knowledgeable in relevant species life histories and ecology, 2) be able to correctly identify relevant species and habitats, 3) have experience conducting biological monitoring of relevant species or resources, and 4) be knowledgeable about state and federal laws regarding the protection of special-status species. The project proponent will review the resume and approve the qualifications of RPFs or biological technicians.

• SPR BIO-1 Review and Survey Project-Specific Biological Resources: The project proponent will require a qualified RPF or biologist to conduct a data review and reconnaissance-level survey prior to treatment, no more than one year prior to the submittal of the PSA for each treatment project, and no more than one year between completion of the PSA and implementation of the treatment project. The data reviewed will include the biological resources setting, species and sensitive natural communities

tables, and habitat information in this PEIR for the ecoregion(s) where the treatment will occur. It will also include review of the best available, current data for the area, including vegetation mapping data, species distribution/range information, CNDDB, California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of California, relevant BIOS gueries, and relevant general and regional plans. Reconnaissance-level biological surveys will be general surveys that include visual and auditory inspection for biological resources to help determine the environmental setting of a project site. The gualified surveyor will 1.) identify and document sensitive resources, such as riparian or other sensitive habitats, sensitive natural community, wetlands, or wildlife nursery site or habitat (including bird nests), and 2.) assess the suitability of habitat for special-status plant and animal species. The surveyor will also record any incidental wildlife observations. For each treatment project, habitat assessments will be completed at a time of year that is appropriate for identifying habitat and no more than one year prior to the submittal of the PSA, unless it can be demonstrated in the Biological Resources Discussion in the PSA that habitat assessments older than one year remain valid (e.g., site conditions are unchanged, and no treatment activity has occurred since the assessment). If more than one year passes between completion of the PSA and initiation of the treatment project, the project proponent will verify the continued accuracy of the PSA prior to beginning the treatment project by reviewing for any data updates and/or visiting the site to verify conditions. Based on the results of the data review and reconnaissance-level survey, the project proponent, in consultation with a qualified RPF or biologist, will determine which one of the following best characterizes the treatment:

**1. Suitable Habitat Is Present but Adverse Effects Can Be Clearly Avoided.** If, based on the data review and reconnaissance-level survey, the qualified RPF or biologist determines that suitable habitat for sensitive biological resources is present but adverse effects on the suitable habitat can clearly be avoided through one of the following methods, the avoidance mechanism will be implemented prior to initiating treatment and will remain in effect throughout the treatment:

- by physically avoiding the suitable habitat, or
- by conducting treatment outside of the season when a sensitive resource could be present within the suitable habitat or outside the season of sensitivity (e.g., outside of special-status bird nesting season, during dormant season of sensitive annual or geophytic plant species, or outside of maternity and rearing season at wildlife nursery sites).

Physical avoidance will include flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway) to delineate the boundary of the avoidance area around the suitable habitat. For physical avoidance, a buffer may be implemented as determined necessary by the qualified RPF or biologist.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• SPR BIO-2 Require Biological Resource Training for Workers: The project proponent will require crew members and contractors to receive training from a qualified RPF or biologist prior to beginning a treatment project. The training will describe the appropriate work practices necessary to effectively implement the biological SPRs and mitigation measures and to comply with the applicable environmental laws and regulations. The training will include the identification, relevant life history information, and avoidance of pertinent special-status species; identification and avoidance of sensitive natural communities and habitats with the potential to occur in the treatment area; impact minimization procedures; and reporting requirements. The training will instruct workers when it is appropriate to

stop work and allow wildlife encountered during treatment activities to leave the area unharmed and when it is necessary to report encounters to a qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician. The qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will immediately contact CDFW or USFWS, as appropriate, if any wildlife protected by the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) or Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) is encountered and cannot leave the site on its own (without being handled).

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

#### Sensitive Natural Communities and Other Sensitive Habitats

- SPR BIO-3: Survey Sensitive Natural Communities and Other Sensitive Habitats: If SPR BIO-1 determines that sensitive natural communities or sensitive habitats may be present and adverse effects cannot be avoided, the project proponent will:
  - require a qualified RPF or biologist to perform a protocol-level survey following the CDFW "Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities" (current version dated March 20, 2018) of the treatment area prior to the start of treatment activities for sensitive natural communities and sensitive habitats. Sensitive natural communities will be identified using the best means possible, including keying them out using the most current edition of A Manual of California Vegetation (including updated natural communities data at http://vegetation.cnps.org/), or referring to relevant reports (e.g., reports found on the VegCAMP website).
  - map and digitally record, using a Global Positioning System (GPS), the limits of any potential sensitive habitat and sensitive natural community identified in the treatment area.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR BIO-4 Design Treatment to Avoid Loss or Degradation of Riparian Habitat Function: Project proponents, in consultation with a qualified RPF or qualified biologist, will design treatments in riparian habitats to retain or improve habitat functions by implementing the following within riparian habitats:
  - Retain at least 75 percent of the overstory and 50 percent of the understory canopy of native riparian vegetation within the limits of riparian habitat identified and mapped during surveys conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-3. Native riparian vegetation will be retained in a well distributed multi-storied stand composed of a diversity of species similar to that found before the start of treatment activities.
  - Treatments will be limited to removal of uncharacteristic fuel loads (e.g., removing dead or dying vegetation), trimming/limbing of woody species as necessary to reduce ladder fuels, and select thinning of vegetation to restore densities that are characteristic of healthy stands of the riparian vegetation types common for the region. This includes hand removal (or mechanized removal where topography allows) of dead or dying riparian trees and shrubs, invasive plant removal, selective thinning, and removal of encroaching upland species.
  - Removal of large, native riparian hardwood trees (e.g., willow, ash, maple, oak, alder, sycamore, cottonwood) will be minimized to the extent feasible and 75 percent of the pretreatment native riparian hardwood tree canopy will be retained. Because tree size varies depending on vegetation type present and site conditions, the tree size retention parameter will be
determined on a site-specific basis depending on vegetation type present and setting; however, live, healthy, native trees that are considered large for that type of tree and large relative to other trees in that location will be retained. A scientifically-based, project-specific explanation substantiating the retention size parameter for native riparian hardwood tree removal will be provided in the Biological Resources Discussion of the PSA. Consideration of factors such as site hydrology, erosion potential, suitability of wildlife habitat, presence of sufficient seed trees, light availability, and changes in stream shading may inform the tree size retention requirements.

- Removed trees will be felled away from adjacent streams or waterbodies and piled outside of the riparian vegetation zone (unless there is an ecological reason to do otherwise that is approved by applicable regulatory agencies, such as adding large woody material to a stream to enhance fish habitat, e.g., see Accelerated Wood Recruitment and Timber Operations: Process Guidance from the California Timber Harvest Review Team Agencies and National Marine Fisheries Service).
- Vegetation removal that could reduce stream shading and increase stream temperatures will be avoided.
- Ground disturbance within riparian habitats will be limited to the minimum necessary to implement effective treatments. This will consist of the minimum disturbance area necessary to reduce hazardous fuels and return the riparian community to a natural fire regime (i.e., Condition Class 1) considering historic fire return intervals, climate change, and land use constraints.
- Only hand application of herbicides approved for use in aquatic environments will be allowed and only during low-flow periods or when seasonal streams are dry.
- The project proponent will notify CDFW when required by California Fish and Game Code Section 1602 prior to implementing any treatment activities in riparian habitats. Notification will identify the treatment activities, map the vegetation to be removed, identify the impact avoidance identification methods to be used (e.g., flagging), and appropriate protections for the retention of shaded riverine habitat, including buffers and other applicable measures to prevent erosion into the waterway.
- In consideration of spatial variability of riparian vegetation types and condition and consistent with California Forest Practice Rules Section 916.9(v) (February 2019 version), a different set of vegetation retention standards and protection measures from those specified in the above bullets may be implemented on a site-specific basis if the qualified RPF and the project proponent demonstrate through substantial evidence that alternative design measures provide a more effective means of achieving the treatment objectives and would result in effects to the Beneficial Functions of Riparian Zones equal or more favorable than those expected to result from application of the above measures. Deviation from the above design specifications, different protection measures and design standards will only be approved when the treatment plan incorporates an evaluation of beneficial functions of the riparian habitat and with written concurrence from CDFW.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• SPR BIO-6 Prevent Spread of Plant Pathogens: When working in sensitive natural communities, riparian habitats, or oak woodlands that are at risk from plant pathogens (e.g., lone chaparral, blue oak

woodland), the project proponent will implement the following best management practices to prevent the spread of *Phytophthora* and other plant pathogens (e.g., pitch canker (*Fusarium*), goldspotted oak borer, shot hole borer, bark beetle):

- clean and sanitize vehicles, equipment, tools, footwear, and clothes before arriving at a treatment site and when leaving a contaminated site, or a site in a county where contamination is a risk;
- include training on *Phytophthora* diseases and other plant pathogens in the worker awareness training;
- minimize soil disturbance as much as possible by limiting the number of vehicles, avoiding offroad travel as much as possible, and limiting use of mechanized equipment;
- minimize movement of soil and plant material within the site, especially between areas with high and low risk of contamination;
- clean soil and debris from equipment and sanitize hand tools, buckets, gloves, and footwear when moving from high risk to low-risk areas or between widely separated portions of a treatment area; and
- follow the procedures listed in Guidance for plant pathogen prevention when working at contaminated restoration sites or with rare plants and sensitive habitat (Working Group for *Phytophthoras* in Native Habitats 2016).

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR BIO-8 Identify and Minimize Impacts in Coastal Zone ESHAs: When planning a treatment project within the Coastal Zone, the project proponent will, in consultation with the Coastal Commission or a local government with a certified Local Coastal Program (LCP) (as applicable), identify the habitat types and species present to determine if the area qualifies as an Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area (ESHA). If the area is an ESHA, the treatment project may be allowed pursuant to this PEIR, if it meets the following conditions. If a project requires a CDP by the Coastal Commission or a local government with a certified LCP (as applicable), the CDP approval may require modification to these conditions to further avoid and minimize impacts:
  - The treatment will be designed, in compliance with the Coastal Act or LCP if a site is within a certified LCP area, to protect the habitat function of the affected ESHA, protect habitat values, and prevent loss or type conversion of habitat and vegetation types that define the ESHA, or loss of special-status species that inhabit the ESHA.
  - Treatment actions will be limited to eradication or control of invasive plants, removal of uncharacteristic fuel loads (e.g., removing dead, diseased, or dying vegetation), trimming/limbing of woody species as necessary to reduce ladder fuels, and select thinning of vegetation to restore densities that are characteristic of healthy stands of the vegetation types present in the ESHA.
  - A qualified biologist or RPF familiar with the ecology of the treatment area will monitor all treatment activities in ESHAs.

• Appropriate no-disturbance buffers will be developed in compliance with the Coastal Act or relevant LCP policies for treatment activities in the vicinity of ESHAs to avoid adverse direct and indirect effects to ESHAs.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

#### **Invasive Plants and Wildlife**

- SPR BIO-9 Prevent Spread of Invasive Plants, Noxious Weeds, and Invasive Wildlife: The project proponent will take the following actions to prevent the spread of invasive plants, noxious weeds, and invasive wildlife (e.g., New Zealand mud snail):
  - clean clothing, footwear, and equipment used during treatments of soil, seeds, vegetative matter, other debris or seed-bearing material, or water (e.g., rivers, streams, creeks, lakes) before entering the treatment area or when leaving an area with infestations of invasive plants, noxious weeds, or invasive wildlife;
  - for all heavy equipment and vehicles traveling off road, pressure wash, if feasible, or otherwise appropriately decontaminate equipment at a designated weed-cleaning station prior to entering the treatment area from an area with infestations of invasive plants, noxious weeds, or invasive wildlife. Anti-fungal wash agents will be specified if the equipment has been exposed to any pathogen that could affect native species;
  - inspect all heavy equipment, vehicles, tools, or other treatment-related materials for sand, mud, or other signs that weed seeds or propagules could be present prior to use in the treatment area. If the equipment is not clean, the qualified RPF or biological technician will deny entry to the work areas;
  - stage equipment in areas free of invasive plant infestations unless there are no uninfected areas present within a reasonable proximity to the treatment area;
  - identify significant infestations of invasive plant species (i.e., those rated as invasive by Cal-IPC or designated as noxious weeds by California Department of Food and Agriculture) during reconnaissance-level surveys and target them for removal during treatment activities. Treatment methods will be selected based on the invasive species present and may include herbicide application, manual or mechanical treatments, prescribed burning, and/or herbivory, and will be designed to maximize success in killing or removing the invasive plants and preventing reestablishment based on the life history characteristics of the invasive plant species present. Treatments will be focused on removing invasive plant species that cause ecological harm to native vegetation types, especially those that can alter fire cycles;
  - treat invasive plant biomass onsite to eliminate seeds and propagules and prevent reestablishment or dispose of invasive plant biomass offsite at an appropriate waste collection facility (if not kept on site); transport invasive plant materials in a closed container or bag to prevent the spread of propagules during transport; and
  - implement Fire and Fuel Management BMPs outlined in the "Preventing the Spread of Invasive Plants: Best Management Practices for Land Mangers" (Cal-IPC 2012, or current version).

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

#### Wildlife

SPR BIO-10: Survey for Special-Status Wildlife and Nursery Sites. If SPR BIO-1 determines that suitable habitat for special-status wildlife species or nurseries of any wildlife species is present and cannot be avoided, the project proponent will require a qualified RPF or biologist to conduct focused or protocol-level surveys for special-status wildlife species or nursery sites (e.g., bat maternity roosts, deer fawning areas, heron or egret rookeries, monarch overwintering sites) with potential to be directly or indirectly affected by a treatment activity. The survey area will be determined by a qualified RPF or biologist based on the species and habitats and any recommended buffer distances in agency protocols.

The qualified RPF or biologist will determine if following an established protocol is required, and the project proponent may consult with CDFW and/or USFWS for technical information regarding appropriate survey protocols. Unless otherwise specified in a protocol, the survey will be conducted no more than 14 days prior to the beginning of treatment activities. Focused or protocol surveys for a special-status species with potential to occur in the treatment area may not be required if presence of the species is assumed.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

#### Project-Specific Requirements

- Either surveys for monarch butterfly host plants will be performed prior to implementing treatment activities, or presence of host plants in suitable habitat will be assumed and Mitigation Measure BIO-2e will apply.
- Either surveys for Crotch bumble bee, obscure bumblebee, and western bumble bee will conducted prior to implementing treatment activities, or presence of these species in suitable habitat will be assumed and Mitigation Measure BIO-2g will apply.
- To avoid impacts on special-status amphibians and reptiles (i.e., California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, coast range newt, Northern California legless lizard, western pond turtle, two-striped gartersnake), focused surveys (i.e., visual, walk and turn surveys) will be conducted by a qualified RPF, or biologist, within habitat suitable for the species prior to mechanical and manual treatments.
- Either protocol level surveys following the *Revised Guidance on Site Assessments and Filed Surveys* for California red-legged frog (USFWS 2005) will be conducted within the project area, or presence of California red-legged frog will be assumed in potentially suitable habitat and Mitigation Measure BIO-2a will apply.
- For all treatment activities that occur during the nesting bird season (February 1–August 31) and to avoid impacts on golden eagle, grasshopper sparrow, northern harrier, etc., focused surveys (i.e., nest searches) for nests of these species will be conducted prior to implementing treatment activities during the nesting bird season.
- To avoid impacts on woodrats, reconnaissance for the species would be conducted within habitat suitable for the species prior to implementation of mechanical and manual treatments using power equipment.
- For all treatment activities that cannot be avoided during the bat maternity season and to avoid impacts on pallid bat and Townsend's big-eared bat, focused surveys for maternity roosts will be

conducted prior to implementing treatment activities in suitable habitat during the bat maternity season (April 1–August 31).

- For all treatment activities that occur within the mule deer fawning season (May 1 August 31), focused surveys for fawning sites will be conducted prior to implementing treatment activities.
- SPR BIO-12 Protect Common Nesting Birds, Including Raptors: The project proponent will schedule treatment activities to avoid the active nesting season of common native bird species, including raptors, that could be present within or adjacent to the treatment site, if feasible. Common native birds are species not otherwise treated as special status in the CalVTP PEIR. The active nesting season will be defined by the qualified RPF or biologist.

If active nesting season avoidance is not feasible, a qualified RPF or biologist will conduct a survey for common nesting birds, including raptors. Existing records (e.g., CNDDB, eBird database, State Wildlife Action Plan) should be reviewed in advance of the survey to identity the common nesting birds, including raptors, that are known to occur in the vicinity of the treatment site. The survey area will encompass reasonably accessible areas of the treatment site and the immediately surrounding vicinity viewable from the treatment site. The survey area will be determined by a qualified RPF or biologist, based on the potential species in the area, location of suitable nesting habitat, and type of treatment. For vegetation removal or project activities that would occur during the nesting season, the survey will be conducted at a time that balances the effectiveness of detecting nests and the reasonable consideration of potential avoidance strategies. Typically, this timeframe would be up to 3 weeks before treatment. The survey will occur in a single survey period of sufficient duration to reasonably detect nesting birds, including raptors, typically one day for most treatment projects (depending on the size, configuration, and vegetation density in the treatment site), and conducted during the active time of day for target species, typically close to dawn and/or dusk. The survey may be conducted concurrently with other biological surveys if they are required by other SPRs. Survey methods will be tailored by the qualified RPF or biologist to site and habitat conditions, typically involving walking throughout the survey area, visually searching for nests and birds exhibiting behavior that is typical of breeding (e.g., delivering food).

If an active nest is observed (i.e., presence of eggs and/or chicks) or determined to likely be present based on nesting bird behavior, the project proponent will implement a feasible strategy to avoid disturbance of active nests, which may include, but is not limited to, one or more of the following:

- Establish Buffer. The project proponent will establish a temporary, species-appropriate buffer around the nest sufficient to reasonably expect that breeding would not be disrupted. Treatment activities will be implemented outside of the buffer. The buffer location will be determined by a qualified RPF or biologist. Factors to be considered for determining buffer location will include: presence of natural buffers provided by vegetation or topography, nest height above ground, baseline levels of noise and human activity, species sensitivity, and expected treatment activities. Nests of common birds within the buffer need not be monitored during treatment. However, buffers will be maintained until young fledge or the nest becomes inactive, as determined by the qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician.
- Modify Treatment. The project proponent will modify the treatment in the vicinity of an active nest to avoid disturbance of active nests (e.g., by implementing manual treatment methods, rather than mechanical treatment methods). Treatment modifications will be determined by the project proponent in coordination with the qualified RPF or biologist.

 Defer Treatment. The project proponent will defer the timing of treatment in the portion(s) of the treatment site that could disturb the active nest. If this avoidance strategy is implemented, treatment activity will not commence until young fledge or the nest becomes inactive, as determined by the qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician.

Feasible actions will be taken by the project proponent to avoid loss of common native bird nests. The feasibility of implementing the avoidance strategies will be determined by the project proponent based on whether implementation of this SPR will preclude completing the treatment project within the reasonable period of time necessary to meet CalVTP program objectives, including, but not limited to, protection of vulnerable communities. Considerations may include limitations on the presence of environmental and atmospheric conditions necessary to execute treatment prescriptions (e.g., the limited seasonal windows during which prescribed burning can occur when vegetation moisture, weather, wind, and other physical conditions are suitable). If it is infeasible to avoid loss of common bird nests (not including raptor nests), the project proponent will document the reasons implementation of the avoidance strategies is infeasible in the PSA. After completion of the PSA and prior to or during treatment implementation, if there is any change in the feasibility of avoidance strategies from those explained in the PSA, this will be documented in the post-project implementation report (referred to by CAL FIRE as a Completion Report).

The following avoidance strategies may also be considered together with or in lieu of other actions for implementation by a project proponent to avoid disturbance to raptor nests:

- Monitor Active Raptor Nest During Treatment. A qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will monitor an active raptor nest during treatment activities to identify signs of agitation, nest defense, or other behaviors that signal disturbance of the active nest is likely (e.g., standing up from a brooding position, flying off the nest). If breeding raptors are showing signs of nest disturbance, one of the other avoidance strategies (establish buffer, modify treatment or defer treatment) will be implemented or a pause in the treatment activity will occur until the disturbance behavior ceases.
- **Retention of Raptor Nest Trees**. Trees with visible raptor nests, whether occupied or not, will be retained.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• **MM BIO-1a Avoid Loss of Special-Status Plants Listed under ESA or CESA:** If listed plants are determined to be present through application of SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-7, the project proponent will avoid and protect these species by establishing a no-disturbance buffer around the area occupied by listed plants and marking the buffer boundary with high-visibility flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway), exceptions to this requirement are listed later in this measure. The no-disturbance buffers will generally be a minimum of 50 feet from listed plants, but the size and shape of the buffer zone may be adjusted if a qualified RPF or botanist determines that a smaller buffer will be sufficient to avoid killing or damaging listed plants or that a larger buffer is necessary to sufficiently protect plants from the treatment activity. The appropriate buffer size will be determined based on plant phenology at the time of treatment (e.g., whether the plants are in a dormant, vegetative, or flowering state), the individual species' vulnerability to the treatment method being used, and environmental conditions and terrain. For example, paint-on or wicking application of herbicides to invasive plants may be implemented within 50 feet of listed plant species without posing a risk, especially if the listed plants are dormant at the time of application. Consideration of factors such

as site hydrology, changes in light, edge effects, and potential introduction of invasive plants and noxious weeds may inform the determination of buffer width. If a no-disturbance buffer is reduced below 50 feet from a listed plant, a qualified RPF or botanist will provide the project proponent with a site- and/or treatment activity-specific explanation for the buffer reduction, which will be included in the PSA. After completion of the PSA and prior to or during treatment implementation, if there is any deviation (e.g., further reduction) from the reduced buffer as explained in the PSA, this will be documented in the post-project implementation report (referred to by CAL FIRE as a Completion Report) with a science-based justification for the deviation. No fire ignition (and associated use of accelerants) will occur within 50 feet of listed plants.

For species listed under ESA or CESA, if the project proponent cannot avoid loss by implementing nodisturbance buffers, the project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-1c.

The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or botanist, in consultation with CDFW and USFWS, as appropriate depending on species status and location, that the listed plants would benefit from treatment in the occupied habitat area even though some of the listed plants may be lost during treatment activities. For a treatment to be considered beneficial to listed special-status plants, the qualified RPF or botanist will demonstrate with substantial evidence that habitat function is reasonably expected to improve with implementation of the treatment (e.g., by citing scientific studies demonstrating that the species (or similar species) has benefitted from increased sunlight due to canopy opening, eradication of invasive species, or otherwise reduced competition for resources), and the substantial evidence will be included in the PSA. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to listed plants, no compensatory mitigation for loss of individuals will be required.

- MM BIO-1b Avoid Loss of Special-Status Plants Not Listed Under ESA or CESA: If non-listed specialstatus plant species (i.e., species not listed under ESA or CESA, but meeting the definition of specialstatus as stated in Section 3.6.1 of the Program EIR) are determined to be present through application of SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-7, the project proponent will implement the following measures to avoid loss of individuals and maintain habitat function of occupied habitat:
  - Physically avoid the area occupied by the special-status plants by establishing a no-disturbance buffer around the area occupied by species and marking the buffer boundary with high-visibility flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway). The no-disturbance buffers will generally be a minimum of 50 feet from special-status plants, but the size and shape of the buffer zone may be adjusted if a qualified RPF or botanist determines that a smaller buffer will be sufficient to avoid loss of or damaging to special-status plants or that a larger buffer is necessary to sufficiently protect plants from the treatment activity. The appropriate size and shape of the buffer zone will be determined by a qualified RPF or botanist and will depend on plant phenology at the time of treatment (e.g., whether the plants are in a dormant, vegetative, or flowering state), the individual species' vulnerability to the treatment method being used, and environmental conditions and terrain. Consideration of factors such as site hydrology, changes in light, edge effects, and potential introduction of invasive plants and noxious weeds may inform an appropriate buffer size and shape.
  - Treatments may be conducted within this buffer if the potentially affected special-status plant species is a geophytic, stump-sprouting, or annual species, and the treatment can be conducted outside of the growing season (e.g., after it has completed its annual life cycle) or during the dormant season using only treatment activities that would not damage the stump, root system or other underground parts of special-status plants or destroy the seedbank.

- Treatments will be designed to maintain the function of special-status plant habitat. For example, for a fuel break proposed in treatment areas occupied by special-status plants, if the removal of shade cover would degrade the special-status plant habitat despite the requirement to physically or seasonally avoid the special-status plant itself, habitat function would be diminished, and the treatment would need to be modified or precluded from implementation.
- No fire ignition (and associated use of accelerants) will occur within the special-status plant buffer.

A qualified RPF or botanist with knowledge of the special-status plant species habitat and life history will review the treatment design and applicable impact minimization measures (potentially including others not listed above) to determine if the anticipated residual effects of the treatment would be significant under CEQA because implementation of the treatment would not maintain habitat function of the special-status plant habitat (i.e., the habitat would be rendered unsuitable) or because the loss of special-status plants would substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a special-status plant species. If the project proponent determines the impact on special-status plants would be less than significant, no further mitigation will be required. If the project proponent determines that the loss of special-status plants or degradation of occupied habitat would be significant under CEQA after implementing feasible treatment design alternatives and impact minimization measures, then Mitigation Measure BIO-1c will be implemented.

The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or botanist that the special-status plants would benefit from treatment in the occupied habitat area even though some of the non-listed special-status plants may be killed during treatment activities. For a treatment to be considered beneficial to non-listed special-status plants, the qualified RPF or botanist will demonstrate with substantial evidence that habitat function is reasonably expected to improve with implementation of the treatment (e.g., by citing scientific studies demonstrating that the species (or similar species) has benefitted from increased sunlight due to canopy opening, eradication of invasive species, or otherwise reduced competition for resources), and the substantial evidence will be included in the PSA. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status plants, no compensatory mitigation will be required.

 MM BIO-2a Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Maintain Habitat Function for Listed Wildlife Species and California Fully Protected Species: If California Fully Protected Species or species listed under ESA or CESA are observed during reconnaissance surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-1) or focused or protocol-level surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-10), the project proponent will avoid adverse effects to the species by implementing the following:

## Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance of Individuals

- The project proponent will implement one of the following 2 measures to avoid mortality, injury, or disturbance of individuals:
  - 1. Treatment will not be implemented within the occupied habitat. Any treatment activities outside occupied habitat will be a sufficient distance from the occupied habitat such that mortality, injury, or disturbance of the species will not occur, as determined by a qualified RPF or biologist using the most current and commonly-accepted science and considering published agency guidance; OR
  - 2. Treatment will be implemented outside the sensitive period of the species' life history (e.g., outside the breeding or nesting season) during which the species may be more susceptible to disturbance, or disturbance could result in loss of eggs or young. For

species present year-round, CDFW and/or USFWS/NOAA Fisheries will be consulted to determine if there is a period of time within which treatment could occur that would avoid mortality, injury, or disturbance of the species.

- For species listed under ESA or CESA, if the project proponent cannot avoid mortality, injury or disturbance by implementing one of the two options listed above, the project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-2c.
- Injury or mortality of California Fully Protected Species is prohibited pursuant to Sections 3511,
   4700, 5050, and 5515 of the California Fish and Game Code and will be avoided.

#### Maintain Habitat Function

- The project proponent will design treatment activities to maintain the habitat function, by implementing the following:
  - While performing review and surveys for SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-10, a qualified RPF or biologist will identify any habitat features that are necessary for survival (e.g., habitat necessary for breeding, foraging, shelter, movement) of the affected wildlife species (e.g., trees with complex structure, trees with large cavities, trees with nesting platforms; dens; tree snags; large raptor nests [including inactive nests]; downed woody debris; food sources). These habitat features will be marked, and treatments applied to the features will be designed to minimize or avoid the loss or degradation of suitable habitat for listed species during treatments. Identification and treatment of these features will be based on the life history and habitat requirements of the affected species and the most current, commonly accepted science.
  - If it is determined during implementation of SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-10 that listed or fully protected wildlife with specific requirements for high canopy cover (e.g., Humboldt marten, fisher, spotted owl, coastal California gnatcatcher, riparian woodrat) are present within a treatment area, then tree or shrub canopy cover within existing suitable areas will be retained at the percentage preferred by the species (as determined by expert opinion, published habitat association information, or other documented standards that are commonly accepted [e.g., 50 percent for coastal California gnatcatcher]) such that habitat function is maintained.
- A qualified RPF or biologist will determine if, after implementation of the impact avoidance measures listed above, the habitat function will remain for the affected species after implementation of the treatment. Because this measure pertains to species listed under CESA or ESA or are fully protected, the qualified RPF or biologist will consult with CDFW and/or USFWS/NOAA Fisheries regarding the determination that habitat function is maintained. If consultation determines that the treatment will not maintain habitat function for the specialstatus species, the project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-2c.

## Project-Specific Requirements

## California Red-Legged Frog

 If the presence of California red-legged frog within suitable habitat in the project area is assumed or if SPR BIO-10 surveys have detected California red-legged frog, CAL FIRE (project proponent) will implement the following measures:

- Pre-treatment surveys and biological monitoring. Pre-treatment visual surveys will be performed daily by a qualified RPF, biologist, or biological monitor, prior to implementation of any treatment activities (i.e., mechanical, manual, and herbicide) within 300 feet of Class I or Class II streams and within or adjacent to other sensitive habitat areas (e.g., wet intermittent streams, wet seeps), during the dispersal season (October 1 through April 1) or within 24 hours following a rain event greater than one quarter inch. Surveys and monitoring will be performed year-around prior to any activities within 30 feet of Class I or Class II streams and within or adjacent to other sensitive habitat areas (e.g., wet Class III streams, wet seeps). If a California red-legged frog is found during pre-treatment surveys or enters the project site during treatment activities, all work will stop within a non-disturbance buffer of 100 feet around the individual unless it is determined by the qualified RPF or biologist that a different sized buffer is appropriate to avoid disturbance, injury, or mortality. Treatment activities will cease within the buffer until the animal leaves on its own and the occurrence will be reported to the qualified biologist, and USFWS.
- If California red-legged frog is found during pre-treatment surveys or enters the project site during treatment activities, the specific habitat features used by the frog when detected will be evaluated by a qualified RPF or biologist for habitat retention, if habitat retention will meet the project goals.
- If operators need to move or treat large woody debris greater than 12 inches in diameter, that piece of woody debris will be evaluated for CRF by a qualified biologist, qualified professional, RPF, RPF supervised designee, or a contractor who has been through the environmental awareness training.
- All mechanized equipment including track chippers will shut down for 24 hours following any precipitation event of 0.20 inch to less than 1 inch, 48 hours following any precipitation event 1 inch to less than 2 inches, and 72 hours following any precipitation event greater or equal to 2 inches. Handwork may continue.
- No mechanized operations year around (including track chippers unless on an existing road) in a Watercourse and Lake Protection of a Class I or Class II watercourse or within 30 feet of a Class III or adjacent to other potential sensitive habitat areas (e.g., wet seeps). Only handwork may occur in these areas. If handwork is proposed, the area must be cleared by a qualified biologist no more than 7 days prior to operations.
- No heavy equipment shall be fueled within 65 feet of any watercourse.
- All herbicide use during project implementation will comply with the herbicide use restrictions in the stipulated injunction issued by the Federal District Court for the Northern District of California to resolve the 2006 case brought against the Environmental Protection Agency by the Center for Biological Diversity. For example, to comply with the injunction, only cut stump and basal bark applications will be allowed in California red-legged frog habitat under the following conditions.
- Cut stump and basal bark applications may be used but will not be applied within 60 feet of breeding or non-breeding aquatic habitat.

#### Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog

- In treatment areas within 200 feet of Class I and Class II watercourses, the habitat suitability for foothill yellow-legged frog will be assessed. If no suitable habitat for foothill yellow-legged frog is found within the treatment area, then no further actions are required. If suitable habitat is present within the treatment area daily inspections will be required.
- Daily inspection of the day's treatment area within suitable habitat will be performed by the qualified biologist, qualified RPF, or supervised trained designee. Prior to implementation of daily inspections, the qualified biologist will conduct a training for other project staff (i.e., qualified RPF or supervised trained designee). The training will include: identification of foothill-legged frog, procedures to follow for daily inspection of appropriate habitat features immediately before treatment occurs, and proper procedures to implement if a frog is present (e.g., establish a no-disturbance buffer zone of a size that will appropriately avoid foothill yellow-legged frog where treatment will not occur until the frog has left the area, halt activities if a foothill yellow-legged frogs to move out of the treatment area on their own accord, notify CDFW if foothill yellow-legged frogs are observed).

Additional Special-Status Species that are not recorded or known to occur in the project area but have suitable habitat within or in proximity to the project area:

#### Golden Eagle

 If active golden eagle nests are found during SPR BIO-10 surveys, a no-disturbance nest buffer of 1.0 mile would be placed around active golden eagle nests, and no treatment activities would occur within this buffer until the chicks have fledged as determined by a qualified biologist or RPF. The buffer distance may be modified by a qualified RPF or biologist based on presence of natural buffers provided by vegetation or topography, nest height above ground, baseline levels of noise and human activity, and expected treatment activities.

#### Mountain lion

- $\circ$   $\,$   $\,$  To avoid mortality or injury to mountain lion the following will be implemented.
- Nursery habitat suitable for the species will be determined through desktop analyses (e.g., review of land cover, slope, distance from development), coordination with local experts studying or tracking the species (if available), and field surveys. Potential mountain lion dens will include caves, large natural cavities within rocky areas, or thickets deemed appropriate for use by mountain lions based on size and other characteristics (e.g., proximity to human development, surrounding habitat). The qualified wildlife biologist will survey for signs of mountain lion (e.g., tracks, scat, prey items such as a fresh kill) in the vicinity of potential nursery habitat to help determine whether the area may contain a mountain lion nursery. If nursery habitat is confirmed adjacent to (within 2,000 feet of) the treatment area, the following additional measures will be applied. If nursery habitat is not identified adjacent to the treatment area, no additional measures will be required.
- Within 7 days before commencement of treatment activities, a qualified RPF or wildlife biologist with familiarity with mountain lion and experience using survey methods for the species will conduct focused surveys in nursery habitat suitable for the species adjacent to (within 2,000 feet

of) the treatment area to identify any potential mountain lion nurseries, as property access allows.

- Within 7 days prior to the start of mechanical treatments and manual treatments that use hand-operated power tools (e.g., chainsaws), a qualified RPF or biologist will inspect suitable nursery habitat in the part of the treatment area scheduled to be treated the following week for mountain lion or signs of mountain lion nurseries. If no mountain lion or sign of a nursery is observed, treatment activities may begin. If signs of a mountain lion nursery are observed, further investigation will be required to determine if a mountain lion nursery is present (see below).
- If signs of a mountain lion nursery are found during surveys, further investigation will be required to determine if a mountain lion nursery is present. No treatment will occur in the area while further investigation is occurring. Survey methods will include the use of trail cameras, track plates, hair snares, and/or other noninvasive methods, as well as coordination with local experts tracking the species (if available). Surveys using these noninvasive methods will be conducted for three days and three nights to determine whether a nursery may be present.

If a nursery is known to occur in the area or further signs of a nursery are detected based on the surveys described above (e.g., lactating adult females or cubs on camera, repeated detections of an adult female in the area, growls or calls from cubs), the project proponent will implement a no-disturbance buffer of at least 2,000 feet (Wilmers et al. 2013) for a minimum of 10 weeks. Treatment activities will not occur within this buffer during this time to avoid disturbance, injury, or mortality of mountain lion nurseries.

 MM BIO-2b Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Maintain Habitat Function for Other Special-Status Wildlife Species: If other special-status wildlife species (i.e., species not listed under CESA or ESA or California Fully Protected, but meeting the definition of special status as stated in Section 3.6.1 of the Program EIR) are observed during reconnaissance surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-1) or focused or protocol-level surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-1) or focused or protocol-level surveys (conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-10), the project proponent will avoid or minimize adverse effects to the species by implementing the following:

Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance of Individuals

• The project proponent will implement the following to avoid mortality, injury, or disturbance of individuals:

For all treatment activities except prescribed burning, the project proponent will establish a no-disturbance buffer around occupied sites (e.g., nests, dens, roosts, middens, burrows, nurseries). Buffer size will be determined by a qualified RPF or biologist using the most current, commonly accepted science and will consider published agency guidance; however, buffers will generally be a minimum of 100 feet, unless site conditions indicate a smaller buffer would be sufficient for protection or a larger buffer would be needed. Factors to be considered in determining buffer size will include, but not be limited to, the species' tolerance to disturbance; the presence of natural buffers provided by vegetation or topography; nest height; locations of foraging territory; baseline levels of noise and human activity; and treatment activity. Buffer size may be adjusted if the qualified RPF or biologist determines that such an adjustment would not be likely to adversely affect (i.e., cause mortality, injury, or disturbance to) the species within the nest, den, burrow, or other occupied site. If a no-disturbance buffer is reduced below 100 feet from an occupied site, a qualified RPF or biologist will provide the project proponent with a site- and/or treatment

activity-specific explanation for the buffer reduction, which will be included in the PSA. After completion of the PSA and prior to or during treatment implementation, if there is any deviation (e.g., further reduction) from the reduced buffer as explained in the PSA, this will be documented in the post-project implementation report (referred to by CAL FIRE as a Completion Report).

- No-disturbance buffers will be marked with high-visibility flagging, fencing, stakes, or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway). No activity will occur within the buffer areas until the qualified RPF or biologist has determined that the young have fledged or dispersed; the nest, den, or other occurrence is no longer active; or reducing the buffer would not likely result in disturbance, mortality, or injury. A qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will be required to monitor the effectiveness of the no-disturbance buffer around the nest, den, burrow, or other occurrence during treatment. If treatment activities cause agitated behavior of the individual(s), the buffer distance will be increased, or treatment activities modified until the agitated behavior stops. The qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will have the authority to stop any treatment activities that could result in mortality, injury or disturbance to specialstatus species.
- For prescribed burning, the project proponent will implement the treatment outside the sensitive period of the species' life history (e.g., outside the breeding or nesting season) during which the species may be more susceptible to disturbance, or disturbance could result in loss of eggs or young. For species present year-round, the qualified RPF or biologist will determine the period of time within which prescribed burning could occur that will avoid or minimize mortality, injury, or disturbance of the species. The project proponent may consult with CDFW and/or USFWS for technical information regarding appropriate limited operating periods.

## Maintain Habitat Function

- For all treatment activities, the project proponent will design treatment activities to maintain the habitat function by implementing the following:
  - While performing review and surveys for SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-10, a qualified RPF or biologist will identify any habitat features that are necessary for survival (e.g., habitat necessary for breeding, foraging, shelter, movement) of the affected wildlife species (e.g., trees with complex structure, trees with large cavities, trees with nesting platforms; tree snags; large raptor nests [including inactive nests]; downed woody debris). These habitat features will be marked, and treatments applied to the features will be designed to minimize or avoid the loss or degradation of suitable habitat for listed species during treatments. Identification and treatment of these features will be based on the life history and habitat requirements of the affected species and the most current, commonly accepted science.
  - If it is determined during implementation of SPR BIO-1 and SPR BIO-10 that specialstatus wildlife with specific requirements for high canopy cover (e.g., northern goshawk, Sierra Nevada snowshoe hare) are present within a treatment area, then tree or shrub canopy cover within existing suitable areas will be retained at the percentage preferred by the species (as determined by expert opinion, published habitat association

information, or other documented standards that are commonly accepted) such that the habitat function is maintained.

 A qualified RPF or biologist will determine if, after implementation of the impact avoidance measures listed above, the habitat function will remain for the affected species after implementation of the treatment. The qualified RPF or biologist may consult with CDFW and/or USFWS for technical information regarding habitat function.

A qualified RPF or biologist with knowledge of the special-status wildlife species habitat and life history will review the treatment design and applicable impact minimization measures (potentially including others not listed above) to determine if the anticipated residual effects of the treatment would be significant under CEQA because implementation of the treatment will not maintain habitat function of the special-status wildlife species' habitat or because the loss of special-status wildlife would substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a special-status wildlife species. If the project proponent determines the impact on special-status wildlife would be less than significant, no further mitigation will be required. If the project proponent determines that the loss of specialstatus wildlife or degradation of occupied habitat would be significant under CEQA after implementing feasible treatment design alternatives and impact minimization measures, then Mitigation Measure BIO-2c will be implemented.

The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or biologist that the non-listed special-status wildlife would benefit from treatment in the occupied habitat area even though some of the non-listed special-status wildlife may be killed, injured, or disturbed during treatment activities. For a treatment to be considered beneficial to non-listed special-status wildlife, the qualified RPF or biologist will demonstrate with substantial evidence that habitat function is reasonably expected to improve with implementation of the treatment (e.g., by citing scientific studies demonstrating that the species (or similar species) has benefitted from increased sunlight due to canopy opening, eradication of invasive species, or otherwise reduced competition for resources), and the substantial evidence will be included in the PSA. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status wildlife, no compensatory mitigation will be required. The qualified RPF or biologist may consult with CDFW and/or USFWS for technical information regarding the determination that a non-listed special-status species would benefit from the treatment.

#### Project-Specific Requirements

- It is very likely that other common native birds may be present within or in proximity to the treatment areas. If it is infeasible for operations to occur outside of the active nesting season of common native birds, including raptors, that may be present in the vicinity of the project site, then a survey will be conducted prior to operations (SPR BIO-12). Nesting bird surveys will be conducted no more than 7 days prior to the operations when treatments are proposed from February 1st to August 31st by adhering to the standards below:
  - (b): Nest tree(s), designated perch tree(s), screening tree(s), and replacement tree(s) shall be left standing and unharmed.
  - (c): Operations shall be planned and operated to commence as far as possible from occupied nest trees.
  - (d): When an occupied nest site of a listed bird species is discovered during operations, operations shall cease, and the nest tree shall be protected applying the provisions set forth in subsections (b) and (c) above and shall immediately notify CDFW and CAL FIRE.

#### Special-Status Amphibians and Reptiles

If special-status amphibians and reptiles (i.e., California giant salamander, coast range newt, coast horned lizard, Northern California legless lizard, Santa Cruz black salamander, western pond turtle) are detected during SPR BIO-10 focused surveys, biological monitoring by a qualified biologist during mechanical and manual treatment activities within or adjacent to sensitive habitat areas will be implemented to avoid injury to or mortality of individual special-status amphibians and reptiles. If the qualified biologist detects a special-status amphibian or reptile during treatments, a non-disturbance buffer of 100 feet, or published agency distance, will be implemented around the individual unless it is determined by a qualified RPF, biologist, or RPF supervised designee that a different sized buffer is appropriate to avoid injury or mortality. Treatment activities will cease within the buffer until the animal has left the area or has been moved out of harm's way and to other nearby habitat suitable for the species by the qualified biologist.

#### Special-Status Birds

 If an active grasshopper sparrow, loggerhead shrike, long-eared owl, or purple martin nest is detected during SPR BIO-10 focused surveys, a no-disturbance buffer of at least 100 feet will be established around the nest, and no treatment activities will occur within this buffer until the chicks have fledged as determined by a qualified RPF or biologist. The buffer distance may be modified by a qualified RPF or biologist based on presence of natural buffers provided by vegetation or topography, nest height above ground, baseline levels of noise and human activity, and expected treatment activities. If purple martin nests are detected, the nesting tree or snag will be avoided and left intact by treatment activities.

#### Special-Status Bats

- If a special-status bat roost is detected during SPR BIO-10 focused surveys, a no-disturbance buffer of 250 feet will be established around the roost during the bat maternity season (April 1– August 31), and no treatment activities will occur within this buffer until the roost is no longer being used as determined by a qualified RPF or biologist. The buffer distance may be modified by a qualified RPF or biologist based on presence of natural buffers provided by vegetation or topography, nest height above ground, baseline levels of noise and human activity, and expected treatment activities.
- Mitigation Measure BIO-2e Design Treatment to Retain Special-Status Butterfly Host Plants (All Treatment Activities): If federally listed butterflies are identified as occurring or having potential to occur during review and surveys for SPR BIO-1 and confirmed during protocol-level surveys per SPR BIO-10, then the following measures will be implemented:
  - Treatment areas within the range of these species will be surveyed for the host plant for each species (Table 3.6-34).
  - Host plants for federally listed butterflies within the occupied habitat will be marked with highvisibility flagging, fencing, or stakes, and no treatment activities will occur within 10 feet of these plants.
  - Because prescribed herbivory could result in the indiscriminate removal of the host plants for federally listed butterflies, this treatment type will not be used within occupied habitat of any

federally listed butterfly species, unless it is known that the host plant is unpalatable to the herbivore.

- Treatment areas that are not occupied but are within the range of the federally listed butterfly will be divided into as many treatment units as feasible such that the entirety of the habitat is not treated within the same year.
- Treatments will be conducted in a patchy pattern to the extent feasible in areas that are not occupied but are within the range of the federally listed butterfly, such that the entirety of the habitat is not burned or removed and untreated portions of suitable habitat are retained.
- If the project proponent cannot implement the measures above to avoid mortality, injury, or disturbance of federally listed butterflies or degradation of occupied habitat (host plants) such that its function would not be maintained, the project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-2c.

**CESA and ESA Listed Species.** A qualified RPF or biologist will determine if, after implementation of any feasible impact avoidance measures (potentially including others not listed above), the treatment will result in mortality, injury, or disturbance, or if after implementation of the treatment, habitat function will remain for the affected species. For species listed under CESA or ESA or that are fully protected, the qualified RPF or biologist will consult with CDFW and/or USFWS regarding this determination. If consultation determines that mortality, injury, or disturbance of listed butterflies or degradation of occupied habitat such that its function would not be maintained would occur, the project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-2c.

**Other Special-status Species.** A qualified RPF or biologist with knowledge of the special-status species' habitat and life history will review the treatment design and applicable impact minimization measures (potentially including others not listed above) to determine if the anticipated residual effects of the treatment would be significant under CEQA, because implementation of the treatment will not maintain habitat function of the special-status species' habitat or because the loss of special-status individuals would substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a special-status species. If the project proponent determines the impact on special-status butterflies would be less than significant, no further mitigation will be required. If the project proponent determines that the loss of special-status butterflies or degradation of occupied habitat would be significant under CEQA after implementing feasible treatment design alternatives and impact minimization measures, then Mitigation Measure BIO-2c will be implemented.

The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or biologist that the special-status butterfly species would benefit from treatment in the occupied habitat area even though some may be killed, injured or disturbed during treatment activities. For a treatment to be considered beneficial to special-status butterfly species, the qualified RPF or biologist will demonstrate with substantial evidence that habitat function is reasonably expected to improve with implementation of the treatment (e.g., by citing scientific studies demonstrating that the species (or similar species) has benefitted from increased sunlight due to canopy opening, eradication of invasive species, or otherwise reduced competition for resources), and the substantial evidence will be included in the PSA. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status butterflies, no compensatory mitigation will be required.

#### Project-Specific Requirements

#### Monarch Butterfly

- Placeholder
- See Attachment F.."

- Mitigation Measure BIO-2g Design Treatment to Avoid Mortality, Injury, or Disturbance and Maintain Habitat Function for Special-Status Bumble Bees (All Treatment Activities): If specialstatus bumble bees are identified as occurring during review and surveys under SPR BIO-1 and confirmed during protocol-level surveys per SPR BIO-10, or if suitable habitat for special-status bumble bees is identified during review and surveys under SPR BIO-1 (e.g., wet meadow, forest meadow, riparian, grassland, or coastal scrub habitat containing sufficient floral resources within the range of the species), then the project proponent will implement the following measures, as feasible:
  - Prescribed burning within occupied or suitable habitat for special-status bumble bees will occur from October through February to avoid the bumble bee flight season.
  - Treatment areas in occupied or suitable habitat will be divided into a sufficient number of treatment units such that the entirety of the habitat is not treated within the same year; the objective of this measure is to provide refuge for special-status bumble bees during treatment activities and temporary retention of suitable floral resources proximate to the treatment area.
  - Treatments will be conducted in a patchy pattern to the extent feasible in occupied or suitable habitat, such that the entirety of the habitat is not burned or removed and untreated portions of occupied or suitable habitat are retained (e.g., fire breaks will be aligned to allow for areas of unburned floral resources for special-status bumble bees within the treatment area).
  - Herbicides will not be applied to flowering native plants within occupied or suitable habitat to the extent feasible during the flight season (March through September).

**CESA and ESA Listed Species**. A qualified RPF or biologist will determine if, after implementation of feasible avoidance measures (potentially including others not listed above), the treatment will result in mortality, injury, or disturbance to the species, or if after implementation of the treatment, habitat function will remain for the affected species. For species listed under CESA or ESA or that are fully protected, the qualified RPF or biologist will consult with CDFW and/or USFWS regarding this determination. If consultation determines that mortality, injury, or disturbance of listed bumble bees (in the event the Candidate listing is confirmed) or degradation of occupied (or assumed to be occupied) habitat such that its function would not be maintained would occur, the project proponent will implement Mitigation Measure BIO-2c.

**Other Special-status Species.** A qualified RPF or biologist with knowledge of the special-status species' habitat and life history will review the treatment design and applicable impact minimization measures (potentially including others not listed above) to determine if the anticipated residual effects of the treatment would be significant under CEQA because implementation of the treatment will not maintain habitat function of the special-status species' habitat or because the loss of special-status individuals would substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a special-status species. If the project proponent determines the impact on special-status bumble bees would be less than significant, no further mitigation will be required. If the project proponent determines that the loss of special-status bumble bees or degradation of occupied (or assumed to be occupied) habitat would be significant under CEQA after implementing feasible treatment design alternatives and impact minimization measures, then Mitigation Measure BIO-2c will be implemented.

The only exception to this mitigation approach is in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or biologist that the special-status bumble bee species would benefit from treatment in the occupied (or assumed to be occupied) habitat area even though some of the non-listed special-status bumble bees may be killed, injured, or disturbed during treatment activities. For a treatment to be considered beneficial to special-status bumble bee species, the qualified RPF or biologist will demonstrate with substantial evidence that habitat function is reasonably expected to improve with implementation of the treatment (e.g., by citing scientific studies demonstrating that the species (or similar species) has

benefitted from increased sunlight due to canopy opening, eradication of invasive species, or otherwise reduced competition for resources), and the substantial evidence will be included in the PSA. If it is determined that treatment activities would be beneficial to special-status bumble bees, no compensatory mitigation will be required.

- **MM BIO-5 Retain Nursery Habitat and Implement Buffers to Avoid Nursery Sites:** The project proponent will implement the following measures while working in treatment areas that contain nursery sites identified in surveys conducted pursuant to SPR BIO-10:
  - Retain Known Nursery Sites. A qualified RPF or biologist will identify the important habitat features of the wildlife nursery and, prior to treatment activities, will mark these features for avoidance and retention during treatment.
  - Establish Avoidance Buffers. The project proponent will establish a non-disturbance buffer around the nursery site if activities are required while the nursery site is active/occupied. The appropriate size and shape of the buffer will be determined by a qualified RPF or biologist, based on potential effects of project-related habitat disturbance, noise, visual disturbance, and other factors. No treatment activity will commence within the buffer area until a qualified RPF or biologist confirms that the nursery site is no longer active/occupied. Monitoring of the effectiveness of the non-disturbance buffer around the nursery site by a qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician during and after treatment activities will be required. If treatment activities modified until the agitated behavior stops. The qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will have the authority to stop any treatment activities that could result in potential adverse effects to special-status species.

#### Project-Specific Requirements

#### Cormorant Rookery Site – San Simeon Point

- If operations are proposed between February 1 and August 31:
- A qualified professional or RPF will perform a cursory/visual search of the project area for nesting birds prior to operations.

*If an active nest is identified, a protection buffer of 200 feet will be established. CDFW will be contacted if the nest is determined to be that of a special-status bird species or raptor.* 

- A qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will monitor the active cormorant rookery during treatment activities to identify signs of agitation, nest defense, or other behaviors that signal disturbance of the active nest is likely. If breeding cormorants are showing signs of nest disturbance, additional avoidance strategies (increase buffer, modify treatment or defer treatment) will be implemented.
- No treatment activities will occur within 100 feet of the rookery during the non-nesting season, except to relocate dead downed vegetation outside of the buffer for further disposal.

# EC-6: Geology, Soils, Paleontology, and Mineral Resources

SPR GEO-1 Suspend Disturbance During Heavy Precipitation: The project proponent will suspend mechanical, prescribed herbivory, and herbicide treatments if the National Weather Service forecast is a "chance" (30 percent or more) of rain within the next 24 hours. Activities that cause mechanical soil disturbance may resume when precipitation stops and soils are no longer saturated (i.e., when soil and/or surface material pore spaces are filled with water to such an extent that runoff is likely to occur). Indicators of saturated soil conditions may include, but are not limited to: (1) areas of ponded water, (2) pumping of fines from the soil or road surfacing, (3) loss of bearing strength resulting in the deflection of soil or road surfaces under a load, such as the creation of wheel ruts, (4) spinning or churning of wheels or tracks that produces a wet slurry, or (5) inadequate traction without blading wet soil or surfacing materials. This SPR applies only to mechanical, prescribed herbivory, and herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

#### Project-Specific Requirements

- The project proponent will suspend mechanized operations to prevent treatment activity from occurring during heavy precipitation if the National Weather Service forecast is a "chance" (30 percent or more averaged over each hour) of rain within the next 12 hours where mechanized operations are proposed from 6:00 am 6:00 pm for that day's operation.
- SPR GEO-2 Limit High Ground Pressure Vehicles: The project proponent will limit heavy equipment that could cause soil disturbance or compaction to be driven through treatment areas when soils are wet and saturated to avoid compaction and/or damage to soil structure. Saturated soil means that soil and/or surface material pore spaces are filled with water to such an extent that runoff is likely to occur. If use of heavy equipment is required in saturated areas, other measures such as operating on organic debris, using low ground pressure vehicles, or operating on frozen soils/snow covered soils will be implemented to minimize soil compaction. Existing compacted road surfaces are exempted as they are already compacted from use. This SPR applies only to mechanical treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR GEO-3 Stabilize Disturbed Soil Areas: The project proponent will stabilize soil disturbed during mechanical, prescribed herbivory treatments, and prescribed burns that result in exposure of bare soil over 50 percent or more of the treatment area with mulch or equivalent immediately after treatment activities, to the maximum extent practicable, to minimize the potential for substantial sediment discharge. If mechanical, prescribed herbivory, or prescribed burn treatment activities could result in substantial sediment discharge from soil disturbed by machinery, or animal hooves, or being bare, organic material from mastication or mulch will be incorporated onto at least 75 percent of the disturbed soil surface where the soil erosion hazard is moderate or high, and 50 percent of the disturbed soil surface where soil erosion hazard is low to help prevent erosion. Where slash mulch is used, it will be packed into the ground surface with heavy equipment so that it is sufficiently in contact with the soil surface. This SPR only applies to mechanical prescribed herbivory, and prescribed burns that result in exposure of bare soil over 50 percent of the project area treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR GEO-4 Erosion Monitoring: The project proponent will inspect treatment areas for the proper implementation of erosion control SPRs and mitigations prior to the rainy season. If erosion control measures are not properly implemented, they will be remediated prior to the first rainfall event per SPR

GEO-3 and GEO-8. Additionally, the project proponent will inspect for evidence of erosion after the first large storm or rainfall event (i.e.,  $\geq$  1.5 inches in 24 hours) as soon as is feasible after the event. Any area of erosion that will result in substantial sediment discharge will be remediated within 48 hours per the methods stated in SPRs GEO-3 and GEO-8. This SPR applies only to mechanical, prescribed herbivory, and prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR GEO-5 Drain Stormwater via Water Breaks: The project proponent will drain compacted and/or bare linear treatment areas capable of generating storm runoff via water breaks using the spacing and erosion control guidelines contained in Sections 914.6, 934.6, and 954.6I of the California Forest Practice Rules (February 2019 version). Where waterbreaks cannot effectively disperse surface runoff, including where waterbreaks cause surface run-off to be concentrated on downslopes, other erosion controls will be installed as needed to maintain site productivity by minimizing soil loss. This SPR applies only to mechanical, manual, and prescribed burn treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR GEO-6 Minimize Burn Pile Size: The project proponent will not create burn piles that exceed 20 feet in length, width, or diameter, except when on landings, road surfaces, or on contour to minimize the spatial extent of soil damage. In addition, burn piles will not occupy more than 15 percent of the total treatment area (Busse et al. 2014). The project proponent will not locate burn piles in a Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone as defined in SPR HYD-4. This SPR applies to mechanical, manual, and prescribed burning treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR GEO-7 Minimize Erosion, Slope Restrictions for Heavy Equipment and Tractor Roads: To minimize erosion, the project proponent will:
  - 1. Prohibit use of heavy equipment where any of the following conditions are present:
    - Slopes steeper than 65 percent.
    - Slopes steeper than 50 percent where the erosion hazard rating is high or extreme.
    - Slopes steeper than 50 percent that lead without flattening to sufficiently dissipate water flow and trap sediment before it reaches a watercourse or lake.
  - On slopes between 50 percent and 65 percent where the erosion hazard rating is moderate, and all slope percentages are for average slope steepness based on sample areas that are 20 acres, or less, heavy equipment will be limited to:
    - Existing tractor roads that do not require reconstruction, or
    - New tractor roads flagged by the project proponent prior to the treatment activity.
  - 3. Prescribed herbivory treatments will not be used in areas with over 50 percent slope.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

# EC-7: Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standard Project Requirements

- SPR GHG-1 Contribute to the AB 1504 Carbon Inventory Process: The project proponent of treatment projects subject to the AB 1504 process will provide all necessary data about the treatment that is needed by the U.S. Forest Service and FRAP to fulfill requirements of the AB 1504 carbon inventory, and to aid in the ongoing research about the long-term net change in carbon sequestration resulting from treatment activity, including treatment maintenance.
- Mitigation Measure GHG-2. Implement GHG Emission Reduction Techniques During Prescribed Burns When planning for and conducting a prescribed burn, project proponents implementing a

prescribed burn will incorporate feasible methods for reducing GHG emissions, including the following, which are identified in the *National Wildfire Coordinating Group Smoke Management Guide for Prescribed Fire* (NWCG 2018):

- reduce the total area burned by isolating and leaving large fuels (e.g., large logs, snags) unburned;
- reduce the total area burned through mosaic burning;
- o burn when fuels have a higher fuel moisture content;
- reduce fuel loading by removing fuels before ignition. Methods to remove fuels include mechanical treatments, manual treatments, prescribed herbivory, and biomass utilization; and
- schedule burns before new fuels appear.

As the science evolves, other feasible methods or technologies to sequester carbon could be incorporated, such as conservation burning, a technique for burning woody material that reduces the production of smoke particulates and carbon released into the atmosphere and generates more biochar. Biochar is produced from the material left over after the burn and spread with compost to increase soil organic matter and soil carbon sequestration. Technologies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions may also include portable units that perform gasification to produce electricity or pyrolysis that produces biooil that can be used as liquid fuel and/or syngas that can be used to generate electricity.

The project proponent will document in the Burn Plan required pursuant to SPR AQ-3 which methods for reducing GHG emissions can feasibly be integrated into the treatment design.

## Significance after Mitigation

Implementation of Mitigation Measure GHG-2 would require project proponents conducting prescribed burns to implement GHG emission reduction techniques, as feasible. Given the potential infeasibility of implementing specific emission reduction techniques and the uncertainties associated with all the parameters and objectives of prescribed burning, it is not feasible to precisely quantify the GHG reductions that would be achieved by implementation of Mitigation Measure GHG-2 in this programmatic evaluation. For instance, these measures may not always be feasible when the objective of the burn is to consume coarse woody debris in areas of high tree mortality. Also, the feasibility of conducting mosaic burning can depend on the size of a burn, and mosaic burning may not meet the objectives of CAL FIRE or the landowner. Moreover, burning fuels with a higher fuel moisture content can generate more smoke and result in less consumption, potentially reducing the longevity or effectiveness of a prescribed burn treatment. Thus, acknowledging the need for a balance between achieving treatment rate objectives and minimizing immediate GHG or smoke impacts, the levels of GHGs emitted by prescribed burns could still be considerable. Implementation of Mitigation Measure GHG-2 would support the development and implementation of refined treatment strategies in compliance with the California 2030 Natural and Working Lands Climate Change Implementation Plan to heighten the GHG benefit of this plan. With the continued evolution of the body of scientific knowledge about the long-term carbon sequestration effects of vegetation treatments and application of research-backed guidance to treatment implementation, the likelihood of net GHG benefits would be reasonably expected to grow over time. Other measures could include the purchase and retirement of carbon credits to offset the one-time GHG emissions directly associated with treatment activity; however, this approach would consume financial resources needed to achieve the wildfire risk reduction objectives of the proposed CalVTP, so offset purchase could detract from and would not contribute to feasibly meeting the key objective of increasing the pace and scale of treated acreage.

Similar to the reasons for the pre-mitigation significance determination, to meet CEQA's mandate of good faith disclosure and acknowledge potential future impacts in light of uncertainties, this PEIR classifies this GHG impact as **potentially significant and unavoidable** after implementation of mitigation. Even though the long-term outcome may yet become beneficial, the "potentially significant and unavoidable" determination alerts the public to the potential that net positive emissions may persist over time.

# **EC-8: Energy Resources**

NONE

# EC-9: Hazardous Materials, Public Health and Safety Standard Project Requirements

- SPR HAZ-1 Maintain All Equipment: The project proponent will maintain all diesel- and gasolinepowered equipment per manufacturer's specifications, and in compliance with all state and federal emissions requirements. Maintenance records will be available for verification. Prior to the start of treatment activities, the project proponent will inspect all equipment for leaks and inspect everyday thereafter until equipment is removed from the site. Any equipment found leaking will be promptly removed. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR HAZ-2 Require Spark Arrestors: The project proponent will require mechanized hand tools to have federal or state-approved spark arrestors. This SPR applies only to manual treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR HAZ-3 Require Fire Extinguishers: The project proponent will require tree cutting crews to carry one fire extinguisher per chainsaw. Each vehicle would be equipped with one long-handled shovel and one axe or Pulaski consistent with PRC Section 4428. This SPR applies only to manual treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR HAZ-4 Prohibit Smoking in Vegetated Areas: The project proponent will require that smoking be only permitted in designated smoking areas barren or cleared to mineral soil at least 3 feet in diameter (PRC Section 4423.4). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR HAZ-5 Spill Prevention and Response Plan: The project proponent or licensed Pest Control Advisor (PCA) will prepare a Spill Prevention and Response Plan (SPRP) prior to beginning any herbicide treatment activities to Ascent Environmental Program Description Board of Forestry and Fire Protection December 2019 Final Program EIR for the California Vegetation Treatment Program 2-49 provide protection to onsite workers, the public, and the environment from accidental leaks or spills of herbicides, adjuvants, or other potential contaminants. The SPRP will include (but not be limited to):
  - o a map that delineates staging areas, and storage, loading, and mixing areas for herbicides;
  - a list of items required in an onsite spill kit that will be maintained throughout the life of the activity;
  - procedures for the proper storage, use, and disposal of any herbicides, adjuvants, or other chemicals used in vegetation treatment.

This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR HAZ-6 Comply with Herbicide Application Regulations: The project proponent will coordinate pesticide use with the applicable County Agricultural Commissioner(s), and all required licenses and permits will be obtained prior to herbicide application. The project proponent will prepare all herbicide applications to do the following:
  - Be implemented consistent with recommendations prepared annually by a licensed PCA.
  - Comply with all appropriate laws and regulations pertaining to the use of pesticides and safety standards for employees and the public, as governed by the EPA, DPR, and applicable local jurisdictions.
  - Adhere to label directions for application rates and methods, storage, transportation, mixing, container disposal, and weather limitations to application such as wind speed, humidity, temperature, and precipitation.
  - Be applied by an applicator appropriately licensed by the State.

This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• SPR HAZ-7 Triple Rinse Herbicide Containers: The project proponent will triple rinse all herbicide and adjuvant containers with clean water at an approved site and dispose of rinsate by placing it in the batch tank for application per 3 CCR Section 6684. The project proponent will puncture used containers on the top and bottom to render them unusable, unless said containers are part of a manufacturer's container recycling program, in which case the manufacturer's instructions will be followed. Disposal of non-recyclable containers will be at legal dumpsites. Equipment will not be cleaned, and personnel will not be washed in a manner that would allow contaminated water to directly enter any body of water within the treatment area or adjacent watersheds. Disposal of all herbicides will follow label requirements and waste disposal regulations.

This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR HAZ-8 Minimize Herbicide Drift to Public Areas: The project proponent will employ the following herbicide application parameters during herbicide application to minimize drift into public areas:
  - application will cease when weather parameters exceed label specifications or when sustained winds at the site of application exceeds 7 miles per hour (whichever is more conservative);
  - spray nozzles will be configured to produce the largest appropriate droplet size to minimize drift;
  - low nozzle pressures (30-70 pounds per square inch) will be utilized to minimize drift; and Υ spray nozzles will be kept within 24 inches of vegetation during spraying.

This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• SPR HAZ-9 Notification of Herbicide Use in the Vicinity of Public Areas: For herbicide applications occurring within or adjacent to public recreation areas, residential areas, schools, or any other public areas within 500 feet, the project proponent will post signs at each end of herbicide treatment areas and

any intersecting trails notifying the public of the use of herbicides. The signs will include the signal word (i.e., Danger, Warning or Caution), product name, and manufacturer; active ingredient; EPA registration number; target pest; treatment location; date and time of application; restricted entry interval, if applicable per the label requirements; date which notification sign may be removed; and a contact person with a telephone number. Signs will be posted prior to the start of treatment and notification will remain in place for at least 72 hours after treatment ceases. This SPR applies only to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• MM HAZ-3 Identify and Avoid Known Hazardous Waste Sites: Prior to the start of vegetation treatment activities requiring soil disturbance (i.e., mechanical treatments) or prescribed burning, CAL FIRE and other project proponents will make reasonable efforts to check with the landowner or other entity with jurisdiction (e.g., California Department of Parks and Recreation) to determine if there are any sites known to have previously used, stored, or disposed of hazardous materials. If it is determined that hazardous materials sites could be located within the boundary of a treatment site, the project proponent will conduct a DTSC EnviroStor web search (https://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/) and consult DTSC's Cortese List to identify any known contamination sites within the project site. If a proposed mechanical treatment or prescribed burn is located on a site included on the DTSC Cortese List as containing potential soil contamination that has not been cleaned up and deemed closed by DTSC, the area will be marked, and no prescribed burning or soil disturbing treatment activities will occur within 100 feet of the site boundaries. If it is determined through coordination with landowners or after review of the Cortese List that no potential or known contamination is located on a project site, the project may proceed as planned.

# EC-10: Hydrology and Water Quality Standard Project Requirements

- SPR HYD-1 Comply with Water Quality Regulations: Project proponents must also conduct proposed vegetation treatments in conformance with appropriate RWQCB timber, vegetation and land disturbance related Waste Discharge Requirements (WDRs) and/or related Conditional Waivers of Waste Discharge Requirements (Waivers), and appropriate Basin Plan Prohibitions. Where these regulatory requirements differ, the most restrictive will apply. If applicable, this includes compliance with the conditions of general waste discharge requirements (WDR) and waste discharge requirement waivers for timber or silviculture activities where these waivers are designed to apply to non-commercial fuel reduction and forest health projects. In general, WDR and Waivers of waste discharge requirements for fuel reduction and forest health activities require that wastes, including but not limited to petroleum products, soil, silt, sand, clay, rock, felled trees, slash, sawdust, bark, ash, and pesticides must not be discharged to surface waters or placed where it may be carried into surface waters; and that Water Board staff must be allowed reasonable access to the property in order to determine compliance with the waiver conditions. The specifications for each WDR and Waiver vary by region. Regions 2 (San Francisco Bay), 4 (Los Angeles), 8 (Santa Ana), and 7 (Colorado River) are highly urban or minimally forested and do not offer WDRs or Waivers for fuel reduction or vegetation management activities. The current applicable WDRs and Waivers for timber and vegetation management activities are included in Appendix HYD-1. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR HYD-2 Avoid Construction of New Roads: The project proponent will not construct or reconstruct (i.e., cutting or filling involving less than 50 cubic yards/0.25 linear road miles) any new roads (including

temporary roads). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• SPR HYD-4 Identify and Protect Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones: The project proponent will establish Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZs) on either side of watercourses as defined in the table below, which is based on 14 CCR Section 916 .5 of the California Forest Practice Rules (2022 version). WLPZ's are classified based on the uses of the stream and the presence of aquatic life. Wider WLPZs are required for steep slopes.

Procedures for Determining Watercourse and Lake Protection Zone Widths and Protective Measures <sup>1</sup>								
Water Class Characteristics or Key Indicator Beneficial Use	<ol> <li>Domestic supplies, including springs, on site and/or within 100 feet downstream of the operations area and/or</li> <li>Fish always or seasonally present onsite, includes habitat to sustain fish migration and spawning.</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>Fish always or seasonally present offsite within 1000 feet downstream and/or</li> <li>Aquatic habitat for nonfish aquatic species.</li> <li>Excludes Class III waters that are tributary to Class I waters.</li> </ol>		No aquatic life present, Watercourse showing evidence of being capable of sediment transport to Class I and II waters under normal high water flow conditions after completion of Timber Operations.		Man-made Watercourses, usually downstream, established domestic, agricultural, hydroelectric supply or other beneficial use.	
Water Class	Class I		Class II		Class III		Class IV	
Slope Class (%)	Width Feet	Protection Measure	Width Feet	Protection Measure	Width Feet [see 916. [see 936. [see 956.	Protection Measure 4(c)] 4(c)] 4(c)]	Width Protection Feet Measure [see 916.4(c)] [see 936.4(c)] [see 956.4(c)]	
<30	75	BDG	50	BEI	See CFH		See CFI	
30-50	100	BDG	75	BEI	See CFH		See CFI	
>50	150 <sup>2</sup>	ADG	100 <sup>3</sup>	BEI	See CFH		See CFI	

1 - See Section 916.5(e) for letter designations application to this table.

2 - Subtract 50 feet width for cable Yarding operations.

3 - Subtract 25 feet width for cable Yarding operations.

The following WLPZ protections will be applied for all treatments:

 Treatment activities with WLPZs will retain at least 75 percent surface cover and undisturbed area to act as a filter strip for raindrop energy dissipation and for wildlife habitat. If this percentage is reduced, a qualified RPF will provide the project proponent with a site- and/or treatment activity-specific explanation for the percent surface cover reduction, which will be included in the PSA. After completion of the PSA and prior to or during treatment implementation, if there is any deviation (e.g., further reduction) from the reduced percent as explained in the PSA, this will be documented in the post-project implementation report (referred to by CAL FIRE as a Completion Report). This requirement is based on 14 CCR Section 916.4 [936.4, 956.4] Subsection (b)(6) (February 2019 version) and 14 CCR Section 916.5 (February 2019 version).

- Equipment, including tractors and vehicles, must not be driven in wet areas or WLPZs, except over existing roads or watercourse crossings where vehicle tires or tracks remain dry.
- Equipment used in vegetation removal operations will not be serviced in WLPZs, within wet meadows or other wet areas, or in locations that would allow grease, oil, or fuel to pass into lakes, watercourses, or wet areas.
- WLPZs will be kept free of slash, debris, and other material that harm the beneficial uses of water. Accidental deposits will be removed immediately.
- Burn piles will be located outside of WLPZs.
- No fire ignition (nor use of associated accelerants) will occur within WLPZs however low intensity backing fires may be allowed to enter or spread into WLPZs.
- Within Class I and Class II WLPZs, locations where project operations expose a continuous area of mineral soil 800 square feet or larger shall be treated for reduction of soil loss. Treatment shall occur prior to October 15<sup>th</sup> and disturbances that are created after October 15<sup>th</sup> shall be treated within 10 days. Stabilization measures shall be selected that will prevent significant movement of soil into water bodies and may include but are not limited to mulching, rip-rap, grass seeding, or chemical soil stabilizers.

Where mineral soil has been exposed by project operations on approaches to watercourse crossings of Class I, II, or III within a WLPZ, the disturbed area shall be stabilized to the extent necessary to prevent the discharge of soil into watercourses or lakes in amounts that would adversely affect the quality and beneficial uses of the watercourse.

Where necessary to protect beneficial uses of water from project operations, protection measures such as seeding, mulching, or replanting shall be used to retain and improve the natural ability of the ground cover within the WLPZ to filter sediment, minimize soil erosion, and stabilize banks of watercourses and lakes.

Equipment limitation zones (ELZs) will be designated adjacent to Class III and Class IV watercourses with minimum widths of 25 feet where side-slope is less than 30 percent and 50 feet where side-slope is 30 percent or greater. An RPF will describe the limitations of heavy equipment within the ELZ and, where appropriate, will include additional measures to protect the beneficial uses of water.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR HYD-5 Protect Non-Target Vegetation and Special-status Species from Herbicides: The project proponent will implement the following measures when applying herbicides:
  - Locate herbicide mixing sites in areas devoid of vegetation and where there is no potential of a spill reaching non-target vegetation or a waterway.
  - Use only herbicides labeled for use in aquatic environments when working in riparian habitats or other areas where there is a possibility the herbicide could come into direct contact with water. Only hand application of herbicides will be allowed in riparian habitats and only during low-flow periods or when seasonal streams are dry.

- No terrestrial or aquatic herbicides will be applied within WLPZs of Class I and II watercourses, if feasible. If this is not feasible, hand application of herbicides labeled for use in aquatic environments may be used within the WLPZ provided that the project proponent notifies the applicable regional water quality control board no fewer than 15 days prior to herbicide application. The feasibility of avoiding herbicide application within WLPZ of Class I and II watercourses will be determined by the project proponent and may be based on whether doing so will preclude achieving CalVTP program objectives, including, but not limited to, protection of vulnerable communities. The reasons for infeasibility will be documented in the PSA.
- No herbicides will be applied within a 50-foot buffer of ESA or CESA listed plant species or within 50 feet of dry vernal pools.
- For spray applications in and adjacent to habitats suitable for special-status species, use herbicides containing dye (registered for aquatic use by DPR, if warranted) to prevent overspray.
- Application will cease when weather parameters exceed label specifications or when sustained winds at the site of application exceeds 7 miles per hour (whichever is more conservative);
- No herbicide will be applied during precipitation events or if precipitation is forecast 24 hours before or after project activities.

This SPR applies to herbicide treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

• SPR HYD-6 Protect Existing Drainage Systems: If a treatment activity is adjacent to a roadway with stormwater drainage infrastructure, the existing stormwater drainage infrastructure will be marked prior to ground disturbing activities. If a drainage structure or infiltration system is inadvertently disturbed or modified during project activities, the project proponent will coordinate with owner of the system or feature to repair any damage and restore pre-project drainage conditions. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

# EC-11: Land Use and Planning, Population and Housing

NONE

# **EC-12: Noise Standard Project Requirements**

- SPR NOI-1 Limit Heavy Equipment Use to Daytime Hours: The project proponent will require that operation of heavy equipment associated with treatment activities (heavy off-road equipment, tools, and delivery of equipment and materials) will occur during daytime hours if such noise would be audible to receptors (e.g., residential land uses, schools, hospitals, places of worship). Cities and counties in the treatable landscape typically restrict construction-noise (which would apply to vegetation treatment noise) to particular daytime hours. If the project proponent is subject to local noise ordinance, it will adhere to those to the extent the project is subject to them. If the applicable jurisdiction does not have a noise ordinance or policy restricting the time-of-day when noise-generating activity can occur noise-generating vegetation treatment activity will be limited to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday, and between 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Sunday and federal holidays. If the project proponent is not subject to local ordinances (e.g., CAL FIRE), it will adhere to the restrictions stated above or may elect to adhere to the restrictions identified by the local ordinance encompassing the treatment area. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR NOI-2 Equipment Maintenance: The project proponent will require that all powered treatment
  equipment and power tools will be used and maintained according to manufacturer specifications. All

diesel- and gasoline-powered treatment equipment will be properly maintained and equipped with noise-reduction intake and exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds, in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- **SPR NOI-3 Engine Shroud Closure:** The project proponent will require that engine shrouds be closed during equipment operation. This SPR applies only to mechanical treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR NOI-4 Locate Staging Areas Away from Noise-Sensitive Land Uses: The project proponent will locate treatment activities, equipment, and equipment staging areas away from nearby noise-sensitive land uses (e.g., residential land uses, schools, hospitals, places of worship), to the extent feasible, to minimize noise exposure. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR NOI-5 Restrict Equipment Idle Time: The project proponent will require that all motorized equipment be shut down when not in use. Idling of equipment and haul trucks will be limited to 5 minutes. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR NOI-6 Notify Nearby Off-Site Noise-Sensitive Receptors: For treatment activities utilizing heavy equipment, the project proponent will notify noise-sensitive receptors (e.g., residential land uses, schools, hospitals, places of worship) located within 1,500 feet of the treatment activity. Notification will include anticipated dates and hours during which treatment activities are anticipated to occur and contact information, including a daytime telephone number, of the project representative. Recommendations to assist noise-sensitive land uses in reducing interior noise levels (e.g., closing windows and doors) will also be included in the notification. This SPR applies only to mechanical treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

# **EC-13: Recreation Standard Project Requirements**

NONE

# **EC-14: Transportation Standard Project Requirements**

• SPR TRAN-1 Implement Traffic Control During Treatments: Prior to initiating vegetation treatment activities the project proponent will work with the agency(ies) with jurisdiction over affected roadways to determine if a Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is needed. A TMP will be needed if traffic generated by the project would result in obstructions, hazards, or delays exceeding applicable jurisdictional standards along access routes for individual vegetation treatments. If needed, a TMP will be prepared to provide measures to reduce potential traffic obstructions, hazards, and service level degradation along affected roadway facilities. The scope of the TMP will depend on the type, intensity, and duration of the specific treatment activities under the CalVTP. Measures included in the TMP could include (but are not be limited to) construction signage to provide motorists with notification and information when approaching or traveling along the affected roadway facilities, flaggers for lane closures to provide temporary traffic control along affected roadway facilities, treatment schedule restrictions to avoid seasons or time periods of peak vehicle traffic, haul-trip, delivery, and/or commute time restrictions that would be implemented to avoid peak traffic days and times along affected roadway facilities. If the TMP identifies impacts on transportation facilities outside of the jurisdiction of the project proponent, the

TMP will be submitted to the agency with jurisdiction over the affected roadways prior to commencement of vegetation treatment projects. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

Smoke generated during prescribed burn operations could potentially affect driver visibility and traffic operations along nearby roadways. Direct smoke impacts to roadway visibility and indirect impacts related to driver distraction will be considered during the planning phase of burning operations. Smoke impacts and smoke management practices specific to traffic operations during prescribed fire operations will be identified and addressed within the TMP. The TMP will include measures to monitor smoke dispersion onto public roadways, and traffic control operations will be initiated in the event burning operations could affect traffic safety along any roadways. This SPR applies only to prescribed burn treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

# EC-15: Public Services, utilities, and Service Systems Standard Project Requirements

• NONE

## **EC-16: Wildfire**

• NONE

# **EC-17: Administrative Standard Project Requirements**

- SPR AD-1 Project Proponent Coordination: The project proponent (CAL FIRE) will discuss all natural and environmental resources that must be protected using SPRs and any applicable mitigation measures with any additional implementing entities and/or the landowner where applicable; identify any sensitive resources onsite; and discuss resource protection measures. For any prescribed burn treatments, CAL FIRE will also discuss the details of the burn plan in the incident action plan (IAP). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance,
- SPR AD-2 Delineate Protected Resources: The project proponent will clearly define the boundaries of the treatment area and protected resources on maps for the treatment area and with highly-visible flagging or clear, existing landscape demarcations (e.g., edge of a roadway) prior to beginning any treatment to avoid disturbing the resource. "Protected Resources" refers to environmentally sensitive places within or adjacent to the treatment areas that would be avoided or protected to the extent feasible during planned treatment activities to sustain their natural qualities and processes. This work will be performed by a qualified person, as defined for the specific resource (e.g., qualified Registered Professional Forester or biologist). This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AD-3 Consistency with Local Plans, Policies, and Ordinances: The project proponent will design and implement the treatment in a manner that is consistent with applicable local plans (e.g., general plans, Community Wildfire Protection Plans, CAL FIRE Unit Fire Plans), policies, and ordinances to the extent the project is subject to them. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AD-5 Maintain Site Cleanliness: If trash receptacles are used on-site, the project proponent will use fully covered trash receptacles with secure lids (wildlife proof) to contain all food, food scraps, food

wrappers, beverages, and other worker generated miscellaneous trash. Remove all temporary nonbiodegradable flagging, trash, debris, and barriers from the project site upon completion of project activities. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR AD-6 Public Notification for Treatment Projects: One to three days prior to the commencement of a treatment activity, the project proponent will post signs in a conspicuous location near the treatment area describing the activity and timing and requesting persons in the area to contact a designated representative of the project proponent (contact information will be provided with the notice) if they have questions or concerns. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance. Prescribed burning is subject to the additional notification requirements of SPR AD-4.
- SPR AD-7 Provide Information on Proposed, Approved, and Completed Projects: For any vegetation treatment project using the CalVTP PEIR for CEQA compliance, the project proponent will provide the information listed below to the Board or CAL FIRE during the proposed, approved, and completed stages of the project. The Board or CAL FIRE will make this information available to the public via an online database or other mechanism.

Information on proposed projects (PSA in progress):

- GIS data that include project location (as a point);
- project size (typically acres);
- treatment types and activities; and
- o contact information for a representative of the project proponent.

The project proponent will provide information on the proposed project to the Board or CAL FIRE as early as feasible in the planning phase. The project proponent will provide this information to the Board or CAL FIRE with sufficient lead time to allow those agencies to make the information available to the public at least two weeks prior to project approval. The project proponent may also make information available to the public via other mechanisms (e.g., the proponent's own website).

Information on approved projects (PSA complete):

- A completed PSA Environmental Checklist;
- A completed Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (using Attachment A to the Environmental Checklist);
- GIS data that include a polygon(s) of the project area, showing the extent of each treatment type included in the project (ecological restoration, fuel break, WUI fuel reduction)

Information on completed projects:

- GIS data that include a polygon(s) of the treated area, showing the extent of each treatment type implemented (ecological restoration, fuel break, WUI fuel reduction)
- A post-project implementation report (referred to by CAL FIRE as a Completion Report) that includes
  - Size of treated area (typically acres);
  - Treatment types and activities;

- Dates of work;
- A list of the SPRs and mitigation measures that were implemented
- Any explanations regarding implementation if required by SPRs and mitigation measures (e.g., explanation for feasibility determination required by SPR BIO-12; explanation for reduction of a no-disturbance buffer below the general minimum size described in Mitigation Measures BIO-1a and BIO-2b).

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

- SPR AD-8 Request Access for Post-Treatment Assessment: For CAL FIRE projects, during contract development, CAL FIRE will include access to the treated area over a prescribed period (usually up to three years) to assess treatment effectiveness in achieving desired fuel conditions and other CalVTP objectives as well as any necessary maintenance, as a contract term for consideration by the landowner. For public landowners, access to the treated area over a prescribed period will be a requirement of the executed contract. This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.
- SPR AD-9 Obtain a Coastal Development Permit for Proposed Treatment Within the Coastal Zone Where Required: When planning a treatment project within the Coastal Zone, the project proponent will contact the local Coastal Commission district office, or applicable local government to determine if the project area is within the jurisdiction of the Coastal Commission, a local government with a certified Local Coastal Program (LCP), or both. All treatment projects in the Coastal Zone will be reviewed by the local Coastal Commission district office or local government with a certified LCP (in consultation with the local Coastal Commission district office regarding whether a Coastal Development Permit (CDP) is required). If a CDP is required, the treatment project will be designed to meet the following conditions:
  - 1. The treatment project will be designed in compliance with applicable provisions of the Coastal Act that provide substantive performance standards for the protection of potentially affected coastal resources, if the treatment activity will occur within the original jurisdiction of the Commission or an area of a local coastal government without a certified LCP; and
  - 2. The treatment project will be designed in compliance with the applicable provisions of the certified LCP, specifically the substantive performance standards for the protection of potentially affected coastal resources if the treatment activity will occur within the jurisdiction of a local coastal government with a certified LCP.

This SPR applies to all treatment activities and all treatment types, including treatment maintenance.

# ATTACHMENT B: PROJECT MAPS



Map 1 San Simeon Point treatment units and treatment activity overlay; map not to scale



Map 2 Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand treatment units and treatment activity overlay; map not to scale



Map 3 San Simeon Point vegetation type and treatment unit overlay



Map 4 Pico Creek Monterey Pine Stand vegetation type and treatment unit overlay


Map 5 CNDDB 9-Quadrangle Special-Status Species inquiry



Map 6 CNDDB 5-mile Special-Status Species inquiry



Map 7 California Rare Plant Rank 1B & 2B species within 5-miles of Project Areas

# ATTACHMENT C: BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES, CNDDB, AND HABITAT EVALUATION

# Vegetation and Habitat

The project area is located within the Central California Coast ecoregion. Vegetation types for the project areas were determined through use of CAL FIRE's Fire and Resource Assessment Program (FRAP) GIS layer and in-field reconnaissance and verification. The FRAP vegetation layer was then used to identify the California Wildlife Habitat Relationship (CWHR) habitat and vegetation types within the project area. The CWHR classifications were cross-referenced to Manual of California Vegetation (MCV) (CNPS 2022a) alliances to identify sensitive natural communities that may occur within each CWHR type in this ecoregion.

Table A lists the acreage and relative abundance of each CWHR habitat type in the project area, the corresponding MCV alliances that may be found in each CWHR type, and the alliances that are designated sensitive natural communities or that are dominated by nonnative species.

Site	Vegetation Type	CWHR Classification	Frequency	Acres	Percent of Project Area	MCV Alliances
Pico Creek	Closed-Cone Pine- Cypress	Closed-Cone Pine- Cypress	1	260.02	48.15%	Knobcone pine forest Bishop pine – Monterey pine forest
Pico Creek	Annual Grassland	Annual Grassland	9	201.83	37.38%	Fiddleneck – phacelia field Wild oat grassland Upland mustard and other ruderal forbs Annual brome grassland Red brome or grassland Cheatgrass – medusahead grassland Annual dogtail grassland Squirreltail patch California goldfields – dwarf plantain – small fescue flower fields Perennial rye grass field Spanish clover field Yellow star-thistle field Popcorn flower field

Table A Project Vegetation Types and Associated MCV Alliances

Pico Creek	Hardwood/Oak Woodland	Coastal Oak Woodland	2	72.58	13.44%	Madrone forest Coast live oak woodland Mixed oak forest Shreve oak forest California bay forest California bay and canyon live oak forest California bay and coast live oak forest Tanoak – California bay forest
Pico Creek	Hardwood-Conifer	Montane Hardwood- Conifer	2	37.85	7.01%	Bigleaf maple forest White alder grove
Pico Creek	Coastal Scrub	Coastal Scrub	4	30.09	5.57%	California sagebrush scrub Coyote brush scrub Hazelnut scrub Live-forever – lichen/moss sparse herbaceous rock outcrop California coffee berry scrub Deer weed scrub Silver bush lupine scrub Ice plant mats Coast range stonecrop draperies Poison oak scrub Salmonberry Bush monkeyflower scrub Coyote brush – ocean spray scrub

Pico Creek	Hardwood/Riparian	Valley Foothill Riparian	2	27.10	5.02%	Box-elder forest Torrent sedge patch California sycamore woodland California sycamore – coast live oak riparian woodlands Fremont cottonwood forest Black cottonwood forest Himalayan blackberry – rattlebox – edible fig riparian scrub Sandbar willow thicket Red willow thicket Shining willow groves Pepper tree or Myoporum grove Red willow riparian Woodland and forest Arroyo willow – red willow riparian woodland Hazelnut scrub Sitka willow thickets Arroyo willow
San Simeon Point	Closed-Cone Pine- Cypress	Closed-Cone Pine- Cypress	1	42.91	7.95%	Knobcone pine forest Bishop pine – Monterey pine forest
San Simeon Point	Coastal Scrub	Coastal Scrub	5	32.66	6.05%	California sagebrush scrub Coyote brush scrub Hazelnut scrub Live-forever – lichen/moss sparse herbaceous rock outcrop California coffee berry scrub Deer weed scrub Silver bush lupine scrub Ice plant mats Coast range stonecrop draperies Poison oak scrub Salmonberry Bush monkeyflower scrub Coyote brush – ocean spray scrub

San Simeon Point	Annual Grassland	Annual Grassland	1	25.61	4.74%	Fiddleneck – phacelia field Wild oat grassland Upland mustard and other ruderal forbs Annual brome grassland Red brome or grassland Cheatgrass – medusahead grassland Annual dogtail grassland Squirreltail patch California goldfields – dwarf plantain – small fescue flower fields Perennial rye grass field Spanish clover field Yellow star-thistle field Popcorn flower field
San Simeon Point	Eucalyptus	Eucalyptus	2	23.57	4.36%	Eucalyptus
San Simeon Point	Coastline	N/A	2	6.13	1.13%	N/A
San Simeon Point	Urban	Urban	1	1.33	0.25%	N/A

# **Special-Status Species**

The California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) is an inventory of the status and locations of rare plants, animals, and ecological communities in California. Managed by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), CNDDB staff work with partners to maintain current lists of rare species, as well as to maintain an ever-growing database of GIS-mapped locations for these species. RareFind 5 is an internet application that allows for complex querying and reporting of CNDDB data.

RareFind 5 was utilized for this project to compile a CNDDB list of special-status plant and animal species or biological communities that are known to occur in the area or have the potential to occur in the area, their listing status, and associative geospatial information when available. For the purpose of this PSA, "specialstatus" refers to CNDDB species that possess one or more of the following federal and/or state statuses: *Rare, Threatened or Candidate Threatened, Endangered or Candidate Endangered, CDFW Species of Special Concern, State Rank S1 or S2, and/or California Rare Plant Rank 1A/B or 2A/B*.

A RareFind 5 query conducted in December of 2022 returned thirty-one (31) special-status biological species; twenty-one (21) special-status wildlife species and ten (10) special-status plant species within a 9quad vicinity of the project areas. The 7.5-minute USGS quadrangles that define the search vicinity are:

Burro Mountain Burnett Creek Piedras Blancas San Simeon Pico Creek Bryson Pebblestone Shut-in Cambria Cayucos

Table B presents special-status plant and wildlife species that are known to occur in the project region based on a CNDDB inquiry of the aforementioned USGS quadrangles:

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
bristlecone fir <i>Abies bracteata</i>	-	-	1B.3	Discontinuous stands of one to hundreds of trees, generally comprising < 5 ha, in less fireprone areas such as steep, west-, north-, or east-facing slopes in canyons or ravines, often in moist microsites near the bottom or at the head of drainages, often in talus or scree; above 1400 m on all exposures on rocky ridgetops, bluffs, or cliffs; and occasionally on stream benches or terraces. Generally in rocky, clayey, or loamy soil, occasionally on sandstone and serpentine.	Highly unlikely to occur in the project area. The project area is less than 400 feet above sea level, is not rocky or shaded or on a ridge, and is in a fireprone area. No records of the bristlelcone fir are found along the immediate coast in project habitats, and no appropriate habitat is found here. No impact.
Hickman's onion Allium hickmanii	-	-	1B.2	Coastal prairie or grassy openings in Monterey pine (Pinus radiata) forest or the edges of vernal pools, usually on damp clay-loam soils (but not heavy adobe), underlain by sandstone or shale.	This perennial herb has been found near the project site and suitable habitat exists in the project area. Surveys will be conducted by a qualified botanists prior to implementation and occurrences will be flagged for avoidance or work will be done outside of the growing season when the bulb is dormant. LTSM
Arroyo de la Cruz manzanita Arctostaphylos cruzensis	-		1B.2	Prefers sandy soils in broadleafed upland forests, closed-cone coniferous forests, coastal scrub, and grasslands. Local to the coastal bluffs and terraces of San Luis Obispo and Monterey Counties.	This chaparral shrub has been found near the project site and suitable habitat exists in the project area. Surveys will be conducted by a qualified botanists prior to implementation and occurrences will be flagged for avoidance. LTSM

Table B Special-Status Biological Species know to occur in the project region and their potential for occurrence in the project area

	5666	Other		rotentarior occarrence in otentiarimpace
-	Ε	1B.2	This shrub is endemic to California where its native range extends from the coastal San Francisco Bay Area to the Central Coast. Can tolerate a little clay but does best in heavy loam or sandy loam that is slightly acidic. Dry coastal slopes, bluffs and dunes, northern coastal scrub, and sandy openings in coastal pine woodlands from the bay area to San Luis Obispo County.	Could occur. This chaparral shrub has been found near the project site and suitable habitat exists in the project area. Surveys will be conducted by a qualified botanists prior to implementation and occurrences will be flagged for avoidance. LTSM
-	R	1B.2	Found on the edges of grassy places. It is endemic to San Luis Obispo County, California, where it is known from only one occurrence on the coastline near San Simeon. It is a plant of the local chaparral and coastal grassland.	This perennial bulb forming plant has been found in grassland habitat near the project area. Surveys will be conducted by a qualified botanists prior to implementation and occurrences will be avoided by flagging or working during the dormant season. LTSM
-		1B.3	Native to the coastal mountain ranges of southern Monterey, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara and northern Ventura counties, where it is a member of the chaparral flora. Dry places with heavy or rocky soil.	This bulb forming perennial has been found in drier sites inland from the project area but could possibly occur there so surveys will be conducted by a qualified botanists prior to implementation and occurrences will be avoided by flagging or working during the dormant season. LTSM
-		1B.2	Found in coastal sage scrub, chaparral, valley grassland. Endemic to San Luis Obispo County. Primarily associated with dry, serpentine soils in open chaparral where it flowers May–June.	Not expected to occur. This species is not expected to occur based on the lack of serpentine soils in the project area, but will be surveyed for prior to implementation and occurrences will be avoided by flagging or working during the dormant season. LTSM
	-	- R 	- R 1B.2 	- 18.2 Found in coastal sage scrub, chaparral, valley grassland. Endemic to San Luis   - 18.2 Found in coastal sage scrub, chaparral, valley grassland. Endemic to San Luis   - 18.3 Native to the coastal sage scrub, chaparral, valley grassland. Endemic to San Luis

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Hardham's evening- primrose <i>Camissoniopsis</i> hardhamiae	-	-	1B.2	This evening primrose grows in the chaparral and foothill woodland communities of San Luis Obispo and Monterey Counties. Typically found between 1020-2060' elevation in sandy, limestone, or disturbed oak woodland soils.	This species has been found in the inner coast ranges east of the project area in drier climates at higher elevations but there is a small chance it could occur in the project area, so will be surveyed for prior to implementation and occurrences will be avoided by flagging or working during the dormant season. LTSM
San Luis Obispo sedge <i>Carex obispoensis</i>	-	Ν	1B.2	San Luis Obispo sedge occurs in a variety of habitats and is often associated with clay soils near serpentine seeps, sometimes gabbro. May occur in closed-cone coniferous forests, chaparral, coastal prairie, coastal scrub, and grassland habitats.	Soils in the project area are sandy coastal loams and not serpentine derived so unlikely to support the San Luis Obispo Sedge. This species will be looked for during plant surveys and flagged for avoidance if found. LTSM
Heckard's owl's- clover Castilleja ambigua var. heckardii	-	Ν	1B.1	A species of Indian paintbrush native to western North America from British Columbia to California, where it is most common along the coast in salt marshes and scrub. It occurs in shallow depressions that may be vernally moist in sandy soils on coastal bluffs and ridge tops in dryish coastal terrace grasslands with a mix of native species and invasive grasses.	This owl's clover has been found in open moist grasslands along the coast north of the project area. Although suitable habitat may occur near or in the project area, no treatments will be applied to these habitats and therefore there will be no expected impact from fuel removal. There may be ancillary impact from vehicle or foot traffic. A survey for suitable habitat will be conducted and any vernally moist areas in surrounding grasslands will be avoided. LTSM
San Luis Obispo owl's-clover Castilleja densiflora var. obispoensis	-	Ν	1B.2	This species is endemic to San Luis Obispo County. May occur in serpentinite soils and is associated with meadows, seeps, and coastal valley or foothill grasslands.	The San Luis Obispo owl's clover has been found along the immediate coastal terrace below the Pico project area and east of the San Simeon Point project area but prefers open grassland habitats so there will be no expected impact from fuel removal. There may be ancillary impact from vehicle or foot traffic. A survey for suitable habitat will be conducted and any vernally moist areas in surrounding grasslands will be avoided. LTSM

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Hearsts' ceanothus Ceanothus hearstiorum	-	R	1B.2	Endemic to California, where it grows wild only on the hilly coastline of San Luis Obispo County. This plant prefers to be near the coast where it would have cooler temperatures and some fog. Tolerates sandy/rocky, clay or adobe soils but not pure beach sand.	Hearst's ceanothus has the potential to occur in the project area because of the proximity of known populations and generally suitable habitat. Although no ceanothus was seen on reconnaissance surveys, all ceanothus will be surveyed for during pre-implementation surveys, and any sensitive species will be flagged for avoidance. LTSM
Maritime ceanothus <i>Ceanothus</i> <i>maritimus</i>	-	R	1B.2	Rare species of ceanothus known from only a few occurrences in the vicinity of Hearst Ranch. It shares the same range as the similarly rare <i>Ceanothus</i> <i>hearstiorum</i> , growing on the coastal bluffs. Normally found on sand sea bluffs, but tolerant of clay or rocky soils.	Maritime ceanothus has the potential to occur in the project area because of the proximity of known populations and generally suitable habitat. Although no ceanothus was seen on reconnaissance surveys, all ceanothus will be surveyed for during pre-implementation surveys, and any sensitive species will be flagged for avoidance.
Santa Lucia purple amole Chlorogalum purpureum var. purpureum	ТН	-	1B.1	Very rare perennial herb found in foothill woodland environments and poorly vegetated, infertile, gravelly or clay soil patches in blue oak woodland or chaparral edges, ± 300 meters elevation.	It is very unlikely that this species occurs in or near the project area because the habitat there does not contain suitable habitat and the nearest know population of this amole is over 10 miles away. No impact is anticipated.
Monterey spineflower Chorizanthe pungens var. pungens	FT	-	1B.2	Coastal dunes, chaparral, cismontane woodland, coastal scrub, valley and foothill grassland. Sandy soils in coastal dunes. 0–558 feet in elevation. Blooms April–June (July),(August). Annual.	Not expected to occur. Project area is not within the range of this species. This species is endemic to Santa Cruz County. No impact is anticipated.
Chorro Creek bog thistle <i>Cirsium fontinale</i> <i>var. obispoense</i>	E	E	1B.2	Chorro Creek bog thistle occurs naturally only in San Luis Obispo County and is restricted to open seeps in serpentine outcrops and drainages. May occur along streams (extremely rare).	This species is highly unlikely to occur in the project area because of the lack of serpentine soils here. All wet seeps/riparian areas in the project area will be avoided per SPRs. No effect
Compact cobwebby thistle <i>Cirsium occidentale</i> <i>var. compactum</i>	-	-	1B.1	Occurs in chaparral, coastal dunes and bluff communities, coastal prairie, and coastal scrub in northern San Luis Obispo County and Monterey County.	The compact cobwebby thistle is found along the coast near the Pico Project Site and may occur within the project sites, so will be surveyed for and avoided if found. LTSM

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Dune larkspur Delphinium parryi ssp. Blochmaniae	-	-	1B.2	The dune larkspur occurs in maritime chaparral communities and coastal dune habitats as it prefers sandy soils. Low elevation species.	The Dune Larkspur is found along highway 1 just south of the Pico project site and so could be found at either work site, however it is less likely to be found in the Pico site because soils are not derived from dune habitat . Survey and avoid.
Blochman's dudleya Dudleya blochmaniae ssp. Blochmaniae	-	-	1B.1	Occurs on rocky, often clay-dominant or serpentinite soils in coastal scrub, chaparral, and grassland habitats at less than 450 m elevation. Commonly found on coastal bluffs.	Blochman's dudleya is found along the coast within a mile of the San Simeon Project site in an area where there are small serpentine outcrops. Soils at San Simeon are sandy and soils at Pico are loamy, and there are no rock outcrops where project work will be conducted. Not likely to impact.
Ojai fritillary Fritillaria ojaiensis	-	-	1B.2	This wildflower is endemic to central California, where it is known from very few occurrences in the central Coast Ranges. Rocky slopes, river basins. May occur between 380-4800' elevation.	Ojai fritillary has been found near Cambria and inland from the work site and is a perennial bulb. If in a project site could be impacted by trampling. Survey and avoid. LTSM
Hardham's bedstraw Galium hardhamiae	-	-	1B.3	A strict endemic occurring in closed- cone pine forests and chaparral on serpentinite soils at less than 1000 m elevation. Known to associate with Sargent cypress ( <i>Cupressus sargentii</i> ).	Suitable habitat conditions for Hardham's bedstraw are not know to occur on either the Pico or San Simeon project site. Very unlikely to occur here.
Kellogg's horkelia Horkelia cuneata var. sericea	-	-	1B.1	Old dunes, coastal sandhills; openings. 16–705 feet in elevation. Blooms April– September. Perennial.	Kellogg's horkelia is a perennial herb found in nearby San Simeon State Park and occurs on habitats found within both project sites, and is susceptible to trampling from machinery. Surveys will be conducted and if found will be flagged for avoidance. LTSM
Perennial goldfields Lasthenia californica ssp. Macrantha	-	-	1B.2	Grassland, dunes along immediate coast. 16–607 feet in elevation. Blooms January–November. Perennial.	This annual herb has been found in San Simeon and occurs on habitats found within both project sites, and is susceptible to trampling from machinery. Surveys will be conducted and if found will be flagged for avoidance. LTSM

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Santa Lucia bush- mallow Malacothamnus palmeri var. palmeri	-	-	1B.2	Primarily found in rocky chaparral communities and interior valley foothills. Extremely rare endemic species.	The Santa Lucia bush mallow typically occurs inland but has been found along the San Simeon coast. Suitable rocky chaparral habitat is generally not found in the project area but occurrances of this habitat and species will be surveyed for and if found, flagged for avoidance.
Palmer's monardella <i>Monardella palmeri</i>	-	-	1B.2	A species of flowering plant in the mint family, endemic to California, where it is known only from the Santa Lucia Mountains of the California Coast Ranges in Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties. It grows in local habitat types such as chaparral and forest, often on serpentine soils.	Palmer's monardella typically occurs inland from the project sites in the mountainous regions, but may possibly occur on the Pico site even though serpentine chaparral or forest is not known of onsite. Low probability but will be surveyed for along with Monardella sinuata ssp. sinuata which is found along the coast.
Southern curly- leaved monardella <i>Monardella sinuata</i> ssp. sinuata	-	-	1B.2	Sandy soils, coastal strand, dune and sagebrush scrub, coastal chaparral, and oak woodland <300 m elevation.	Southern curly-leaved monardella has been found very close to the San Simeon project site so is likely to occur within the site. It is an annual plant that is susceptible to trampling during the growth period but not after seeding in the late summer. Survey and flag for avoidance.
Woodland woollythreads <i>Monolopia gracilens</i>	-	-	18.2	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, cismontane woodland, broadleafed upland forest, north coast coniferous forest. Grassy sites, in openings; sandy to rocky soils. Often seen on serpentine after burns but may have only weak affinity to serpentine. 328–3937 feet in elevation. Blooms (February), March– July. Annual.	Woodland woollythreads has been found along the coast near Cambria and San Simeon so could occur on the project sites. It is an annual plant that is susceptible to trampling during the growth period but not after seeding in the late summer. Survey and flag for avoidance. LTSM
Arroyo de la Cruz lousewort <i>Pedicularis rigginsiae</i>	-	-	1B.1	Endemic to the Arroyo de la Cruz area of San Luis Obispo County, California. Very few recorded occurrences.	The Arroyo de la Cruz lousewort is a perennial herb that grows between the coast and coast range but suitable habitat is not likely to occur on either of the project sites. This species and other sensitive plants associated with it will be surveyed for and flagged for avoidance.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Monterey pine <i>Pinus radiata</i>	-	-	1B.1	The Monterey pine occurs primarily along the Pacific Coast of California in three disjunct populations in San Mateo and Santa Cruz Counties, Monterey County, and San Luis Obispo County. Variations of this species occur on Guadalupe Island and Cedros Island off the west coast of Baja California. Monterey pine grows in coastal, closed- cone coniferous woodlands on primarily well-drained soils. The cones of the Monterey pine are serotinous and dependent on fire or high temperatures to release their seeds; therefore, this species is localized to fire- adapted environments where natural regeneration occurs. Commonly associated with western hardwood communities and may occur with other closed-cone pine species such as knobcone pine ( <i>Pinus attenuata</i> ) and bishop pine ( <i>Pinus muricata</i> ). Monterey pine can typically be found between 197-410 ft elevation. Blooms perennial.	Known to Occur. The proposed treatment areas predominantly consist of Monterey pine forest, or Pinus radiata Forest Alliance, which possesses a rarity rank of S1.2, as defined in the Manual of California Vegetation, Second Edition. This alliance designation pertains to Monterey pine forests with more than 25% Monterey pine cover in the tree layer.
Santa Lucia mint Pogogyne clareana	-	E	18.2	Summer-dry creek beds, swales, vernal pools. Chaparral and oak woodland environments. Known only from about fifty occurrences all located within the bounds of Fort Hunter Liggett.	Very unlikely to occur on the project sites. All vernal wetlands or riparian areas will not be worked within per SPRs. No impact
Adobe sanicle Sanicula maritima	-	R	1B.1	Endemic to California, primarily known from limited occurrences in Monterey and San Luis Obispo Counties. Habitat includes moist coastal meadows and canyons.	Adobe sanicle is perennial herb associated with moist coastal meadows and canyons, areas that will not be affected by fuel reduction work. There is a known occurrence at San Simeon State Park, but suitable habitats in moist meadows canyons will not be worked in. No impact

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Most beautiful jewelflower <i>Streptanthus albidus</i> ssp. <i>Peramoenus</i>	-	-	18.2	Chaparral, valley and foothill grassland, cismontane woodland. Serpentine outcrops, on ridges and slopes. 312– 3281 feet in elevation. Blooms (March), April–September (October). Annual.	Could occur. Chaparral and grassland with serpentine habitat potentially suitable for this species is present in the project area. Treatments could result in direct or indirect adverse effects on Streptanthus albidus ssp. Peramoenus. However, this species is an annual herb. Impacts on this species would be avoided by implementing treatment activities during the dormant season, after seed set and before germination. Typically, germination will occur after the first significant rainfall (approximately 0.5 inches), and cold snap, which generally occurs between October – December (Levine et. Al 2008). If treatment activities cannot be completed in the dormant season and would be implemented during the growing season, pre-treatment surveys will be conducted per SPR BIO-7. This species will be flagged and avoided by treatment activities if found.
California seablite Suaeda californica	E	Ν	1B.1	Rare species of flowering plant in the amaranth family where it is known from a few occurrences on the margins of coastal salt marshes around Morro Bay.	Only grows along the intertidal salt marshes that are not in or would be indirectly affected by project activities. No impact.
Twisted horsehair lichen <i>Sulcaria spiralifera</i>	-	-	18.2	Endemic to coastal dune forests in western North America. It occurs at scattered localities from central California to Washington. It is rare throughout its range, except on the Samoa Peninsula in California's Humboldt County and on the Oregon Dunes in Coos County where it is locally abundant.	Twisted horsehair lichen has been found south of the project area along Point Buchon. It is feasible that this could grow in the pines of either work site and so will be surveyed for. If found on pines or cypress planned for removal, these trees will be flagged for avoidance unless extensive across the work site and project objectives cannot be met, in which case will be further evaluated.
Cook's triteleia Triteleia ixioides ssp. cookii	-	-	1B.3	Endemic to the southern Santa Lucia Mountains, in southern California. Stream sides, wet ravines on serpentine, often near cypresses <700 m elevation.	Suitable habitat is unlikely to occur on either site and will be avoided by project activities per PSRs. Not likely to be found or impacted.
Invertebrates	1	Γ			
obscure bumblebee Bombus caliginosus	-	SSC	-		See notes for Crotch bumble bee and western bumblebee below which also apply to the obscure bumblebee.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Crotch bumble bee Bombus crotchii	-	CE	-	Found primarily in California: Mediterranean climates along the Pacific coast, western desert, Great Valley, and adjacent foothills through most of southwestern California. Habitat includes open grassland and scrub. Nests underground.	Could occur. Potentially suitable habitat occurs within the project area. Treatment activities within suitable habitat for Crotch bumble bee may result in the removal of floral resources; however, habitat suitable for Crotch bumble bee would be maintained. If Crotch bumble bees are detected during pre- treatment surveys, or if presence of the species in suitable habitat is assumed, treatment of suitable habitat will be designed to maintain floral resources during any year of treatment, and limitations on use of herbicides during the flight season would be implemented to minimize injury or mortality. Information on bumble bees in general, and Crotch bumble bee specifically, is gradually becoming more available. However, there is limited information on the abundance of Crotch bumble bee in California or colony size (CDFW 2019), and a current lack of published information on the potential magnitude of effects from the loss of individual Crotch bumble bee overwintering queens or nests on populations of the species. Therefore, assessing the significance of impacts on the species due to the potential loss of overwintering queens or nests from this project would be speculative. CEQA Guidelines indicate that after thorough investigation, if an impact is too speculative for meaningful evaluation, this finding should be noted, and further discussion can be concluded (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15145).
					Regional coordination with CDFW on the species concluded that for CESA compliance purposes, the mitigation actions for the species are appropriate measures to maintain suitable refuge and habitat functions of floral resources for Crotch bumble bee and no additional recommendations to avoid impacts to the species were provided (Swan, pers. Comm., 2022). For these reasons, it is unlikely that populations of these species would be reduced below self-sustaining levels as a result of implementation of the proposed project or that treatment activities would substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of this species.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Monarch butterfly Danaus plexippus pop. 1	FC	SSC		Winter roost sites extend along the coast from northern Mendocino to Baja California, Mexico. Roosts located in wind-protected tree groves (eucalyptus, Monterey pine, cypress), with nectar and water sources nearby. Along migration routes and within summer ranges, monarch butterflies require two suites of plants: (1) host plants for monarch caterpillars, which are primarily milkweeds ( <i>Asclepias</i> spp.) within the family <i>Apocynaceae</i> upon which adult monarchs lay eggs; and (2) nectar-producing flowering plants of many other species that provide food for adult butterflies. Having both host and nectar plants available from early spring to late fall and along migration corridors is critical to the survival of migrating pollinators.	Known to occur.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Western bumble bee Bombus occidentalis		CE		Once common throughout much of its range, in California, this species is currently largely restricted to high elevation sites in the Sierra Nevada and the northern California coast. Habitat includes open grassy areas, chaparral, scrub, and meadows. Requires suitable nesting sites for the colonies, availability of nectar and pollen from floral resources throughout the duration of the colony period (spring, summer, and fall), and suitable overwintering sites for the queens.	Could occur. Potentially suitable habitat occurs within the project area. Treatment activities within suitable habitat for western bumble bee may result in the removal of floral resources required for the species; however, habitat for western bumble bee would be maintained. If western bumble bees are detected during pre-treatment surveys, or if presence of the species in suitable habitat is assumed, treatment of suitable habitat will be designed to maintain patches of floral resources during treatment, and limitations on use of herbicides during the flight season would be implemented to minimize injury or mortality. Information on bumble bees in general, and western bumble bee specifically, is gradually becoming more available. However, there is limited information on the abundance of western bumble bee in California or colony size (CDFW 2019), and a current lack of published information on the potential magnitude of effects from the loss of individual western bumble bee overwintering queens or nests on populations of the species. Therefore, assessing the significance of impacts on the species due to the potential loss of overwintering queens or nests from this project would be speculative. CEQA Guidelines indicate that after thorough investigation, if an impact is too speculative for meaningful evaluation, this finding should be noted, and further discussion can be concluded (State CEQA Guidelines Section 15145). Regional coordination with CDFW on the species concluded that for CESA compliance purposes the mitigation actions for the species are appropriate measures to maintain suitable refuge and habitat functions of floral resources for western bumble bee and no additional recommendations to avoid impacts to the species were provided (Swan, pers. Comm., 2022). For these reasons, it is unlikely that populations of these species would be reduced below self- sustaining levels as a result of implementation of the proposed project or that treatment activities would substantially reduce the number or restrict the

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Smith's blue butterfly <i>Euphilotes enoptes</i> <i>smithi</i>	E	-	-	The habitat consists of coastal dune, prairie, and scrub along Central California. During its whole lifespan, the Smith's blue butterfly uses only two host buckwheat: Eriogonum latifolium and Eriogonum parvifolium. After emerging while the buckwheat flowers bloom, adult butterflies' mate and deposit eggs on the flowers of these host plants within their 1-week lifespan. Hatching transpires soon afterward, and the larvae begin to feed on the flowers of the very same host plant.	Although the project occurs south of known habitats for Smith's blue butterfly the host plant may occur along the outer margins or open interior areas of the San Simeon site. These kinds of habitats are not the focus of fuel reduction but there is a small probability of impact from trampling or machinery access. Host plants will be surveyed for, flagged and avoided. LTSM
Steelhead – south- central California coast DPS <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> <i>irideus</i>	FT			This is an anadromous fish species that occurs in freshwater Pacific coast streams. This steelhead species will migrate to marine waters once it nears maturity, then returns to freshwater streams for spawning. Typically, this species requires a minimal of approximately 7 inches of water depth for migration and favors spawning habitat between 6 and 24 inches deep, usually in slow moving currents. High water velocities and low water depth can impede on this species' capability to migrate.	Not expected to occur. The project area does not contain any Class I or Class II fish bearing streams and neither of the project sites are directly adjacent to suitable streams or known steelhead populations. A portion of the Pico Project is within 500 feet of Pico Creek and is within that watershed, a known steelhead stream. Soil disturbance from the project is negligible and chipping will provide extra ground cover, so no indirect erosion impacts are expected. Any prescribed burning in the Pico watershed will only be of very low intensity and not expose any bare ground that could deliver sediment into Pico Creek. If such a low intensity prescribed burn is not possible it will be avoided. Herbicide applications will only be one by hand on a plant by plant basis and will not drift into Pico Creek waters or migrate in surface water to Pico Creek before decomposing. No impact is anticipated.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Tidewater goby Eucyclogobius newberryi	FE	SSC		Brackish water habitats along the California coast from Agua Hedionda Lagoon, San Diego County to the mouth of the Smith River. Found in shallow lagoons and lower stream reaches, they need fairly still but not stagnant water and high oxygen levels.	Not expected to occur. The project area is not directly adjacent to, and does not contain, any brackish water lagoon habitat that would support this species. No indirect impacts from sedimentation are expected from project activities. Soil disturbance from the project is negligible and chipping will provide extra ground cover, so no indirect erosion impacts are expected. Any prescribed burning in the Pico watershed will only be of very low intensity and not expose any bare ground that could deliver sediment into Pico Creek. If such a low intensity prescribed burn is not possible it will be avoided. Herbicide applications will only be one by hand on a plant by plant basis and will not drift into Pico Creek waters or migrate in surface water to Pico Creek before decomposing. No impact is anticipated.
Reptiles and Amphibians					
Northern California legless lizard Anniella pulchra		SSC		Sandy or loose loamy soils under sparse vegetation in chaparral and coastal scrub. Soil moisture is essential. They prefer soils with a high moisture content.	Could occur. The project area is within the range of this species (Cal Herps 2022c). Project treatments within suitable chaparral and scrub habitat for Northern California legless lizard may result in the injury or death of individuals if present. If northern California legless lizards are detected during surveys, biological monitoring, and relocation of individual animals by a qualified biologist, would be implemented to reduce injury or mortality.
Western pond turtle Emys marmorata	-	SSC		A thoroughly aquatic turtle of ponds, marshes, rivers, streams and irrigation ditches, usually with aquatic vegetation, below 6,000 feet elevation. Needs basking sites and suitable (sandy banks or grassy open fields) upland habitat up to 0.3 mile from water for egg-laying.	Could occur. Class III streams and potential upland habitat may occur in open areas of the project area (within 0.3 mile from streams, ponds, and reservoirs). Project treatments within suitable habitat for western pond turtle may result in the injury or death of individuals if present. If western pond turtles are detected during surveys, or presence is assumed within suitable habitat, biological monitoring, avoidance of nests, along with implementation of EEZs would be implemented to reduce injury or mortality.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Foothill yellow-legged frog <i>Rana boylii</i>		SE SSC		Partly-shaded, shallow streams and riffles with a rocky substrate in a variety of habitats. Need at least some cobble- sized substrate for egg-laying. Need at least 15 weeks to attain metamorphosis.	Could occur. The species occurred historically in the vicinity of the project; however, the only record within proximity to the project area occurs at the mouth of Little Pico Creek, north of the treatment area at Pico Creek (CNDDB 2022a). Suitable habitat for the species is very limited along Little Pico Creek and Pico Creek. However, the species may occur within the project area without dense riparian canopies. Treatment activities within suitable habitat for foothill yellow-legged frog may result in the injury or death of individuals if present. If foothill yellow-legged frogs are detected during surveys, or presence is assumed within treatment areas, biological monitoring, and relocation of individual animals by a qualified biologist, and EEZs would be implemented to reduce injury to or mortality.
California red-legged frog <i>Rana draytonii</i>	F	SSC		Lowlands and foothills in or near permanent sources of deep water with dense, shrubby or emergent riparian vegetation. Requires 11-20 weeks of permanent water for larval development. Must have access to estivation habitat in adjacent natural upland vegetation.	Could occur. California red-legged frogs (CRF) have been documented along South Fork Pico Creek outside of the eastern boundary of the project area at Pico Creek (CNDDB 2022a). Additionally, CRF have been documented at the mouth of Broken Bridge Creek between the two project sites along HWY1. Suitable aquatic habitat may present within and adjacent to treatment areas, and upland habitat for California red-legged frog is present within treatment areas. Treatment activities within suitable habitat for California red-legged frog may result in the injury or death of individuals if present during these activities. If California red-legged frogs are detected during pre-treatment surveys or assumed to occur within treatment areas, biological monitoring, and work stoppages, along with implementation of EEZs would be implemented to avoid injury or mortality.
Coast Range newt Taricha torosa ssp. Torosa	-	SSC		A recognized subspecies of the California newt, the Coast Range newt migrates to ponds from late- to mid-year. In Central California, they are found in mountainous or rolling woodland and grassland environments. Breeding is aquatic and takes place primarily in ponds, reservoirs, and streams.	There is a slight possibility that the coast range newt may occur in the drainages of the Pico Project area but these class III drainages are generally too dry and well drained to support this newt. If surveys show that there may be suitable habitat, then work during the wet season may injure or kill individuals moving outside of the riparian area. If coast range newts are detected during surveys, biological monitoring, and relocation of individual animals by a qualified biologist, would be implemented to reduce injury or mortality.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Two-striped gartersnake Thamnophis hammondii	-	SSC	-	This highly aquatic species forages primarily in and along streams for fish eggs or amphibian larvae. Primarily associated with permanent or semi- permanent bodies of water bordered by dense vegetation. Frequents holes, burrows, crevices, and surface objects nocturnally. During the day, the two- striped gartersnake can be found basking on streamside rocks or vegetated banks.	Could occur. Class III streams and potential upland habitat may occur in open areas of the project area (within 0.3 mile from streams, ponds, and reservoirs). Project treatments within suitable habitat for Two-striped gartersnake may result in the injury or death of individuals if present. If Two-striped gartersnake are detected during surveys, or presence is assumed within suitable habitat, biological monitoring, avoidance of nests, along with implementation of EEZs would be implemented to reduce injury or mortality.
Birds					
Tricolored blackbird Agelaius tricolor		ST SSC		Freshwater marsh, marsh and swamp, swamp, wetland. Highly colonial species, most numerous in Central Valley and vicinity. Largely endemic to California. Requires open water, protected nesting substrate, and foraging area with insect prey in proximity of the colony in the form of large grassland habitats or agricultural areas.	Not expected to occur. No habitat present on either work site.
Grasshopper sparrow Ammodramus savannarum		SSC		Valley and foothill grassland. Dense grasslands on rolling hills, lowland plains, in valleys and on hillsides on lower mountain slopes. Favors native grasslands with a mix of grasses, forbs and scattered shrubs. Loosely colonial when nesting.	Could occur. While most grassland habitats within the project area are small and isolated and not likely to be suitable for this species, grassland habitat may be suitable. Treatments conducted within habitat suitable for grasshopper sparrow during the nesting bird season could destroy or disturb active nests, potentially resulting in abandonment of the nest and loss of young, if present in treatment areas. If active grasshopper sparrow nests are observed during focused surveys, then a non-disturbance buffer would be established around the nest to avoid disturbance.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Pallid bat Antrozous pallidus		SSC	-	Most common in open, dry habitats. Day and night roosts include crevices in rocky outcrops and cliffs, caves, mines, trees (e.g., basal hollows of coast redwoods and giant sequoias, bole cavities of oaks, exfoliating Ponderosa pine and valley oak bark, deciduous trees in riparian areas, and fruit trees in orchards), and various human structures such as bridges (especially wooden and concrete girder designs), barns, porches, bat boxes, and human- occupied as well as vacant buildings. Very sensitive to disturbance of roosting sites.	Could occur. No maternity roost habitat is found in the project area. Cavities in large trees and snags within the project area may be suitable roosts for pallid bat. Treatments conducted within habitat suitable for bats during the bat maternity season could disturb active bat roosts, potentially resulting in abandonment of the roost and loss of young. If active roosts are found during pre-treatment surveys, a no-disturbance buffer of 250 feet would be established around the roost to avoid and minimize disturbance, injury, or mortality.
Western snowy plover Charadrius nivosus nivosus	FT	SSC		Sandy beaches, salt pond levees and shores of large alkali lakes. Needs sandy, gravelly or friable soils for nesting.	Not expected to occur. The documented occurrences of this species within the project region are limited to coastal beaches at least one mile north of the project site at San Simeon Point (CNDDB 2022a). The project area does not contain beach or sandy, gravelly or friable soils suitable for nesting. No impact is anticipated.
Northern harrier <i>Circus hudsonius</i>	-	SSC		Northern Harriers breed in wide-open habitats ranging from Arctic tundra to prairie grasslands to fields and marshes. Their nests are concealed on the ground in grasses or wetland vegetation. In migration and winter, harriers typically move south away from areas that receive heavy snow cover, ending up in open habitats similar to those in which they breed.	No impacts to overwintering feeding habitats in open areas adjacent to the project areas. Some temporary roosting may occur in larger trees/snags within the project area but northern harriers are able to flee disturbance and find other roosts.

Species	Status <sup>1</sup> Federal	Status <sup>1</sup> State	Status <sup>1</sup> CRPR/ Other	Habitat and Blooming Period	Potential for Occurrence <sup>2</sup> /Potential Impact
Townsend's big-eared bat Corynorhinus townsendii	-	SSC	-	Broadleaved upland forest, chaparral, chenopod scrub, Great Basin grassland, Great Basin scrub, Joshua tree woodland, lower montane coniferous forest, meadow and seep, Mojavean desert scrub, riparian forest, riparian woodland, Sonoran desert scrub. Throughout California in a wide variety of habitats. Most common in mesic sites. Roosts in caves, abandoned, buildings, rock crevices, and large cavities in trees (CDFW 2013). Roosting sites limiting. Extremely sensitive to human disturbance.	Could occur. No maternity roost habitat is found in the project area. Cavities in large redwood trees and crevices in rock outcrops within the project area may be suitable roosts for Townsend's big-eared bat. Treatments conducted within habitat suitable for bats during the bat maternity season could disturb active bat roosts, potentially resulting in abandonment of the roost and loss of young. If active roosts are found during pre-activity surveys, a no-disturbance buffer of 250 feet would be established around the roost.
Black swift <i>Cypseloides niger</i>	_	SSC	-	Coastal belt of Santa Cruz and Monterey Co; central and southern Sierra Nevada; San Bernardino and San Jacinto Mountains. Breeds in small colonies on cliffs behind or adjacent to waterfalls in deep canyons and sea-bluffs above the surf; forages widely. Nests on cliff edges and behind waterfalls in areas inaccessible to predators. Forages over forests and open areas.	No suitable habitat in or near the project area. No impact.
Tufted puffin Fratercula cirrhata	-	SSC			No suitable roosting or breeding habitat in the project area. Tree structure is not appropriate as horizontal branches of Monterey pine are exposed to the wind and too small to support puffin nests. No impact.

Note: CNDDB = California Natural Diversity Database; DPS= Distinct Population Segment; CESA = California Endangered Species Act; CRPR = California Rare Plant Rank; ESA = Endangered Species Act

#### Federal:

- FE Endangered (legally protected)
- FT Threatened (legally protected)
- FC Candidate Endangered
- FD Delisted

## State:

- CE Candidate threatened (legally protected)
- CT Candidate threatened (legally protected)
- SE Endangered (legally protected)
- ST Threatened (legally protected)
- FP Fully protected (legally protected)
- SD Delisted
- SR Rare (legally protected by NPPA)
- SSC Species of special concern (no formal protection other than CEQA consideration)

### California Rare Plant Ranks:

- 1A Plant species considered presumed extirpated in California and either rare or extinct elsewhere (protected under CEQA, but not legally protected under ESA or CESA)
- 1B Plant species considered rare or endangered in California and elsewhere (protected under CEQA, but not legally protected under ESA or CESA)
- 2B Plant species considered rare or endangered in California but more common elsewhere (protected under CEQA, but not legally protected under ESA or CESA) Threat Ranks
- 0.1-Seriously threatened in California (over 80 percent of occurrences threatened/high degree and immediacy of threat)
- 0.2-Moderately threatened in California (20-80 percent occurrences threatened/moderate degree and immediacy of threat)
- <sup>2</sup> Potential for Occurrence Definitions

*Not expected to occur:* Species is unlikely to be present on the project site due to poor habitat quality, lack of suitable habitat features, or restricted current distribution of the species.

*Could occur:* Suitable habitat is available at the project site; however, there are little to no other indicators that the species might be present. *Known to occur:* The species, or evidence of its presence, was observed at the project site during reconnaissance surveys, or was reported by others.

# **Sensitive Natural Communities**

Upon review of occurrence data and habitat present, there are four sensitive natural communities with potential to occur in the CWHR habitat types present in the project area.

Sensitive natural communities were observed during the reconnaissance-level survey, including Monterey pine forest, Monterey cypress woodlands, and coast live oak woodland and forest. Not all parts of the project area were observed during the reconnaissance survey so additional sensitive natural communities may be present (including those identified in Table A). Implementation of SPR BIO-3 is required to map sensitive natural communities prior to treatment.

# **Coastal Zone Considerations**

Due to this project occurring within the coastal zone, SPR BIO-8 applies to this project and includes consultation with the California Coastal Commission (CCC). Previous regional developments between the CCC and Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District (US-LT RCD) achieved approval of a Public Works Plan that establishes a set of standards for CalVTP projects occurring within the coastal zone and US-LT RCD's jurisdictional boundary in San Luis Obispo County. A Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards (Coastal VTS) document has been prepared for this project and is included in *Attachment D*. The entirety of the Monterey pine forest at Pico Creek is considered ESHA, as defined by the CCC. The basis of this project is to conduct ecologically restorative treatments that promote the persistence and resiliency of the Monterey pine forest type as an environmentally sensitive habitat area through a myriad of protection, conservation, and avoidance measures.

This project proposes all treatments to occur outside of Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones (WLPZ); however, riparian or hydrophytic vegetation may be present outside of the WLPZ. No WLPZs have been established within the treatment areas. The treatment prescriptions propose the removal of understory vegetation consistent with the Coastal Vegetation Treatment Standards (Coastal VTS) outlined in *Attachment D*, as well as impaired trees, and live trees up to 8 inches DBH.

# **Sensitive Habitats**

#### Monterey Pine Forest

According to CAL FIRE FRAP vegetation data in combination with aerial photos and field verification points, there is approximately 260 acres of coniferous, closed-cone forest present within the treatment areas at Pico Creek, all of which is sensitive Monterey pine community (*Attachment B – Map 4*).

The proposed treatment areas predominantly consist of Monterey pine forest, or the *Pinus radiata Forest Alliance*, which possesses a rarity rank of S1.2, as defined in the Manual of California Vegetation, Second Edition (Sawyer et al., 2009). This alliance designation describes Monterey pine forests with more than 25% Monterey pine cover in the tree layer. A CNDDB analysis conducted for a similar project and ecosystem at Covell Ranch in Cambria, CA produced a rarity rank of S1.1 (critically impaired) exclusively for Monterey pine forest, designated as special-status forest habitat by the database. Due to these designations, Mitigation Measure BIO-3a would apply to the proposed project; however, this project falls under the exception of Mitigation Measure BIO-3a due to the determination of qualified registered professional foresters (RPFs) that this area would benefit from the proposed treatments (Sawyer et al., 2009 and CNPS, 2019). The exception to the Mitigation Measure BIO-3a approach states that is acceptable only in cases where it is determined by a qualified RPF or botanist that the sensitive natural community would benefit from treatment will be demonstrated in the PSA that the treatment will be

beneficial with substantial evidence that habitat function is expected to improve, as outlined in the PEIR (CalVTP Final PEIR Volume II Section 3.6.3, 151-152).

The proposed treatments will occur in the Monterey pine forest type, which has been estimated to have a fire return interval of approximately 11.2-20.1 years in similar stands at Año Nuevo near Santa Cruz, California (Stephens et al., 2004). Although Monterey pine trees are a fire adapted species, ecological restoration treatments often include fuels reduction to develop a forest stand more resistant to catastrophic, stand-replacement fires. The reduction in vertical continuity of ladder fuels from the ground surface up into the crown may prevent fire-induced mortality of dominant trees, only allowing low- to moderate-severity burns to stay within the understory to promote comparatively greater survival rates of mature trees. Fire will effectively open the serotinous cones of Monterey pine and create favorable seed bed conditions for reproduction, which shows greatest rates of natural recruitment after surface fire in which the parent trees survive (CNPS, 2016).

Decades of fire suppression has led to the accumulation of dead, dry vegetation throughout the forest and a departure from the natural fire regimes described above. Pitch canker and western gall rust diseases have overcome regional Monterey pine forests and led to structural weaknesses, mass branch dieback, and outright mortality of infected individuals, causing both a fire hazard for the adjacent town of San Simeon Acres ("New San Simeon") and physically hazardous conditions for wildfire response personnel.

Prescribed broadcast and pile burning will be implemented in areas treated prior by way of mechanical or manual treatment methods to reduce or dispose of residual vegetative matter and stimulate the regeneration of Monterey pine, which is expected to reduce the spread of deadly forest pathogens, create more vigorous and diverse mosaics of wildlife habitat, and restore the structural integrity of the forest for public safety and fire resiliency.

The natural fire regime will not be immediately restored by the proposed treatments, but characteristics of fire, predominantly regenerative action following vegetation treatments and ladder fuel alteration, will be conducted through mastication of understory vegetation, live trees up to 8 inches DBH, and dead, dying, and diseased trees to create a mosaic of treated areas that will promote the health and resiliency of the residual stand. In treatment areas where multiple age classes are represented, the proposed treatment will promote heterogeneity, resiliency, and health in the residual stand by creating different influences of sunlight through the canopy to the forest floor adding to a mosaic of diversity in the understory.

Based on the research above and collective years of experience managing fire-dependent forests, Steve Auten, RPF #2734, and CAL FIRE have determined that the Monterey pine forest within the Hearst Ranch property bounds at Pico Creek would benefit from the ecological restoration treatment type proposed by this project.

# Coastal Cypress Woodland

Planted Monterey cypress groves are present within the project area at San Simeon Point. The unique woodland community was introduced to the peninsula as well-spaced hedgerows in the mid- to late-1800s to serve as windbreaks for the adjacent village development presently referred to as Old San Simeon Village (OSSV). Over time, the Monterey cypress at San Simeon Point became a well-established feature to the peninsula and now offers a virtually naturalized sensitive habitat type within the treatment area.

Monterey cypress woodlands are still classified within the Closed-cone Pine-cypress CWHR type within this ecoregion along with Monterey pine. MM BIO-3a will be implemented for treatments within Monterey cypress stands to avoid substantial loss of the sensitive natural community, where treatments are primarily focused on the removal of dead, dying, and diseased trees and excessive accumulations of downed woody material.

## Oak Woodland

Coast live oak woodland has been identified (see Table A above) as present or potentially present in the project area. Treatments have been designed to retain micro stands of oak trees with a cluster radius of approximately 25 feet (50-foot diameter) and to space these micro stands approximately 75-100 feet apart depending on the steepness of slope related to exacerbation of fire behavior or proximity to key infrastructure and assets. If treatment activities within identified oak woodlands cannot be avoided, then Mitigation Measure BIO-3a would apply in these areas.

## Coastal Scrub

As described in Table A, coastal scrub habitat is present within the project areas. The overall project area contains approximately 62.7 acres of coastal scrub split somewhat evenly between the project sites – approximately 32.7 acres at San Simeon Point and approximately 30.0 aces at Pico Creek. There is potential for several Sensitive Natural Communities to occur within coastal scrub habitats in the project area (Table A). While sensitive coastal scrub communities may be present with the project area, no direct treatments are proposed within these communities.

Pursuant to SPR BIO-3, treatments will be designed to maintain the characteristics and membership rules of any vegetation alliance that is designated as a sensitive natural community. SPR BIO-5 requires avoidance of the environmental effects of type conversion within coastal sage scrub and that the habitat function of these communities be maintained. Because the treatments would be designed to maintain 35 percent relative density of coastal sage scrub vegetation, replicate the natural disturbance regime of the vegetation type present, and maintain root crowns of resprouting shrubs, ecological function of the coastal sage scrub communities within the ecological restoration treatments would be maintained over the long term.

# ATTACHMENT D: COASTAL VEGETATION TREATMENT STANDARDS (COASTAL VTS)

## Hearst Ranch Forest Health Fuels Reduction Project

- 1. All projects shall comply with and carry out the requirements of the CalVTP PEIR, including use of approved treatment methods, treatment activities and all applicable standard project requirements (SPRs).
- 2. Project-Specific Analyses (PSAs) shall be submitted to the Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission (CCC) for review and approval for the purpose of coastal development authorization prior to conducting projects. Coordination between the project proponent and CCC shall occur as early as feasible in the design process in order to avoid delays related to Coastal Act consistency.
- 3. PSAs shall include clear problem and goal statements (i.e., overall project goals, fire prevention goals, ecological goals, etc.) associated with each project proposed pursuant to this Public Works Plan. These statements are intended to assist project proponents and CCC in developing mutual understanding of the potential impacts and benefits both short and long term for each project. It is expected that this information will be incorporated into item #6 of each PSA.

#### Problem Statement:

Forested landscapes across Coastal areas of California are undergoing significant change. The climate is becoming warmer and drier, endemic species are at risk, and tree mortality and forest disease has taken an immeasurable toll on regional ecosystems and overall forest health. At the same time, drier site conditions and overstocked forests are displacing sensitive plant species, reducing biodiversity and affecting the suitability of these habitats for rare and special-status wildlife. Altered fire regimes and increased fuel loads are driving larger and more catastrophic wildfire. The result has generated damaging changes to ecosystems that require environmentally sensitive landscape-level treatments to redirect the path of changing climates and ecological conditions impacting coastal zone forests and surrounding communities.

# Goal Statement:

This project supports the intent of the project forest health and fire prevention goals, California's climate goals, and the goals of the California Coastal Commission for Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas (ESHA) where ecological restoration treatment types may occur to:

- 1. Proactively restore forest health, improve ecosystem resiliency, and conserve working forests by conducting ecologically-minded forest health and fuels reduction treatments.
- 2. Protect state water supply sources by strategically implementing ecological restoration projects across priority watersheds.
- *3.* Encourage the long-term storage of carbon in forest trees and soils through the reduction of dense understory thus promoting larger healthier stands of mature trees.
- *4. Minimize the loss of forest carbon from large, intense wildfires, through reduction of ladder fuels and brush resulting from years of fire suppression.*
- 5. Promote public safety, health, and welfare and protect public and private property through the implementation of ecologically restorative fuel reduction treatments in the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI).

The Pico Creek Monterey pine forest still holds ecologically resilient characteristics with an approximately 70-year-old Monterey pine stand including live oak and an understory made up of mostly toyon and other various hydrophytic species along Class III watercourses. Lack of low-intensity fire as well as the presence of tree diseases including dwarf mistletoe, western gall rust, and pitch canker, coupled with changing climates, has left the majority of this forest overstocked in the understory and at mid-range tree diameter classes. Treatment of the understory and diseased trees through mastication, pile and broadcast burning, hand pulling of noxious weeds, and targeted herbicide treatments for French broom, can reduce the severity of future wildfire events and maintain the vegetation "membership rules"<sup>6</sup> for Monterey pine in this area.

Ecologically restorative outcomes expected from this project will release a more vigorous and diverse forest and understory once the sunlight is allowed to penetrate the forest floor again. In addition, the forest growth that had been attributed to approximately 500 trees per acre will now be attributed to approximately 200 trees per acre of mid-range and larger diameter trees. Remaining trees will extend their heights and expand their crowns, becoming more vigorous and able to resist vegetation pattern transformations in the face of climate change while reducing the continuity of hazardous ladder fuels to the canopy.

4. In the coastal zone, vegetation treatment projects fall into two categories: (1) Forest Health projects (i.e., Ecosystem Restoration) and (2) Fire Prevention projects (i.e., Fuel Breaks and Wildland-Urban Interface). The purpose of forest health projects is to restore and enhance ecosystems, including preventing fire behavior to which the ecosystem is not adapted. The ecosystems that can be treated under this category include forested ecosystems as well as other ecosystems such as woodland and scrub dominated systems. The purpose of fire prevention projects is to protect existing structures and infrastructure, including access roads. Fire prevention projects shall be limited to the applicable defensible space requirement (which is typically 100 feet but can range to as much as 300 feet under specific circumstances), unless accompanied by a clear rationale, provided by a qualified professional, as to why additional defensible space is required to protect existing structures and infrastructure.

Treatments proposed at San Simeon Point are predominantly focused on ecologically restorative fuels reduction activities designed to enhance existing sensitive habitat and restore natural recruitment of native vegetation by way of reducing excessive downed material that currently prevents regeneration. Ecologically restorative treatments at San Simeon Point are also expected to prevent or reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire that would result in severe habitat loss.

The project area at Pico Creek is predominantly made up of Monterey pine forest where ecological restoration treatments will be conducted. The treatments proposed across both project sites are designed to produce an interconnectable mosaic pattern of treatment areas that, with ecologically sensitive treatments, focus on:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Requirements to maintain membership rules at an alliance level under the second edition of the Manual of California Vegetation for redwoods

- Increasing the health and vigor of the forest by conducting understory thinning through mastication of Monterey pine trees, Monterey cypress, eucalyptus, and live oaks up to ~8 inches in diameter.
- *Removing dead and dying trees predominantly resulting from dwarf mistletoe, western gall rust, and pitch canker.*
- Prescribed burning to clear up additional understory and dead, dying, and diseased trees as needed and reintroduce fire to a landscape devoid of natural disturbance by fire.
- Control of invasive species such as French broom.
- Restoration of historic vegetation patterns where decadent and diseased forest conditions have changed the fuel regime and are actively converting sensitive forest systems and Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas (ESHA).
- Additionally, treatments address broad scale forest health and ecosystem resilience factors, including habitat connectivity, water quality/quantity, carbon sequestration, and maintenance of rare species habitats by reducing competition and allowing the residual stand of larger trees and vegetative understory to grow in a more vigorous and resilient manner, better representing a time when fire occurred more frequently and at lower severities.
- 5. In the coastal zone, environmentally sensitive habitat area (ESHA) is defined as any area in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in an ecosystem and that could be easily disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments (see Coastal Act Section 30107.5). Rarity determinations for habitats and species are made by CDFW, USFWS, and CNPS, and are used to support a CCC ESHA determination.<sup>7</sup> In addition, an ESHA determination may be made on the basis of an area constituting 'especially valuable habitat' where it is of a special nature and/or serves a special role in the ecosystem, such as providing a pristine example of a habitat type or supporting important ecological linkages. The Coastal Act requires that ESHA be protected against any significant disruption of habitat values and only allows uses dependent on the ESHA resources within those areas (see Coastal Act Section 30240). It is anticipated that many of the Forest Health and Fire Prevention activities pursued within the coastal zones of these two counties will take place within natural communities that qualify as ESHA (e.g., Redwood forest, Monterey pine forest, Douglas-fir/tan oak forest, etc.).

The entirety of the proposed project area is considered ESHA, as defined by the CCC. The basis of this project is to conduct ecologically restorative treatments that promote the persistence and resiliency of the Monterey pine forest type as an environmentally sensitive habitat area through a myriad of protection, resource conservation, and avoidance measures outlined in the PSA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> CDFW defines natural communities, animals, and plants with a global or state ranking of 1, 2, or 3 as rare and the CCC typically finds these to be ESHA. CCC also typically considers plant and animal species listed by the federal and state endangered species acts (ESA and CESA, respectively) and/or identified under other special status categories (e.g., California Species of Special Concern) and/or identified by the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) as '1B' and '2' plant species as constituting ESHA. Coastal Act Section 30107.5 labels ESHA as "any area in which plant or animal life or their habitats are either rare or especially valuable because of their special nature or role in an ecosystem and which could be easily disturbed or degraded by human activities and developments."

- 6. In addition to the requirements of the CalVTP PEIR, the following standards shall also be met in the coastal zone:
  - Protect Ecosystem. Forest Health projects shall: (a) proactively restore and enhance ecosystems and forests, protect watersheds, and promote long-term storage of carbon through the minimization of forest carbon loss from large and intense wildfires; (b) restore and maintain vegetation cover to a threshold that reflects appropriate fire frequencies (i.e., fire-return intervals) on the landscape, considering estimated pre-European settlement conditions as well as future climate change, and the maintenance or improvement of ecosystem health; (c) maintain vegetation cover and composition to comply with the standards (membership rules) set forth in the second edition of the Manual of California Vegetation (MCV2) to avoid unintended habitat conversion; <sup>8</sup> and (d) provide for a mosaic of appropriate native plants by age, size, and class that support the overall habitat. Fire Prevention projects shall meet all of the above requirements to the maximum extent feasible, while achieving overall project goals and necessary fire prevention goals, and any deviations shall be clearly explained and identified in the PSA.

The Hearst Ranch Forest Health Fuels Reduction project is under a CAL FIRE – Fire Prevention Program Grant and are proposing mechanical and manual treatments that, through modeling, show a positive carbon benefit over a 60 year modeling period through the Forest Vegetation Simulator utilizing representative data from Monterey pine in the Año Nuevo stand on Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch<sup>9</sup> in Santa Cruz, CA. Forest modeling was conducted through the federal Forest Vegetation Simulator<sup>10</sup> program and showed that most ecologically restorative treatments in these vegetation types that focus on understory thinning from 8-12 inches in tree diameter show a positive carbon benefit (Santa Cruz County Forest Health Grant, A Collaborative Approach, 2019<sup>11</sup>).

*In addition, please refer to the CalVTP PSA for specifications that protect ecosystems. A summary is provided below:* 

- A full floral and faunal biological assessment and field survey will be conducted to avoid impacts to sensitive communities, habitats, and resources prior to project implementation.
- An Archaeological Survey Report (ASR) will be completed for the project area prior to implementation. Reconnaissance-level archaeological surveying and noticing to the Native American Heritage Commission has been completed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Membership rules are quantitative definitions used to assign field samples to vegetation types based on data analysis and can include species constancy, cover values, and the presence of indicator species.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cal Poly Swanton Pacific Ranch Continuous Forest Inventory. https://spranch.calpoly.edu/forestry-projectsand-research

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Forest Vegetation Simulator. https://www.fs.fed.us/fvs/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Santa Cruz County Forest Health Grant, A Collaborative Approach, 2019. Approved California Climate – CAL FIRE – Forest Health Grant. Resource Conservation District of Santa Cruz County for contact http://www.rcdsantacruz.org/

- A geospatial and physical analysis of vegetation types was conducted across both project sites to determine what the major habitat types are and what major alliances shall be maintained. See Attachment C.
- A pre-operational meeting shall be conducted with the contractor to discuss project implementation, special protection measures and any potential operational constraints regarding the conduct of this project that may impact sensitive resources.
- The project will notify neighbors through posting 1-3 days before operations begin at a conspicuous location on the property fronting a public road and neighbors within 1500 feet will be notified by mail.
- No heavy equipment operations shall occur within Class III Equipment Exclusion Zones. Equipment may travel through a Class III over existing crossings or at established equipment crossing locations along Class III streams. No Class I or Class II streams exist within the project area.
- No heavy equipment operations on slopes greater than 50%. Mastication equipment may reach from an existing road to treat areas on slopes greater than 50%.
- No equipment operations on unstable areas.
- Follow-up work on reducing invasive species shall be conducted through handwork.
- Following operations, areas will be monitored following the first rain event generating 1.5 inches in a 24-hour period.
- All requirements of the PSA for prescribed burning shall be adhered to and any burn operations will be coordinated and conducted under CAL FIRE supervision.

**Biological Resource Avoidance Measures** 

- 1. If any California Endangered Species Act (CESA) or Federally Endangered Species (ESA) listed plant or animal is encountered, operations shall cease in proximity, and the area shall be avoided. CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas – Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, or their supervised designee shall be notified immediately.
- 2. Nesting and bat roost surveys are required from February 1<sup>st</sup> to August 31<sup>st</sup> and shall be conducted within 7 days of any mechanical mastication operations in treatment areas by CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas – Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, or their supervised designee to determine if nesting activity is occurring.
  - a. Areas where nesting and bat roosts are found to occur shall have a buffer zone flagged in orange glo of 50 – 100 feet depending on the species needs. CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas – Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, or their supervised designee reserve the right to increase the buffer size as needed to protect sensitive species.
- b. Disturbance of rookeries/nests/dens/roosts/nest cavities shall be avoided. If the Contractor identifies an active rookery/nest/den/roost/nest cavity, a buffer should be established between the construction activities of 100 feet and the active nest/den/roost/nest cavity so that nesting activities are not interrupted. CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas – Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, or their supervised designee shall be advised immediately.
- c. A buffer of 200 feet will be established during the nesting season for the active double-crested cormorant rookery identified on the San Simeon Point site. A qualified RPF, biologist, or biological technician will monitor the active cormorant rookery during treatment activities to identify signs of agitation, nest defense, or other behaviors that signal disturbance of the active nest is likely. If breeding cormorants are showing signs of nest disturbance, additional avoidance strategies (increase buffer, modify treatment or defer treatment) will be implemented. No treatment activities will occur within 100 feet of the rookery during the non-nesting season, except to relocate dead downed vegetation outside of the buffer for further disposal.
- *3. It is likely that contractors will encounter woodrat nests. Woodrat nests should receive a buffer of 5 10 feet. Woodrat nests may only be removed if necessary to access a portion of a treatment area otherwise inaccessible, or to reasonably pass from one treatment polygon to another.* 
  - a. Woodrat nests would only be removed if necessary to access a portion of a treatment area otherwise inaccessible, or to reasonably pass from one treatment polygon to another. Nest removal shall be avoided during the breeding season if feasible (January 1<sup>st</sup> – September 30<sup>th</sup>). If woodrat nests must be removed during the breeding season, they will be slowly removed by hand to determine if young are present. If young are present the nest material shall be replaced, and the nest left alone for 2-3 weeks at which time the nest can be rechecked to verify that young are capable of independent survival before proceeding with nest dismantling.
- 4. Archaeological Resources If evidence of archaeological or cultural resources are discovered during project operations, all operations will cease in the vicinity of the potential resource and the area shall be avoided. CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, or their supervised designee shall be notified immediately.
- Vegetation Removal Hierarchy. Except for prescribed fire project components, a vegetation removal hierarchy shall be identified and implemented for each project to obtain the vegetation cover threshold identified by a Registered Professional Forester or qualified professional as necessary while ensuring that unintended habitat conversion does not occur, and that vegetation cover is sufficient to support the project's ecological goals. In order of

priority and application, the hierarchy shall be as follows: (1) thinning and removal of dead, dying and diseased foliage, shrubs (except that some snags should be retained to provide wildlife shelter, dens, etc.); (2) removal of invasive species; and (3) removal of native species that are not listed as endangered, threatened, rare, or otherwise especially valuable, with the end goal of having appropriate species composition in the plant community with a mix of vegetation age, height and density. In all cases, indicator species and diagnostic species appropriate to the habitat type shall be maintained in accordance with the standards (membership rules) set forth by the second edition of the Manual of California Vegetation (MCV2), with the intention of maintaining cover and composition consistent with meeting project ecological goals. For Fire Prevention projects, additional vegetation removal may be allowed if maintaining such vegetation consistent with project ecological goals would result in an unacceptable fire risk to existing structures and infrastructure, and the removal is the minimum necessary to protect existing structures and infrastructure. Any such additional removal shall be clearly explained and identified in the PSA. Lastly, if vegetation cover threshold goals, as articulated in the MCV2, cannot be met, then removal of endangered, threatened, rare or otherwise especially valuable species and habitats shall be prohibited unless: such removal is critical to reduce the area's fire risk; removal is accompanied by restoration or enhancement such that the overall project provides net benefits to the habitat; and no other alternative exists that meets the project goals.

The Vegetation Removal Hierarchy was designed by multiple qualified resource professionals, including a Registered Professional Forester, to focus on thinning of dead, dying, and diseased trees, understory vegetation treatments, prescribed pile and broadcast burning, the retention of snags and downed woody debris, all to meet the membership rules of the second edition of the Manual of California Vegetation at the Alliance level for Monterey pine forests, Monterey cypress woodlands, and eucalyptus groves with existing sensitive monarch butterfly habitat. A pre-operational meeting shall be conducted to advise the contractors of all requirements of this project per the CalVTP Project Specific Analysis. See below for specific details on the Vegetation Removal Hierarchy for Hearst Ranch:

### Tree Treatments

- To create a healthier and more diverse stand, trees ≤8 inches Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) under an overstory canopy shall be removed to achieve a 15 – 20 foot spacing between retained Monterey pine trees under ≤8 inches DBH.
- 2. Contractor shall follow this prioritized vegetative removal hierarchy system:
  - a. Priority 1: Reduce or remove excessive downed woody fuel loads by way of mastication, chipping, and/or prescribed pile burn treatments to reduce catastrophic fire risk and enhance existing habitat.
  - b. Priority 2: Remove Monterey cypress and eucalyptus trees ≤8 inches DBH, and Monterey pine trees ≤8 inches DBH infected with dwarf mistletoe, western gall rust, and/or pitch canker.

- c. Priority 3: Remove oak trees ≤8 inches DBH to promote the regeneration, spacing, and resiliency of Monterey pine in Monterey pine dominated stands.
  - *i.* Following this treatment, each area should maintain a structural stand composition that represents a greater amount of healthy Monterey pines than oak trees where feasible in Monterey pine dominated stands.
  - *ii. Micro stands of oak trees (with a radius of approximately 25 feet from the center) shall remain untouched by any treatments and be spaced approximately 75-100 feet apart when the frequency and composition of hardwood allows it.*
  - *iii. Contractor shall not remove any California buckeye or Douglas-fir trees.*
  - iv. All live and healthy larger diameter trees should remain.
- *d.* Consideration shall be given to maintaining a diversity of tree species in all areas where feasible.
- 3. To create a more healthy and diverse Monterey pine stand, trees ≤8 inches DBH that do not have an overstory canopy shall be spaced leaving approximately 15-20 foot spacing. Contractor shall follow the same tree removal hierarchy system under Item #2 in Tree Treatments.
- 4. Damage to residual trees shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible.
- 5. Remove any standing dead trees ≤12 inches DBH.
- 6. Downed trees <12 inches DBH will be delimbed/chipped through mastication or an otherwise agreed upon method with the remaining trunks that cannot be chipped left in place unless several trees have created a piled concentration. In this case, the remaining tree trunks will be separated by at least 10 feet from any other logs and left on site.
  - a. Downed trees >12 inches DBH may be masticated for access around treatment areas but, should remain in place where feasible unless they create a significant fire hazard and shall be separated by at least 10 feet from any other logs and left on site.
    - *i.* Retain approximately 4 trees >12 inches DBH per acre that are at least 15 feet in length
  - *b.* Contractor shall consider maintaining an appropriate number of snags within the treatment areas. Snags should be retained at a minimum of 1-2 per acre.
- 7. A tree of any size considered dead or dying and/or a hazard or direct threat to public or personal safety or infrastructure may be removed.

8. CAL FIRE, The Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, the San Luis Obispo County Community Fire Safe Council or their supervised designee reserve the right to reasonably adjust tree treatments in areas where additional sensitive resources are identified and may adjust the treatment prescription as needed.

### Tree Pruning Treatments

- Conifer trees >8 inches DBH will be pruned (live and dead limbs) up to a maximum height of 8 feet, except next to public road surfaces where the maximum pruning height is 12 feet. No pruning will be done to a height greater than 50% of total tree height. Only dead limbs on hardwoods may be pruned. Coast live oak (Quercus agrifolia) is the primary hardwood within the project area.
- 2. Conifer limbs may be pruned with a masticator but pruned ends shall have a smooth appearance with no frayed material visible especially in areas visible to the public. Note: This may require follow-up handwork.
- 3. In areas where damage to secondary lateral hardwood limbs is expected due to mechanical mastication, hardwoods shall be pruned by hand to facilitate access for mastication equipment and minimize damage to hardwoods species. Coast live oak (Quercus agrifolia) is the primary hardwood within the project area. It is expected that the amount of handwork will be minimal and focused on a few key areas occupied by larger coast live oaks. CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, the San Luis Obispo County Community Fire Safe Council, or their supervised designee will provide instruction on hardwood pruning techniques using recognized arboricultural guidelines.

### Understory Vegetation, Brush, and Shrub Treatments

- 1. Understory vegetation, brush, and shrubs under the drip lines of trees shall be cut and masticated leaving root systems intact for resprouting except:
  - a. The contractor shall not masticate, or remove through handwork, hydrophytic riparian species such as sedges, rushes, and California rose. Areas within the project sites containing hydrophytic species in proximity to Class III streams have been identified and flagged with EEZ buffers to the extent feasible.
  - b. Where significant stands of toyon or other dominant shrub types occur under the drip line of trees, Contractor shall maintain a component of these shrubs at a spacing between 75 – 100 feet for each species occurrence, whose shrub crown is approximately 15-25 feet wide. Spacing may be closer to 75 feet on flatter ground and 100 feet on steeper ground or completely removed to provide defensible space when in proximity to infrastructure or homes within treatment areas.

- 2. Outside of the drip line of retained trees, brush and shrubs should be cut and masticated leaving root systems intact for resprouting to achieve a horizontal crown separation of approximately 50-75 feet. Spacing may be closer to 50 feet on flatter ground and 75 feet on steeper ground or completely removed to provide defensible space when in proximity to infrastructure or near homes within treatment areas. Remaining clumps of brush and shrubs should not exceed approximately 15-25 feet in diameter and will consist of healthy appearing specimens where feasible.
  - *a.* Consideration shall be given to maintaining a diversity of understory vegetation, brush, and shrub species in these areas.
- *3. Damage to residual understory vegetation and brush shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible.*
- 4. CAL FIRE, Upper Salinas-Las Tablas Resource Conservation District, the San Luis Obispo County Community Fire Safe Council, or their supervised designee reserve the right to reasonably adjust understory vegetation and brush treatments in areas where additional sensitive resources are identified and may adjust the treatment prescription as needed.

### Treated Vegetation within Treatment Areas

- 1. The residual masticated material shall remain uniformly spread to the extent feasible within the project area, shall not exceed a depth of six inches (6") and should average approximately three inches (3"), and individual pieces shall not exceed two feet (2') in length or three inches (3") in diameter at the large end to support regeneration in the understory.
- 2. Excessive residual masticated material shall not obstruct water flow in drainage features such as ditches and culverts. Such material shall be removed by the contractor prior to a forecasted 30% precipitation event or upon completion of operations, whichever occurs first.
- *3. Residual masticated material should be utilized to cover approximately 75% of any areas bared during operations and shall not be piled at the base of remaining trees or sensitive vegetation.*
- *4. Upon completion of a treatment area the contractor shall ensure that all roads and publicly accessible trails are open and passable with respect to ranch operations.* 
  - a. Scattered debris is acceptable on the trail surface but not to the point that it creates any significant tripping hazards.
- 5. Damage to residual trees and brush shall be minimized to the greatest extent feasible. If there is excessive damage to residual trees or brush, the contractor shall remove those specimens.

### 6. Heights of cut stumps shall not exceed 6 inches above the ground. All cuts will be a flat or parallel cut to the ground and will have a smooth appearance with no frayed material visible.

 Limit Herbicide Use. Herbicides shall be avoided to the maximum extent feasible and may be used only if such treatment activities are the least environmentally damaging, feasible alternative and will not result in significant adverse impacts to sensitive ecological resources (e.g., when used to control of invasive species). Projects shall adhere to CalVTP SPRs HAZ-5, 6, 7, 8, and 9.

### *Herbicide use is proposed for application on French broom targeting specific areas where control for this invasive exotic is needed and shall adhere to the appropriate SPRs.*

• Prescribed Herbivory Use. Prescribed herbivory may be allowed if it is found to be the least environmentally damaging feasible alternative to achieving project goals. Prescribed herbivory shall be conducted pursuant to an approved plan that ensures protection of habitat and other coastal resources, as documented in the PSA.

# *No prescribed herbivory is proposed for use as part of this CalVTP Project Specific Analysis.*

 Control Invasive Species. Treatment activities and treatment types shall limit the spread of invasive species and prevent the spread of plant pathogens in all habitats, including those habitats that are not determined to be sensitive natural communities, riparian habitats, or oak woodlands subject to CalVTP SPRs BIO-4 and 9.

### Invasive species controls for French broom will utilize targeted herbicide application, hand pulling, cutting, and mowing.

• Limit Fencing. The use of wildlife-friendly fencing for prescribed herbivory activities subject to CalVTP SPR BIO-11 shall require adequate ground clearance for smaller species to avoid entrapment and/or entanglement.

### No fencing is proposed for installation as part of this CalVTP project.

• Accelerants. Accelerants shall only be allowed for use in prescribed fire applications. The use of accelerants that could significantly disrupt or degrade ESHA is prohibited.

### Accelerants are proposed for use as part of this CalVTP project to conduct prescribed burn treatments. All accelerants used for prescribed pile and broadcast burn treatments will comply with standards and approval guidelines set forth in the CalVTP PEIR.

• Soil Stabilization. The use of riprap and/or chemical soil stabilizers that could significantly disrupt or degrade ESHA is prohibited.

## *No use of riprap and/or chemical soil stabilizers are proposed for use as part of this CalVTP project.*

 Protect Coastal Public Access and Recreation. Forest Health projects and Fire Prevention projects shall ensure that coastal public access and recreational opportunities are preserved during project operations to the maximum extent feasible, including, but not limited to, minimizing trail closures, limiting the use of public parking spaces for staging operations, posting accessway signage and using flaggers, and designing construction access corridors in a manner that has the least impact on coastal public access. Following the completion of Forest Health projects and Fire Prevention projects, all impacted coastal public access and recreational amenities shall be restored to existing conditions, in a manner that maximizes coastal public access and recreation.

*Proposed project areas are located within the bounds of private property owned and managed by Hearst Holdings, Inc. The property possesses a conservation easement recorded in 2005 and held by the California Rangeland Trust.* 

*Hearst Ranch provides permissive public access on San Simeon Point. Limited public access to San Simeon Point is permitted but revocable under the discretion of the Landowner and within the terms of a conservation easement enacted on the property in 2005.* 

Permissive public access on San Simeon Point will be suspended during treatment operations and restored at the discretion of the Landowner following treatment and when it is deemed safe to do so. Public access to Pico Creek is prohibited without prior consent from the Landowner.

Treatments are expected to enhance coastal public access, public safety, and overall aesthetics at San Simeon Point. Project areas at Pico Creek are not accessible to the public without landowner permission.

### ATTACHMENT E: BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY REPORT AND PROCEDURES

### ATTACHMENT F: SAN SIMEON POINT MONARCH OVERWINTERING HABITAT MANAGEMENT REPORT

### SAN SIMEON POINT MONARCH OVERWINTERING HABITAT MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared by Ashley Fisher, Xerces Society

February 2023

### Management Report Overview:

Section 1: Biological Background

- 1.1 General Biology and Migration Cycle
- 1.2 Importance of Overwintering Sites to the Western Population
- 1.3 Overwintering Site Characteristics and Behavior

Section 2: Summary of Sensitive Locations within Project Area and Guidance

- 2.1 Map of Overwintering Sites
- 2.2 Assessment of Overwintering Sites (Pre-Work)
- 2.3 General Guidelines for Planned Vegetation Work by Zone
- 2.4 Native Nectar Plant Recommendations
- 2.5 Additional Opportunities

### Section 1: Biological Background

1.1 General Biology and Migration Cycle

Monarchs are a migratory insect. In the summer months, they live and breed in the mid- to high latitudes of the United States and Southern Canada, typically living 3-5 weeks (WAFWA, 2019). However, the last generation of summer goes through physiological changes that allow them to migrate up to 3,000 km south in the fall. The eastern monarch population, which occupies the land east of the Rocky Mountains, overwinters in high elevation forests of Mexico, while the western population occupies coastal tree groves along the Pacific coastline from Mendocino County to Baja California (Urquhart & Urquhart, 1977; Xerces Society, 2022). In these groves of trees, termed overwintering sites, monarchs coalesce into small aggregations, or larger clusters which are tightly packed groups of butterflies that hang off branches and foliage at various heights within the groves. Clustering behavior persists until late winter or early spring (Feb-March). With the return of longer days and warmer weather, the migration inland and north. Several generations pass until late summer when the migration south begins again (WAFWA, 2019; Brower and Malcolm, 1991).



Figure 1. Demonstrates the monarch migration cycle in the Western United States, with overwintering areas on the west coast identified (in red).

1.2 Importance of Overwintering Sites to the Western Population

Overwintering sites are a critical habitat in order for monarchs to complete their annual migration cycle. Almost the entire population is concentrated in the relatively small area of these tree groves for 3-5 months. For example, Pismo beach, a large site, contained approximately 8.4% of all overwintering monarchs counted in 2021 (WMTC, 2022). As such, the clusters of monarchs are extremely vulnerable to large perturbations while in residence. For example, a major storm can itself be lethal to monarchs with rain and freezing temperatures, or can result in the downing of branches and whole trees crushing the monarchs on and around them (Calvert et al., 1983). The monarchs that do survive until spring to reproduce, and serve as the foundational population of the spring and summer generations. Therefore, overwintering sites are vital for population maintenance from year-to-year (Pelton et al., 2019).

### 1.3 Important Overwintering Site Characteristics and Behavior

*Overwintering sites* are defined as an area where monarch butterflies historically or currently in use for cluster on trees during the fall and/or winter within overwintering habitat (red in Figure 1). The grove of trees is thought to produce a microclimate that is necessary for monarch survival (WAFWA 2019). Climatic characteristics of an overwintering grove include protection from wind and storms, dappled sunlight, high humidity, and temperatures that rarely drop below freezing. Access to water and nectar sources are also beneficial, as migrants utilize these resources frequently throughout the winter.

A key component of any overwintering site are the trees on which monarchs cluster in and around. These not only provide the substrate for the monarchs to cluster, but also provide wind and storm protection. Monarchs have been observed using a variety of species common on the California coast, such as Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata*), Monterey cypress (*Cupressus macrocarpa*), and several non-native Eucalyptus species. They do not exhibit preference for any particular species, and research has found many successful groves contain a mix of several species present (Griffiths & Villablanca, 2015); however the predominance of Eucalyptus along the coast makes this species the most frequently occupied by clustering monarchs. Though there does not appear to be a preference for certain tree species, clusters will often form in the same locations within a grove, and even to the exact same trees that have been used in previous years. Due to this fidelity, *core zones*, or the general area within an overwintering site that contains trees where monarchs cluster, are the most sensitive and of the highest priority for protection. Core zones can contain one or many clusters of monarchs.

Overwintering clusters are variable through time and space. Some can be as few as a dozen or so individuals, where others contain many thousands (Figure 2, Figure 3). Clusters commonly move from tree to tree within a grove as winter progresses, and in response to shifts in weather (Calvert & Brower, 1986). Clusters also can vary in height. For example, they simultaneously occur between 10ft and 40ft off the ground within the same site in Pismo Beach.

Though monarchs spend a large proportion of the winter clustering together, they are commonly active, particularly in warmer weather. Monarchs can fly in ambient temperatures above 12.7°C [54.9°F] (Anderson and Brower, 1996), and may exhibit other behaviors on days that exceed this threshold. Other behaviors include sunning within the grove (Figure 3), flying (Figure 7), nectaring from flowering plants, and drinking from mud puddles, ponds, dew, or streams. Monarchs also may move from one neighboring overwintering grove to another throughout the winter (Griffiths and Villablanca., 2015; James et al., 2021; Sardinas et al., *in prep*). In the spring, copulation attempts are also very common, which result in many monarch pairs on the floor of the grove, leaving them vulnerable to high foot traffic at this time. Breakup of clusters and abandonment of sites generally occurs between late January and March, though the exact time varies between regions and seasons.



Figure 2. Examples of Monarch Clusters of various sizes within an overwintering Site in San Simeon, California 2022. (A.) Depicts a large monarch cluster containing thousands of butterflies. Some individuals pictured are exhibiting sunning behavior, with open wings. (B.) shows a relatively small cluster containing only a couple dozen monarchs. (C.) Is another example of a cluster on a cold morning, when temperatures restrict activity.



Figure 3. Example of an aggregation of monarchs sunning, or basking in the sunlight; a common behavior observed warmer winter days within overwintering sites. Morro Bay, California, 2023.

### Section 2: Summary of Sensitive Locations in the Project Area

2.1 Map of Sites within the Project Area, and Overwintering Habitat Zones. Overwintering sites are delineated as containing two sub-areas:

- Core zone: the general area within an overwintering site that contains the trees where monarchs cluster.
- Shelter zone: area where trees, other vegetation, and topography, including human-made structures, provide wind protection and other microclimate conditions that support monarch clustering and/or aggregation. Shelter zone delineates the outer boundary of an overwintering site and encompasses the core zone.



Figure 4. Map of the three Overwintering Sites (orange) and the core zones (yellow) where clusters were observed (blue points). The core zone 100ft Buffer shows the recommended area where heavy machinery such as masticators should not be used during the overwintering season. The core zone is the ~60ft area surrounding known cluster trees. See additional guidelines below, and full sized map attached.

### 2.2 Assessment of Overwintering Sites (Pre-Work)

San Sebastian Store Grove status:

The San Sebastian Store site is an oblong grove of mature Blue Gum eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*) that surrounds SLO San Simeon Rd and Sebastian's General Store. Coordination with the Ranch Manager is required for full access to the site, which is only partially visible from the road. It is composed of a dense stand of blue gum eucalyptus that is advanced in age, with plenty of recruitment. This site was first described in 1989 by Walter Sakai, and in the past has harbored significant numbers of monarchs, with estimations of 15,000 and 13,000 in 1990 and 1996, respectively. The site is still actively used by monarchs. The most recent significant count has occurred in 2017, when 2,198 were tallied for the Western Monarch Thanksgiving Count (WMTC), though in most recent years (2021-22), less than 100 were documented.

### San Simeon Ranch Grove Status:

This site is a rectangular grove of mature trees at the base of San Simeon Point (Figure 4). Coordination with the Ranch Manager is required for full access to the site, which is only partially visible from the walking path open to the public. It is composed of Blue Gum Eucalyptus, with a few Red Gum Eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) occurring along the edges. The eastern side is protected from onshore winds by a hill on the edge of the site. Many trees within are healthy, though signs of leaf beetle are present throughout (Figure 6, Figure 7). However, the advanced age of the stand has lead to a massive accumulation of dead and downed trees within the grove that have not been cleared away. The site was discovered to host monarchs this season, with approximately 2,600 counted

during the WMTC. This is the highest count among the three sites assessed in this report. Some activity was detected with small aggregations and many fliers still present in late January as well, after major storms. Given count data is limited to one year, it is unclear if monarchs use other parts of the grove for clustering in different years, but based on the site visits majority of this activity appears to occur in the central area of the grove and is therefore the area of highest sensitivity (Figure 4, Figure 7).

San Simeon Point Grove Status:

This oblong grove occupies the east side of San Simeon point and is mostly visible from the walking path open to the public (Figure 5). It's composed of a heterogeneous mix of Blue Gum Eucalyptus, Monterey Cypress, and Monterey Pine that create patchy alcoves throughout, in an otherwise relatively open grove. Blackberries, poison oak, coffeeberry, and other understory vegetation cover the ground in some areas making accessibility in some areas limited, but provide nectar resources available in fall and winter from some of these shrubby species. Evidence of pitch canker on pines is present in some areas, and many trees are advanced in age. Dead and downed trees are present as well. The site was officially discovered to host monarchs this season, with approximately 900 counted during the WMTC. Notably, Regena Orr of California State Parks has reported observing thousands of monarchs out on the point in the late 1990s suggesting this site has been used in prior overwintering seasons (personal comm).

Threats/Disturbances for Each Site:

At the San Sebastian's Store overwintering site, several trees are dead or dying and the stand is quite thick with eucalyptus of a range of ages. Presence of leaf beetle is evident as well. The presence of diseases and the crowded overstory may result in a grove that does not provide enough natural sunlight for monarchs. The dead and downed trees also increase fire risk. In previous years there were some reports of clusters forming on the branches over the road. These may be cut during fuels reduction to prevent risk of damage to property and personnel.

San Simeon Point faces similar threats and disturbances. Several trees are in poor condition at this site, due to advanced age, pitch canker, and other diseases. There are also large gaps within the grove currently, leaving some areas exposed to onshore and storm winds. Some clearance of dead and downed trees, and removal of advanced understory growth may provide more opportunity for sapling recruitment which could enhance habitat quality.

For San Simeon Ranch, the massive amounts of dead and downed trees put this site at a particularly high risk of a crown fire that could completely destroy the grove. Core cluster zone trees risk damage from nearby dead and leaning trees that are susceptible to falling during storms. Evidence such as leaf beetle is also present at this site (Figure 6).

Vegetation management work is planned for all three sites which should reduce fire risk but could introduce others, such as over clearing, removal of cluster trees, or high levels of disturbance to the core zone through smoke and human activity during the overwintering season. However, recommendations in this report provide guidance to prevent or minimize these threats while fuel reduction work is being conducted.



Figure 5. Clusters of Monarchs on a pine at San Simeon Point overwintering site. Observed from the walking path, November 2022.



Figure 6. Small Cluster at San Simeon Ranch Site, November 2022, utilizing a tree heavily affected by leaf beetle, which makes the rips and tears present in the leaves.



Figure 7. Core zone of the San Simeon Ranch Overwintering Site, with many monarchs flying on a warm day, November 2022.

2.3 Recommended Guidelines for Vegetation Management Activities

Within the project area, three overwintering sites were identified, and the core zones were located in each through repeated site visits, and recording clustering locations during the fall and winter of 2022-2023. To create the core zone seen on the map (Figure 4), roughly a 60ft buffer was digitized around the known cluster trees' GPS locations. This is the area of highest conservation priority, and is very sensitive during the overwintering season (~October 1-February 28th). Therefore recommended guidelines for vegetation management activities is given for the core zone, and separately for the less sensitive shelter zone surrounding it.

Core Zone Guidance by Management Activity

- All vegetation management work in the core zone should be suspended when monarch clusters are present during the overwintering season (~October 1-February 28th). A monarch specialist or biologist should survey the area and confirm monarch cluster absence if work within the core zone is absolutely necessary during the overwintering season.
- Trees that have been used for clustering should be marked in advance of work with a tree tags to ensure hand crews and personnel do not accidentally trim, cut, or damage them. The provided coordinates of cluster trees should be used for flagging.
- Tree thinning and removal of standing trees of any diameter possessing living foliage is not advised unless a tree is identified as an imminent hazard to property or personnel through a tree hazard analysis protocol. An exception is dead, leaning trees which are at risk of damaging other trees within the cluster zone; these should be assessed for benefits/risks of removal, marked as such and approved by a biologist before project work commences.

- Pile and broadcast burning can be conducted, if necessary, outside of overwintering season (March-September), but should not occur directly under known cluster trees, as monarch clusters break up or drop to the ground when exposed to heavy smoke (Brower and Malcolm, 1991).
- Removal of downed trees, through bucking, lop and scatter, and other mechanical methods to reduce fuel load is encouraged outside of the overwintering season.
- Control and removal of invasive species such as French Broom are also encouraged outside of the overwintering season.
- Tree trimming and reduction of ladder fuels such as branches can be conducted within the core zone outside of the overwintering season, but retain branches and foliage > ~10ft off the ground. Up to date flagging of important core trees will help to prevent accidental damage.

Shelter Zone Guidance by Management Activity

- Control and removal of invasive species such as French Broom is encouraged.
- Removal of dead and dying trees, succumbing to pathogens and removal of other ladder fuels using hand crews and mechanical techniques is encouraged. However, see below recommendations on use of heavy machinery and thinning of living trees.
- Select herbicide application to control invasive forbs/shrubs implemented as described in the proposal and following state and federal guidelines should pose a low risk to monarchs.
- Thinning through the removal of small diameter live trees (up to 8" diameter) and understory vegetation in the shelter zone is acceptable, with the following guidance. A portion of existing small diameter eucalyptus should be retained in order to maintain a diversity of age classes within the grove. This will provide redundancy of wind and other protections in the grove in case other large gaps may form due to age or storm damage in future seasons.
- Use of heavy machinery should not be harmful to the integrity of the overwintering site as a whole. However, its use should be avoided within a 100 ft radius from the core zone when clustering monarchs are present during the overwintering season (October 1- February 28th). This 100ft Buffer zone is shown as a dashed orange line on the provided map (Figure 4).
- Prescribed pile and broadcast burning to further remove additional understory and dead, dying and diseased trees is recommended, as needed. Burning should be avoided if possible in and around the shelter zone during the overwintering season (October 1- February 28th). If burns must be conducted during the overwintering season, they should be completed following a smoke mitigation protocol, attached.

### 2.4 Native Nectar Plant Recommendations

Given the scope of the project, there is opportunity to proactively restore some of these sites through strategic planting of nectar resources. During and immediately after this project, nectar resources may be reduced. Planting or allowing for resprouting of specific native nectar resources could directly enhance the habitat for monarchs and other pollinators. The following plants are recommended for open areas within and around the overwintering sites if time and resources allow it:

- Wax myrtle (Morella californica)
- Toyon (Heteromeles arbutifolia)
- Coffee Berry (Frangula californica)
- Seaside Fleabane (*Erigeron glaucus*)
- Coyote Bush (Baccharis pilularis)
- Sage spp. such as Black or Hummingbird sage
- Mule fat (Baccharis salicifolia)
- Local Manzanita spp.

- Ericameria spp such as Rabbitbrush, goldenbush, & Mock Heather

Some of the plants listed above are already present within the project area that can resprout from root crowns, such as Coyote Bush and Coffee Berry. For these plants, we recommend leaving some roots intact by selectively avoiding mechanical techniques that destroy the root crowns in subsections of the project area, if this does not significantly detract from the overall objectives of the project.

### 2.5 Additional Opportunities

Additional opportunities at these sites include post-restoration habitat and monarch monitoring to study the effects of thinning/fuels management on monarchs' use of the habitat. The winter of 2022-23 represents a pre-restoration baseline; monitoring in the winter of 2023-24 and beyond would provide post-restoration results. For an even greater level of comparison, one could omit a section of each grove from treatment (e.g., leave fuels in place) as an untreated control.

As little work has been done to document the effects of thinning/fuels management work on monarch use, postrestoration monitoring could make these sites a useful case study. The only other site we are aware of that has undertaken thinning to benefit monarch habitat is Andrew Molera State Park (in collaboration with Xerces Society and the consultancy Creekside Science) where thinning of small diameter blue gum eucalyptus trees was undertaken in the winter of 2022-23, so post-restoration monitoring has yet to be completed.

For San Sebastian's Store, the creation of a canopy gap could be used to experiment in the success of habitat enhancement. Creating openings in dense eucalyptus stands has previously been studied and anecdotally successful at Monarch Lane in Los Osos, San Luis Obispo County and Point Pinole East Bay Regional Shoreline, Alameda County.

If exploring either/both of these opportunities to monitor monarchs' response to treatments is of interest, we can discuss further to provide more detailed guidance, though we acknowledge funding for these projects may need to be from an entity other than the Fire Safe Council.

Works Cited

- Brower, Lincoln P., and Stephen B. Malcolm. "Animal Migrations: Endangered Phenomena." American Zoologist 31, no. 1 (February 1, 1991): 265–76. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/31.1.265.
- Calvert, William H., and Lincoln P. Brower. "The Location Of Monarch Butterfly Danaus-Plexippus L. Overwintering Colonies In Mexico In Relation To Topography And Climate." *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society.* 40 (1986): 164–87.
- Calvert, William H., Willow Zuchowski, and Lincoln P. Brower. "The Effect of Rain, Snow and Freezing Temperatures on Overwintering Monarch Butterflies in Mexico." Biotropica 15, no. 1 (1983): 42–47. https://doi.org/10.2307/2387997.
- Griffiths, Jessica, and Francis Villablanca. "Managing Monarch Butterfly Overwintering Groves: Making Room among the Eucalyptus." California Fish and Game 101, no. 1 (2015): 11.
- James, David G. "Western North American Monarchs: Spiraling into Oblivion or Adapting to a Changing Environment?" *Animal Migration* 8, no. 1 (January 1, 2021): 19–26.
- Pelton, Emma M., Cheryl B. Schultz, Sarina J. Jepsen, Scott Hoffman Black, and Elizabeth E. Crone. "Western Monarch Population Plummets: Status, Probable Causes, and Recommended Conservation Actions." Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 7 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00258</u>.
- Sardiñas, Hillary and Levi Souza. "A Pilot Study Exploring the Use of VHF Telemetry Tags to track Overwintering Monarch Butterflies" *in prep*, California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Urquhart, F. A., and N. Urquhart. "Overwintering Areas And Migratory Routes Of The Monarch Butterfly (Danaus P. Plexippus, Lepidoptera: Danaidae) In North America, With Special Reference To The Western Population," 1977. https://doi.org/10.4039/ENT1091583-12.
- WAFWA. "Western Monarch Butterfly Conservation Plan 2019-2069." Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (WAFWA), January 2019.
- Xerces Society. "Western Monarch Thanksgiving Count and New Year's Count Data, 1997-2022," 2023. www.westernmonarchcount.org.

Board of Forestry and Fire Protection Program EIR for the California Vegetation Treatment Program

### ATTACHMENT G: WILDLIFE-FRIENDLY FUELS REDUCTION IN DRY FORESTS OF THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST

Full article to be attached to Final Draft

Woodland Fish & Wildlife . 2016



### Wildlife-Friendly Fuels Reduction in Dry Forests of the Pacific Northwest

Nicole Strong, Assistant Professor (Practice), Oregon State University Extension, Ken Bevis, Stewardship Wildlife Biologist, Washington Department of Natural Resources. Illustrations by Gretchen Bracher.

Reducing fuels, improving forest health and enhancing wildlife habitat are common management goals on our private dry forests. These goals don't have to contradict each other, if you follow some simple guidelines. The following publication will give you tips and ideas on how to make sure all of your goals are met.

### Introduction

Forests in the Pacific Northwest were historically shaped by fire. Whereas large landscape scale fires impacted west side forests only every few centuries, our dry forest ecosystems experienced fire as frequently as every 5-25 years. These frequent fires occurred at varying intensities, completely torching some areas, lightly burning others, and even skipping some places altogether, creating a complex mosaic across the landscape (Figure 1). Individual tree vigor was strong because fire reduced competition for water, sunlight, nutrients, and growing space. Historic stands contained large standing dead trees (snags) as well as some very large down logs that would not be consumed by

low intensity fires. Regeneration was often patchy, resulting in numerous openings and areas of dense young trees that might flash out in the next fire. Many shrub species would either re-sprout in clumps, or sprout from seed in the soil after a fire, creating a vigorous grass, forb, and shrub understory.



Figures 1 and 2. The figure on the left shows a historic forest that experienced regular low intensity fire. An individual tree here or there may have torched, but for the most part the fire stayed low. The figure on the right shows the same forest after decades of fire suppression. There is increased competition and reduced tree vigor, as well as increased risk for a high intensity fire. There is also reduced wildlife habitat value for some species in terms of forage and large healthy trees.

1

### ATTACHMENT H: BURN PLAN AND SMOKE MANAGEMENT PLAN (APPENDIX PD-2)

# Appendix PD-2

# Example Burn Plan/ Smoke Management Plan

Appendix J

### **VTP EIR Burn Plan**

### 1.1 Project Identification:

- A. DATE:
- B. PROJECT NUMBER:
- C. PROJECT NAME:
- D. REGION: UNIT: COUNTY: BATTALION:
- E. PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS prepared by:
- F. PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST prepared by:
- G. LIST OF PARTICIPATING AGENCIES SIGNATORY TO THE "MULTI AGENCY AGREEMENT FOR COOPERATIVE USE OF PRESCRIBED FIRE":
- H. LIST OF PARTICIPATING AGENCIES NOT SIGNATORY TO "MULTI AGENCY AGREEMENT FOR COOPERATIVE USE OF PRESCRIBED FIRE":
- I. LIST OF PARTICIPATING PROPERTY OWNERS OR CONTROLLERS:

### 1.2 Burn Area Description:

- A. PROJECT LOCATION:
- B. PARCEL ZONING AND LAND USE DESCRIPTION:
- C. PROJECT AREA TOTAL:
- D. PROJECT AREA NET:

#### 1.3 Environmental Setting and Impacts:

- A. NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT, OBJECTIVES AND TREATMENT METHODS:
- B. PROJECT TOPOGRAPHY:
- C. SOILS DESCRIPTION AND SENSITIVITY TO PROJECT ACTIVITIES:
- D. VEGETATION COMMUNITY AND DOMINANT SPECIES:
- E. WILDLIFE/FISHERIES HABITAT AND SENSITIVITY TO PROJECT ACTIVITIES:
- F. CULTURAL RESOURCES AND SENSITIVITY TO PROJECT ACTIVITIES:
- G. SMOKE AND COMMUNITY SENSITIVITY TO PROJECT:
- H. IGNITION MAP/ CONTAINMENT MAP

J-1

1.4 Burn Prescription:

- A. SCHEDULE:
- B. FUEL DESCRIPTION:
  - 1) FUEL MODEL(s):
  - 2) VEGETATION LESS THAN 24" TALL:
  - 3) VEGETATION GREATER THAN 24 INCHES TALL:
  - 4) FUEL LOADING:
  - 5) FUEL ARRANGEMENT:
  - 6) FUEL CONTINUITY:
  - 7) SURFACE FUEL DEPTH:
  - 8) DUFF DEPTH:
- C. FUEL CONSUMPTION PLANNED:
- D. FUEL TREATMENT PLANNED:
- E. NARRATIVE:
- F. WEATHER AND FUEL MOISTURE:
  - 1) WEATHER DATA COLLECTION:
    - a. LOCATION(S) /METHOD(S) OF DATA COLLECTION:
    - b. DATA TO BE COLLECTED:
    - c. SAMPLING PERIOD:
    - d. FORECASTS:
    - e. FORECASTING ENTITY:
    - f. SPECIFICATIONS, WARNINGS:
    - g. PROBABILITY OF ADVERSE WEATHER:
    - h. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
  - 2) PRESCRIPTION FOR FUEL MOISTURE, WEATHER, AND SOILS

Provide allowable or acceptable range of values for the following fuel and weather characteristics.

a. RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%):

J-2

Appendix J

Appendix J

- b. AIR TEMPERATURE (DRY BULB °F):
- c. WIND DIRECTION:
- d. WIND SPEED (mph):
- e. FUEL MOISTURE:
- f. SOIL MOISTURE:
- g. DUFF MOISTURE:

#### 1.5 Fire Behavior Predictions:

- A. Provide outputs generated by fire behavior calculations (i.e. BEHAVE) using the determined environmental parameters as variables.
  - 1) FIRE LINE INTENSITY (BTUs/foot/second): Target, Maximum.
  - 2) RATE OF SPREAD (chains/hour): Head and Backing.
  - 3) FLAME LENGTH (feet): Target and Maximum.
  - 4) SCORCH HEIGHT: (feet): Target, Maximum.
  - 5) PROBABILITY OF IGNITION: Target, Acceptable.
  - 6) BURNOUT TIME (Hours): Target, Acceptable.
  - 7) OTHER:
  - 8) FIRE BEHAVIOR NARRATIVE:

### Specific Resource Review questions -

### Water Resources:

Will the removal of vegetative cover result in increased water runoff on slopes and subsequent adverse effects on water quality or other resources?

#### MITIGATION(s):

Geologic hazard areas will not be burned.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Physical conditions are such that there will be no increased runoff resulting from the project.
- There is an existing buffer strip of vegetation between the project site and any water course that will prevent degradation of water quality or watershed values.

Appendix J

- \_\_\_\_ There are no beneficial uses in the vicinity of this project that will be adversely affected by increased runoff.
- Additional reasons:

If burning in a perennial watercourse, lake, or reservoir, will the removal of vegetative cover or other phases of the proposed project significantly increase turbidity or deposition of sediment?

### MITIGATION(S):

A CDFW biologist has been asked to review the project and provided the following comments:

- CDFW does not anticipate adverse effects to waterbodies as a result of this project as proposed.
- \_\_\_\_ Recommendations have been incorporated in the project design to prevent adverse impacts to water bodies present in the project area (See below under "Other Conditions").
- Large areas will not be burned within a short time period, nor will the project be conducted in geologic hazard areas, sandy or shallow soils. High intensity fires will be avoided.
- Areas where high intensity fire destroys seed stock or adversely alters soil structure will be seeded afterward with herbaceous species.
- Project design was modified to reduce impact on domestic and instream water resources.
- \_\_\_\_ Riparian vegetation will not be disturbed.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- \_\_\_\_ There is no perennial watercourse, lake, or reservoir in the vicinity of the project.
- There is an existing buffer strip of vegetation between the project site and any water course that will prevent degradation of water quality or watershed values.
- CDFW recommendations:
- \_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

### If removal of watercourse shading is planned, will this project cause a significant increase in water temperature that is detrimental to fish?

### MITIGATION(S):

\_\_\_\_ Riparian vegetation will be not be disturbed.

Appendix J

Any vegetation affecting maintenance of stream shade and temperature will not be disturbed.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- \_\_\_\_ There are no watercourses in the vicinity of the project.
- \_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

### If using heavy equipment on unstable soils, will this project cause land- slides or slope failure?

### MITIGATION(S):

Heavy equipment will not be allowed on current or potential slide areas.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- There are no known unstable soils in the project area.
- Additional reasons:

Will this project cause slash or woody debris to be deposited in a watercourse, lake or reservoir?

### MITIGATION(S):

All watercourses and areas below lake transition zone will be kept free of slash and debris. Accidental deposits will be cleaned up. (Needed erosion control structures, such as gully plugs or erosion control devices may be installed to prevent accelerated erosion as needed.)

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- There are no watercourses, lakes or reservoirs in the project area.
- There is an existing buffer strip of vegetation between the project site and any water course that will prevent degradation of water quality or watershed values.
- Additional reasons:

Are there any other circumstances or site conditions present in this project as designed that have not been mitigated to avoid adverse impacts on water quality?

### MITIGATION:

Appendix J

Article 6 of the Program Regulations (Resource Protection Guidelines) will be followed. The site-specific measures to be applied under Article 6 are listed below under "Other Conditions".

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

\_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

### Soils and Water Quality:

If this project will use a heavy disk, root or brush rake or dozer blade, and/or if this project incorporates low-blade crushing, anchor chaining, or ball-and-chaining of vegetation such as for fuel treatment or control line construction; will this project result in excessive soil disturbance, soil compaction, accelerated erosion or soil deposition in watercourses?

### MITIGATION(S):

- Heavy equipment use will be minimized on slopes over 35%.
- No heavy equipment, soil, or brush berms will be allowed within 50 feet of a watercourse or lake transition zone.
- Slopes that present geologic or safety hazards have been identified and will be avoided.
- These methods of pre-treatment will be used on no more of the project area than is necessary for safety, as determined by the CAL FIRE Regional Chief.
- Equipment will not be allowed on soils when the moisture content is at/or above field capacity.
- Brush removed from slopes will be windrowed along the contour and disposed of by burning or by other appropriate methods that leave effective berms of residual soil to impede surface water flow.
- Buffer strips of vegetation will be left between treated areas and watercourses.
- Vegetation in natural drainages will be left to trap sediment.
- These methods will not be used in mid-late spring when the soil erosion potential from spring rains is high and corresponds with ineffectual treatment of young brush stands with a high moisture content.
- Area will be drill-seeded with herbaceous species on contour in the Fall to reduce surface flow.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Heavy equipment will not be used.
- There is no watercourse, lake, or reservoir in the vicinity of the project.
- \_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

Appendix J

### SOIL STABILITY:

Will the project disturb any geologic hazard areas within or adjacent to the project?

MITIGATION:

Geologic hazard areas are marked and will be avoided.

OTHER CONDITIONS:

No geologic hazard areas were identified within the project area.

Additional reasons:

### Vegetation:

If burning large areas of mature chaparral vegetation during winter or spring: will this project cause low regeneration and depletion of available wildlife forage?

### MITIGATION(S):

- \_\_\_\_ No more of the project area will be burned than is necessary for fire safety, as determined by the CAL FIRE Regional Chief.
- Areas of the project have been reserved for summer or fall burning to allow propagation of herbaceous plants.
- The burn is located on ridge tops and/or canyon bottoms to minimize impacts to wildlife habitat.
- The project will be burned in a pattern to create and maintain a mosaic of old and young growth with diverse habitat structure.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Large areas of mature chaparral will not be burned in winter or spring.
- Additional reasons:

If burning dense stands of chaparral occurring upon woodland soils in <u>winter or spring</u>: will this project which could cause significant adverse effects on plant regeneration and loss of wildlife habitat and oak woodlands?

### MITIGATION:

- \_\_\_\_ No more of the project area will be burned than is necessary for fire safety, as determined by the CAL FIRE Regional Chief.
- Landowner to re-seed if regeneration not apparent after burn, or if burn vegetation loss is greater than desired.

J-7

Appendix J

Trees will be protected through use of a cool prescription and/or clear around trees for protection.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Dense stands of chaparral will not be burned in winter or spring.
- Additional reasons:

### Will burning in <u>summer or fall</u> cause a significant loss of wildlife habitat and/or damage to oak woodlands?

### MITIGATION:

- Area will be re-seeded if regeneration not apparent after burn, or if burn vegetation loss is greater than desired.
- Trees will be protected through use of a cool prescription and/or clearing around trees for protection.
- Burn will maintain islands and strips of chaparral to provide thermal protection and escape cover for wildlife.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Dense stands of chaparral will not be burned in summer or fall.
- \_\_\_\_ The project will incorporate the Department of Fish and Game's recommendation to maintain forty percent cover for wildlife habitat.
- Additional reasons:

### If burning in areas with oak or conifer overstory: will this project result in undesired adverse effects on conifer and/or oak tree survival?

### MITIGATION:

Conifer and/or oak trees will be protected through use of cooler prescriptions and/or chaparral understory will be cleared away from trunks.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- \_\_\_\_ This project does not have a forest overstory.
- Project will intentionally eliminate existing conifer/oak vegetation as part of a plan to prepare the site for reforestation.
- Additional reasons:

### Habitat:

**L-8** 

Appendix J

Will the proposed project result in a reduction in oak trees that could adversely affect wildlife habitat, species diversity, or a cumulative lack of oak regeneration in the area?

### MITIGATION:

The project has been reviewed by a biologist from DFG who has determined:

- \_\_\_\_ There are no significant undesired effects to oaks or oak-related habitat in the project as proposed.
- \_\_\_\_ The project incorporates wildlife/hardwood retention guidelines that maintains habitat diversity (see Other Conditions").
- Landowner will protect oak seedlings from livestock grazing while regeneration is occurring.
- Landowner will plant oaks when natural regeneration fails.
- Landowner will seed with large seed-producing forbs to replace lost forage seed mast.
- Fire will be low-intensity and is not expected to harm trees.

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Oaks are not present in the project area.
- \_\_\_\_ DFG recommendations:
- \_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

#### Wildlife:

Will this project result in significant detrimental effects on wildlife habitat by creating a large homogeneous ecotone with no mosaic or strips of unburned vegetation?

#### MITIGATION(S):

- The project will be burned in a pattern to create and maintain a mosaic of old and young growth with diverse habitat structure.
- The area will be seeded with a variety of forbs to enhance the ground cover and available wildlife forage (include in Cost-Share description).
- Spring burning will be avoided because plant species diversity might be adversely affected in such a large burn.
- Adjacent areas will be burned only after project site recovers sufficiently to create a pattern of young and old growth with diverse habitat structure.

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

Additional reasons:

Appendix J

### Will any rare or endangered plant or animal species be adversely affected by this project?

#### MITIGATION:

The project has been reviewed by biologists from the Department of Fish and Wildlife and/or federal agency and...

- \_\_\_\_ There are no known rare or endangered plant or animal species in or adjacent to the project area.
- \_\_\_\_ Recommendations have been incorporated into the project design to avoid adverse environmental impacts to wildlife (see "Other Conditions").

### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- CDFW/USFWS recommendations:
- \_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

Could burning this project as planned cause significant negative impacts to known and occupied habitats of rare, endangered, threatened, or sensitive species?

### MITIGATION:

Project has been reviewed by biologists from the Department of Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, or other federal agency...

- The project area and vicinity is not known or suspected of being used by species of plants or animals so classified.
- Recommendations have been incorporated into the project design to avoid adverse environmental impacts to known or potential wildlife habitat (see "Other Conditions").

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- \_\_\_\_ CDFW/USFWS recommendations:
- \_\_\_\_ Additional reasons:

### Will the proposed project disrupt critical deer migration corridors or critical habitats of any game species?

#### MITIGATION:

A biologist from CDFW has reviewed this project and has concluded that:

J-10
Draft- Program Environmental Impact Report

Appendix J

- \_\_\_\_ This project does not contain known deer migration corridors or other critical habitats of any game species.
- \_\_\_\_ No adverse impacts to critical habitat are anticipated from burning this project as proposed.
- \_\_\_\_ Recommendations have been incorporated into the project design to avoid damage to habitat (see "Other Conditions")
- \_\_\_\_ Twenty percent of the area will be replanted with grasses and forbs to restore wildlife habitat.

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- CDFW recommendations:
- Additional reasons:

If burning in or adjacent to areas classified as wetlands or riparian zones: will this project result in undesired changes in vegetation character or other adverse impacts to riparian plants, fish, or wildlife habitat?

#### MITIGATION:

DFG biologists have inspected the area and concluded that:

- \_\_\_\_ The proposed burn will not cause undesired changes in riparian plants, fish, or wildlife habitat.
- \_\_\_\_ That by incorporating their recommendations the burn will not adversely affect fish, wildlife, or the vegetation character of riparian or wetland areas (see recommendations under "Other Conditions".)

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- The project is not in or adjacent to any known wetland or riparian zone.
- DFG recommendations:
- Additional reasons:

#### Air quality:

Will smoke from the project create a significant hazard to human health or safety?

#### MITIGATION:

\_\_\_\_\_ Through coordination with the local Air Pollution Control District (APCD), the project has been rated for air pollution potential, and an appropriate Smoke Management Plan has been prepared that will minimize the air quality impacts of this project (See attached Smoke Management Plan).

J-11

Draft- Program Environmental Impact Report

Appendix J

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

Additional reasons:

## Archaeology:

Will archaeological, cultural, or historical resources be adversely affected by this project?

#### MITIGATION:

The attached record search by the Regional Officer of the California Archaeological Inventory recommends:

- a. No site survey was warranted for this project as proposed.
- b. A site survey was conducted and appropriate measures have been incorporated into the project design to avoid adverse impacts to located sites (see "Other Conditions").
- Soil will not be disturbed in areas where this would harm the resources.
- Specific sites will be left unburned if burning would tend to degrade the resources.
- Crews will be carefully supervised to avoid unauthorized collecting or other disturbance of the site.
- Areas have been marked to be avoided by machinery, handcrews or fire.

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Archaeology mitigation measures:
- Additional reasons:

#### Survey Markers:

Are land survey markers vulnerable to damage or destruction during vegetation treatment or burning within the proposed project area?

#### MITIGATION:

Survey markers are protected from project impacts by excluding heavy equipment and fire from the vicinity of known markers.

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- \_\_\_\_ There are no known land survey markers within the project area that would be affected by project activities.
- Additional reasons:

J-12

Draft- Program Environmental Impact Report

Appendix J

### Visual:

If any part of the proposed project be located upon highly visible slopes; is this project of such a size and design as to cause significant visual distraction and/or loss of aesthetic value? (Include visual impact of pre-treatment effects, such as creation of mechanical or hand-constructed firelines.)

#### MITIGATION:

- Straight line boundaries and other strong linear configurations will be avoided as much as feasible.
- Area will not be 100% cleared through burning operations; unburned areas will be left to add textural variety.
- \_\_\_\_ Natural or existing features will be followed, such as streamcourses, vegetation type lines, ridgetops, etc.
- Fireline edges on the outside-of-the-burn side will be feathered into the natural landscape, with brush cuttings used to disguise the lines and provide soil cover after the burn.

#### OTHER CONDITIONS:

- Project will not be burned upon highly visible slopes and/or visual impact expected to be minimal.
- Additional reasons:

#### SMOKE MANAGEMENT PLAN

In accordance with the a Air District's Smoke Management Program, this Smoke Management Plan (SMP) or simularily required plan from a specific Air District is to be completed by the applicant and submitted to the appropriate Air District Official as part of the overall burn plan review process. Once approved by the Air District, the SMP serves as a conditional permit to burn, when used in conjunction with a standard permit.

The information required herein is considered the minimum needed to effectively evaluate the effectiveness of smoke management efforts. Individual Air Districts may require supplemental information if the proposed prescribed burn project is:

- 1) Extremely large,
- 2) Likely to adversely impact smoke sensitive areas, such as Class I airsheds,
- 3) Likely to have multi-jurisdictional smoke impacts, or
- 4) Contains other site-specific complexities, which would require the need for further information.

Information may need to be extracted from the project burn plan on an infrequent basis in order to supplement the SMP. Air District review of individual burn plans would be for informational purposes only. The Air District assumes no approval authority or liability for individual, project-specific burn plans. The Permittee is responsible for ensuring firefighter and public safety and all other plan elements, which pertain to matters not related to smoke management.

The terms used in this SMP have the same meaning as those defined in the Air District's open burning regulations or the California Code of Regulations, Title 17, Section 80101. Where differences occur, the Air District's definitions apply.

#### I. GENERAL INFORMATION

- A. 1. PERMITTEE NAME AND ORGANIZATION:
  - 2. FIRE MANAGER/BURN BOSS NAME: \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE/DISPATCH:
- B. PROJECT NAME:

C. PERMIT NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ D. TOTAL ACRES: \_\_\_\_\_

E. LEGAL LOCATION: TOWNSHIP RANGE SECTION(S)

UNIT NAME	LEGAL DESCRIPTION

- F. AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT:
- G. Indicate the category which best describes this prescribed burn project:
  - Forest Management Burning: Use of open outdoor fires as a part of forest management practice to remove forest debris or for forest management practices which include timber operations, silvicultural practices or forest protection.

- Range Improvement Burning: Use of open, outdoor fires to remove vegetation for wildlife, game or livestock habitat or for the initial establishment of an agricultural practice on previously uncultivated land.
- 3. Wildland Vegetation Management Burning: Use of prescribed burning conducted by a public agency, or through a cooperative agreement with a private manager or contract involving a public agency, to burn land predominately covered by chaparral (as defined in <u>The California Code of Regulations</u> Title 14, Section 1561.1), trees, grass, or standing brush.
- 4. Wildfire Managed for Resource Benefit: Use of naturally occurring fire (i.e., lightning) exceeding ten acres in size to achieve resource management objectives. <u>NOTE</u>: When a natural ignition fire occurs on a no-burn day, the initial "go/no-go" decision to manage the fire for resource benefit will be a "no-go" unless, after consultation with the Air District, the Air District decides, for smoke management purposes, that the fire can be managed for resource benefit. A "no-go" decision does not necessarily mean that the fire must be extinguished, but that the fire cannot be considered a prescribed fire. A SMP must be submitted within 72 hours of project declaration for those fires that are expected to exceed 10 acres in size.

### II. PROJECT INFORMATION

#### A. Acres by type of Burn

	1) Machine Pile Burn         2) Hi           4) Broadcast Burn         5) Ui	and Pile Burn nderstory Burn	_ 3) Landing Pile Burn	
В.	PREDOMINANT VEGETATION TYPE (check all that	t apply):		
	1) Brush 2) Grass	3) Timber Litter	4) Timber Slash	_
C.	DESIRED SEASON OF PROJECT:	ACCEPTABLE	ALTERNATIVE:	_
D.	ARB 48/72-HOUR CONTROLLED BURN NOTICE RE	QUIRED? YES NO	]	
E.	SPOT WEATHER FORECAST REQUIRED?	YES 🗌 NO 🛛	]	
F.	PROJECT/UNIT ELEVATION (feet): Top: 800	Bottor	n: <u>700</u>	
G.	DURATION OF BURN: 1) Ignition	Days 2) Burndown	Days 3) TOTAL Days	
Н.	DRYING TIME REQUIRED FOR HAND AND MACHINE	PILES:		
Ш.	EMISSIONS ESTIMATES			
A.	. TOTAL ESTIMATED PARTICULATE MATTER (PM10)	Tons		
IV.	WIND PRESCRIPTION			
A. B.	SURFACE WIND SPEED AND DIRECTION <20 FEE WIND DIRECTION ALOFT >20 FEE	T: IDEAL ACCEI	TABLE UNACCEPTABLE UNACCEPTABLE UNACCEPTABLE	
C.	IDENTIFY POTENTIAL METEOROLOGICAL CONDITION	NS THAT WOULD INHIBIT ACC	EPTABLE SMOKE DISPERSAL:	

## V. SMOKE DISPERSAL SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING

Smoke dispersal surveillance and monitoring will be accomplished by the following methods when indicated. If the project is conducted near smoke sensitive areas or if the smoke from the project may impact smoke sensitive areas, smoke monitoring is required on all projects over 250 acres/day and on those projects that would continue burning or producing smoke overnight. It is recommended that the Burner should obtain a current Smoke Transport and Stability Forecast from the Interagency Fire Forecast Warning Unit (IFFWU). The Internet Web Address is: <a href="http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/fire/north/fwx">http://www.fs.fed.us/r5/fire/north/fwx</a>. A test burn shall be conducted on a small portion of the project area prior to project implementation. All weather and surveillance records shall be filed in the project folder and be available for Air District Review upon request.

А.	Balloon	RAWS x	Aircraft	Visual Monitoring
	Weather Forecast	Hygrothermograph		Belt Weather Kit
B.	METHOD/LOCATION OF VISUAL	MONITORING:		

C. INTERVAL BETWEEN DISPERSAL MONITORING OBSERVATIONS:

### VI. IDENTIFICATION OF SMOKE SENSITIVE AREAS (SSA)

Smoke Sensitive Areas (SSA's) include, but are not limited to the following: Population Centers (towns, villages, home sites, subdivisions), hospitals, schools, daycare centers, nursing homes, shopping centers, populated recreation areas, well-attended public events, major roads, airports, mandatory Class I Airsheds, and may include campgrounds and trails extensively used by recreationalists.

A.	LIKELY TO IMPACT CLASS I AIRSHED?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
B.	LIKELY TO IMPACT OTHER SMOKE SENSITIVE AREAS?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
C.	LIKELY TO IMPACT ANOTHER AQMD OR STATE (Oregon or Nevada)?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
D.	LOCATION OF PROJECT LIES WITHIN MORE THAN ONE AQMD?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
	If yes, list other AQMD(s):		
E.	PREVIOUS HISTORY OF ADVERSE SSA SMOKE IMPACTS (does NOT imply disapproval of project)?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
	If yes, list examples		

#### VII. MITIGATIONS

Items checked below will be implemented as mitigation measures as part of this SMP.

- A. LIMIT IGNITION TO \_\_\_\_\_\_ACRES / PILES per day. (Circle appropriate measure)
- B. NO MORE THAN\_\_\_\_\_ACRES / PILES SHALL BE BURNED AT ONE TIME. (Circle appropriate measure)
- C. ALLOW \_\_\_\_\_HOURS BETWEEN IGNITION OF PILES / UNITS. Check here if not applicable
- D. IGNITE BETWEEN \_\_\_\_\_AND \_\_\_\_\_HOURS. (Use military time).

#### VIII. EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES TO BURNING

Projects, which have met applicable National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) or California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements, will be considered to have complied with this provision. Either a copy of the applicable environmental document can be attached to this SMP or a sufficiently detailed narrative of how alternatives to burning were carried out in order to reduce fuel loads and emissions.

Alternatives to burning the project could include: (1) mechanical or hand removal of exotic grass plants, (2) herbicide treatment of unwanted species, (3) burning at a different time of year, (4) use of biological controls such as introduction of predatory insects, viruses or ultracompetative plants, or (5) no action.

## IX. CONTINGENCIES

Actions shall be taken if adverse smoke impacts affect smoke sensitive areas. Adequate resources or assets will be provided for the items checked below.

- A. HALT IGNITIONS, EXCEPT AS NEEDED TO MAINTAIN CONTROL OF FIRE.
- B. ALLOW FIRE TO BURN TO CONTINGENCY CONTROL LINES.
- C. SUPPRESS FIRE.
- D. BEGIN IMMEDIATE MOP UP.
- E. BEGIN MOP UP WITHIN HOURS OF PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION.
- F. COMPLETE MOP UP WITHIN HOURS OF INITIATION.
- G. DISCONTINUE MOP UP IF FAVORABLE CONDITIONS RETURN.
- H. Other (explain):

### X. Public Notification

All of the actions checked below will be taken in order to advise the public and known sensitive receptors that prescribed burning will be conducted in their vicinity and to assure the public that measures will be taken to minimize the smoke impacts.

#### A. Type of Notification

#### Describe Activity and Timing

RADIO	
NEWSPAPER	
TELEVISION	
Posters/Flyers/Letters	
PERSONAL CONTACT	
SIGNING at appropriate sites	
OTHER (Explain)	

- B. If potential impacts were identified in Section VI, additional notifications may be required within the potentially impacted area. If required, describe supplemental notifications that will be undertaken to mitigate adverse impacts: N/A
- C. Notify Unit Emergency Command Center
- D. Notify Northern Region Duty Chief at the Cal-Fire Northern Region HQ for ignition approval
- E. Complete a Go-No-Go checklist to insure the project is in compliance with the prescription

## XI. COMPLAINT PROCEDURES

Specific information concerning smoke complaints must be given by any complainant. Refusal by the complainant to provide essential information to officials regarding smoke impacts could minimize the urgency of the individual complaint. The person receiving a smoke complaint should make a good faith effort to obtain the following information:

- A. Name, location, phone number, and a short description of the situation, the areas affected by the smoke, whether people are physically suffering from smoke exposure and whether there is a public safety concern due to reduced visibility.
- B. All smoke-related complaints shall be forwarded as soon as possible to the Air District, but no later than 24 hours after the receipt of the complaint.
- C. The Air District will forward to the appropriate Burners any smoke-related complaints, which are received at the Air District Office as soon as possible, but no later than 24 hours after receipt of the complaint.
- D. A log of all complaint calls related to burn projects shall be kept in the project file for a period, of no less than, one year after completion of the specific project.

### CONTACTING RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS

#### DO NOT DISPLAY PERSONAL PHONE NUMBER INFORMATION IN BURN OR SMOKE PLANS

Make available to the Air District the names of the Prescribed Fire Manager/Burn Boss/Incident Commander and how they can be reached at all times (See General Information Section I.A.2). Include cell phone numbers, pager numbers, dispatch number and any other pertinent contact information. Burners are required to contact the Air District on a daily basis to verify that conditions are still favorable when implementing multi-day projects.

## XIII. CERTIFICATION

If the burn project is to be implemented primarily for wildlife and game habitat improvement, the Applicant shall file with the Air District a statement from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife certifying that the burn is desirable and proper. The statement shall also specify if any brush treatment or other desired objective is required by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife.

## XIV. MAPS

A map must be attached to this Smoke Management Plan that identifies nearby smoke sensitive areas, burn unit perimeters, available interior control lines (if suitable for this project), and areas subject to smoke inversions due to the burn project. Also, the map must indicate estimated path of unacceptable smoke transport.

#### XV. REPORTS

For fires greater than 250 acres, a post-burn smoke management evaluation/summary is required to be kept in the project folder. The post burn smoke management evaluation may be subject to review by the Air District.

#### XVI. APPROVALS

#### A. SMOKE MANAGEMENT PLAN

Submittal of this Smoke Management Plan (SMP) acknowledges that ignition of this burn project will not occur unless all conditions and requirements as stated in this SMP are met prior to ignition on the day of the burn event, the ARB and the Air District have both declared the day to be a burn day, and the Air District has authorized the burn on the day of the burn.

1. PREPARED BY:

2. TITLE:

B.

Gallatin VMP (Barker, Burress & Matia Units 2007-2009

4.	PREPARER'S SIGNATURE:	DATE:
AII	R DISTRICT SMP DECISION	
1.	AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT NAME:	
2.	APPROVED AS SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:
3.	APPROVED WITH CHANGES OR CONDITIONS BY:	DATE:
4.	ARB NOTIFICATION BY:	DATE:
5.	DOCUMENT CHANGES OR CONDITIONS:	
6.	DISAPPROVED AS SUBMITTED BY:	DATE:
	For the following Reasons:	

VE0 NO	
YES NO	PRESCRIBED FIRE GO / NO-GO CHECKLIST
[ ] [ ] 1. [ ] [ ] 2. Cu	Weather Forecast Requirements have been met. arrent conditions are within minimum/maximum
prescription criter	TIMETEMPR.HWIND DIR.
	WIND SPEED FUEL STICK LIVE
FUEL [ ] [ ] 3. expected.	The fire weather forecast indicates no adverse change
[ ] [ ] 4. complies with all	Applicable permits have been issued and the project
	requirements of the permits.
[ ] [ ] 5. [ ] [ ] 6.	Personnel and equipment required in the IAP are in posit All personnel have been briefed on the IAP [] Prescribed Burn Plan [] Communications Plan
[] []7. needed to	[ ] Safety Plan Backup and support resources are available in strength
	contain escapes within the burning period.
[][]8.	Notifications have been made
	[ ] Adjacent Landowners
	<ol> <li>Lookouts &amp; Air Attack Bases (summer only)</li> </ol>
	[ ] Region ECC/Duty Chief
	[ ] A.P.C.D
Other:	
	<ol><li>If a test burn is not required, go to #10</li></ol>
[][][]	N/A A test plot has been burned satisfactorily
[ ] [ ] 10. approvanto modif	Has any "No" box been checked? If so, do not burn unle by the plan has been received.
	BEGIN PRESCRIBED FIRE OPERATION!
[] [] 11. situation?	Can the plan be modified or action taken to rectify the
Describe plan ch	ange or action to be taken:
Obtain approval of	of: UNIT CHIEF or Unit Duty Chief.

VTP EIR Prescribed Fire GO-NO GO CHECKLIST

#### SMOKE MANAGEMENT PLAN APPLICATION FOR BURN PERMIT

In accordance with the Air District's Smoke Management Program, this Smoke Management Plan (SMP) serves as a permit application that is to be completed by the applicant and submitted to the Air District officials. This SMP application consists of three sections, a Project Description section, and sections A and B. **ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION SECTION** (pages 4 and 5). Both sections A and B of the SMP may need to be completed depending on the burn's potential to impact smoke sensitive areas and the size of the burn. Once approved by the Air District, this SMP serves as a conditional permit to burn, when combined with the district's permit to burn.

General Information and Requirements regarding this SMP are provided on

**pages 1 and 2**. Terms used in this form have the same meaning as those defined in the Air District's open burning regulation definition or the California Code of Regulations, Title 17, Section 80101. Where differences occur, the Air District's definitions apply. **Emission Factors** to assist with calculating burn particulate matter emissions are provided on **pages 12 and 13**. Contact the Air District if you have questions or need assistance with making these calculations.

The **District Review (page 3)** is for Air District use only, but must be kept in tact with the Project Description section. The **Project Description section (pages 4 and 5)** requests general information and identifies conditions for all prescribed burn projects. It identifies the permittee and relevant contact information, who the land owner is, the project name, project location, burn size, purpose of the burn, type of fuel to be burned, and estimated emissions from the burn. It provides a checklist of additional sections of the SMP that may be filled out and attached. Finally, it requests the preparer's signature, the name of the permittee or authorized representative, and the permittee or authorized representative's signature.

Section A (pages 6 - 8), must be completed and attached to the Project Description section if the burn has the potential to result in impacts to smoke sensitive areas. Smoke sensitive areas are defined as "populated areas and other areas where a district determines that smoke and air pollutants can adversely affect public health or welfare." Such areas can include, but are not limited to, towns and villages, campgrounds, trails, populated recreational areas, hospitals, nursing homes, schools, roads, airports, public events, shopping centers, and Class I Areas (areas that are mandatory visibility protection areas designated pursuant to section 169A of the federal Clean Air Act). The Air District can tell you if you are in a Class I Area.

Section B (pages 9 and 10), is a form that must be completed and attached to the Project Description section if the burn will be greater than 100 acres or will produce more than ten tons of particulate matter. Section B identifies meteorological conditions necessary for ignition, contingency actions that will be taken if smoke impacts begin to occur from the burn, and information on consideration and use of alternatives to burning. A Post-Burn Evaluation form is provided on page 11. This form is to be used for burns greater than 250 acres or for burns that result in impacts to smoke sensitive areas.

Information may need to be extracted from the project burn plan (if available) to supplement the SMP. Air District review of the burn plan is for informational purposes only. When the burn plan is reviewed, the Air District assumes no approval authority or liability for approving the burn plan. The permittee is responsible for assuring firefighter and public safety, which is not the intent of the information included on this form.

PTSD 10/23/2001

Permit # \_\_\_\_

Page 1

## General Information and Requirements

#### SMP Conditions Must Be Met on the Day of the Burn (CCR section 80160(j))

The land manager or his/her designee conducting a prescribed burn is required to ensure that all conditions and requirements stated in the smoke management plan are met on the day of the burn event and prior to ignition. Ignition of a burn project will not occur unless the Air District has authorized the burn on the day of the burn.\*

#### Conditions of Vegetative Material to be Burned (CCR section 80160 (m - p))

Material should be:

- in a condition that will minimize the smoke emitted during combustion when feasible, considering fire safety and other factors;
- piled where possible, unless good silvicultural practices or ecological goals dictate otherwise; and
- prepared so that it will burn with a minimum of smoke.

#### Description of Burn Types

Forest Management Burning is the use of open fires, as part of a forest management practice, to remove forest debris or for forest management practices which include timber operations, silvicultural practices, or forest protection practices.

Range Improvement Burning is the use of outdoor fires to:

- remove vegetation for wildlife or game habitat;
- remove vegetation for livestock habitat; and
- remove vegetation for the initial establishment of an agricultural practice on previously uncultivated land.

Wildland Vegetation Management Burning is the use of prescribed burning conducted by a public agency, or through a cooperative agreement with a private manager or contract involving a public agency, to burn land predominantly covered with chaparral (as defined in Title 14, California Code of Regulations, section 1561.1), trees, grass, or standing brush.

#### **Determination of Smoke Sensitive Areas**

Smoke sensitive areas are defined as "populated areas and other areas where an Air District determines that smoke and air pollutants can adversely affect public health or welfare." Such areas can include, but are not limited to, towns and villages, campgrounds, trails, populated recreational areas, hospitals, nursing homes, schools, roads, airports, public events, shopping centers, and Class I Areas (areas that are mandatory visibility protection areas designated pursuant to section 169A of the federal Clean Air Act. Your Air District can tell you if your burn is in a Class I Area. If a burn is near a populated area, has potential for substantial emissions, has a long duration, or has the potential for poor smoke dispersion, a smoke sensitive area could be impacted and Section A of the SMP should be completed. Burners may obtain Air District assistance in determining if Section A should be completed.

\* CCR 80120(e) provides that an Air District may, by special permit, authorize agricultural burning, including prescribed burning, on days designated by the ARB as no-burn days if the denial of such permit would threaten imminent and substantial economic loss.

Permit # \_\_\_\_

Page 2

#### Procedures for Permittees to Report Public Smoke Complaints to Air Districts to Address Smoke Management Guidelines section 80160(I)

- The permittee shall immediately report any air quality smoke complaints received about this burn project to the Air District with jurisdiction over the burn. A phone call to the District during normal seasonal business hours will suffice. During non-business hours a fax or voicemail message will suffice.
- The complaint report shall include the following: the location of the smoke impact, a short description of the smoke behavior including wind direction and speed, visibility, and public safety impacts if available from the complainant.
- The permittee shall inform the complainant that he or she may also contact the District directly and shall provide the District name, telephone number and address.
- The permittee shall, in coordination with the Air District, seek resolution for all complaints, as necessary.

#### Natural Ignition on a No-burn Day (CCR section 80160(h))

When a natural ignition occurs on a no-burn day, the initial "go/no-go" decision to manage the fire for resource benefit will be a "no-go" unless:

- After consultation with your Air District, the Air District decides, for smoke management purposes, that the burn can be managed for resource benefit;
- For periods of less than 24 hours, a reasonable effort has been made to contact the Air District, or if the Air District is not available, the Air Resources Board (ARB); or
- After 24 hours, the Air District has been contacted, or if the Air District is not available, ARB has been contacted and concurs that the burn can be managed for resource benefit. A "no-go" decision does not necessarily mean that the fire must be extinguished, but that the fire cannot be considered as a prescribed fire.

SMOKE MANAGEN	IENT PLAN	Permit #	Page 3
		DISTRICT REVIEW (For District Use Only)	
I have rev agricultura This burn consultatio Date ARB	iewed and approved th I burn/air pollution pen project is greater than n prior to final approva Notified:	his SMP as a conditional burn permit to mit number, which exp 250 acres and/or is a multi-day burn w al pursuant to CCR 80160(g)). Date ARB approval received:	be combined with ires on hich requires ARB
	is the is expected to the		
Name:			
Signature: Agency :			
Date:			

SMOKE MANAGEMENT PLAN	rmit # Page 4
SMP Project Description (Complete This	Section for ALL PRESCRIBED BURNS)*
1.1 Project Name:	Project Location: (Report at least one of the following location descriptions. Provide attachment as needed.)
1.2 Permittee Name:	T
1.3 Permittee Address:	. S M&B
Street:	1.8b Lat/Long: Lat(deg.)(min)(sec)
City:	1.8c UTM: Zone:
State: Zip:	Nm, Em
1.4 Permittee/Field Contact:	1.9 Project Elevation (msl feet): Top: Bottom:
1.5 24-hour Phone/Pager:	1.10 Land Owner Name:
1.6 Project Location (Counties):	
	Street:
	State: Zin:
1.7 Nearest Town:	State Zip
1.11 Anticipated Time of Year for Burn (Mor 1.12a Is the Primary Purpose of the Burn for	nth/Year): Fire Hazard Reduction?
1.12b Burn Type (Check one): Forest M Wildland Vegetation Manageme	lanagement: Range Improvement nt Natural Ignition
(see General Information on page 1 fo 1.13 For Range Improvement Burns, Check Wildlife or Game Habitat Improve Initial Establishment of an Ag	r description of these burn types) Vegetation Management Objective: ment Livestock Habitat Improvement gricultural Practice on Previously Uncultivated
1.14 Vegetation Type (Percentage): B	rush Grass Timber Litter
1.15 Vegetation Condition: Machine F Understory Landing	Pile Burn Hand Pile Burn
the private charactery canaling	Pile Burn Broadcast

 1.19
 Total Project Fuel Loading: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (tons vegetation)

 1.20
 Particulate Matter Emissions: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (tons PM10)

(Use Emissions Factors Tables on pages 12-13 for assistance with emissions calculation) 1.21 Emission Factor Table Used or EPA-Approved Calculation Method:

\* If your burn is less than 10 acres with less than one ton particulate matter emissions, and your burn will not impact any smoke sensitive areas, you may complete only this page. Attach appropriate SMP sections for all other burns.

SMOKE	MANAGEMENT PLAN	Permit #	Page 5
1.22	Preferred Ignition Hours for the Fire:		
1.23	Expected Burn Duration (ignition to o Total Time: (hou	complete extinction): rs or days)	
1.24	Fuel Drying Time and Conditions Prio	r to Ignition:	
1.25	Limitations on Pile Size, Pile Number, Smoke (complete as appropriate):	, and/or Acreage Limitations to I	Minimize

# It is the responsibility of the permittee to ensure that conditions of the SMP are met on the day of the burn. The permittee will obtain authorization to burn from the Air District contact listed below no more than 24 hours prior to ignition.\*\*

1.26	Air District Name:	1.28	Contact:
1.27	Address:	1.29	24-hour Telephone:
		1.30	Fax:
		1.31	Email:

The permittee will report public smoke complaints to the Air District per the procedures described in the General Information section of this SMP on pages1 and 2.

#### Check as Applicable:

- This burn could have an impact on smoke sensitive areas I have filled out and attached all of Section A.
- This burn could have an impact on smoke sensitive areas and Air District policies require that information on meteorological conditions for ignition and contingency planning be provided – I have filled out and attached line items B.1 and B.2 of Section B.
- This burn is greater than 100 acres (or is estimated to produce greater than 10 tons of particulate matter) – I have filled out and attached all of Section B.

Preparer's Statement: To the best of my knowledge the information submitted in this application is complete and accurate.

SMP Preparation Date:
Preparer's Name/Title (print):
Preparer's Phone: ()
Preparer's Signature:
Name of Authorized Representative in Control of the Property (if applicable):

Permittee or Authorized Representative Signature:

#### Signature Date:

\*\* Burner/Air District burn authorization coordination to be determined by the Air District.

SMOKE	MANAGEMENT PLAN	Permit #	Page 6
SECT	TION A: AS REQUIRED APPLIES TO A SENSITIVE AR	BY TITLE 17 AND AIR DISTRICT LL BURNS WITH THE POTENTIA EAS (SSAs) *	POLICIES, THIS SECTION
A.1.	Describe locations of S Map# shows SSA	SSAs and distances from burn site As)	e (miles) – (Also the attached
A.2	The attached map#Ni	provides smoke travel proje ght Topographical conside	ections for: erations.
A.3	Has prescribed burning	historically occurred in this area?	Yes No Don't Know
A.4 A.5	If yes, were there impac	cts to smoke sensitive areas?	_YesNo _Don't Know
A.6	For burns that will occur provide Air District conta be used to affirm that th SMP, and/or whether co instructions by the Air D District contact ( or desi	r past daylight hours and/or for mor act information and a description of the burn project remains within the of pontingency actions are necessary. District to communicate directly with gnee )	re than one day, please f contact procedures that will conditions specified in this The permittee will follow any ARB when necessary. Air
A.7a A.7b A.7c A.7d	Telephone: ( 24-hour Pager ( Fax: () E-mail:	_)	
A.8	The permittee will use the	he frequency and method of contac	ct described below:

California	Department	of Forestry	/&F	Fire Prevention	I.
------------	------------	-------------	-----	-----------------	----

SMOKE MANAGEMENT PLAN	Permit #	Page 7

The permittee will monitor the burn project for meteorological conditions and smoke behavior before, during, and after the burn using the following techniques and timing:

## A.9 Weather Observation (Wind Direction, Wind Speed, and Temperature):

Method		Details	
Belt Weather Kit	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
RAWS	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Aircraft	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Other	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Additional Requir	rements:		

#### A.10 Smoke Behavior Observations:

Method		Details	
Visual**	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Test Fire	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Balloon	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Aircraft	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
PM Monitoring Inst	Location		
	Beginning	Interval	Ending
Additional Requirem	nents:		

- A.11a The permittee shall begin public notification before the day of burning. The notification shall be on-going until the end of burning. Check which of the following procedures will be used to notify and educate the public about this burn project. \_\_\_\_Television \_\_\_\_Radio \_\_\_\_Newspaper \_\_\_\_Posters/flyers \_\_\_\_Telephone calls \_\_\_\_Other (Explained in A.11b below)
- A.11b The specifics of the notification procedure(s) checked above are as follows:
- A.12 The permittee will place appropriate signage at or near burn sites to identify the burn project to the public as noted on the attached map#\_\_\_\_\_.

Permit # \_\_\_\_

Page 8

Adjacent Air Districts and neighboring state Air Districts which may potentially be impacted by smoke travel or which have previously been impacted by smoke from similar burn projects are listed below.

A.13	Air District Name:
A.14	Contact:
A.15	Address:
A.16	24-hour Telephone:
A.17	Fax:
A.18	Air District Name:
A.19	Contact:
A.20	Address:
A.21	24-hour Telephone:
A.22	Fax:
23 N	eighboring State Air District Name:
A.24	Contact:
A.25	Address:
A.26	24-hour
Telep	hone:
A.27	Fax:

- \* See General Information on page 1 for determining if your burn has the potential to impact a smoke sensitive area.
- \*\* Visual smoke observation refers to observations made through the eyes of designated individuals.

SMOKE	MANAGEN	IENT PLAN		Permit #		Page 9
SECT	TION B:	AS REQUIRE APPLIES TO PRODUCING	ED BY TITLE 1 ALL BURN P MORE THAN	7 AND AIR ROJECTS G 10 TONS O	DISTRICT POLIC REATER THAN	IES, THIS SECTION 100 ACRES OR E MATTER
B.1.M	leteorolo	ogical Conditio	ons for Ignition			
S	ource o	f Meteorologic	al Information:			
S Id	urface V deal:	Vind Direction	Acceptable	Range:		(degrees)
S Id	urface V deal:	Vind Speed:	Maximum:		Minimum:	(mph)
Ti Id	ranspor deal:	t Wind Directio	on: Acceptable	Range:		(degrees)
R	telative l deal:	Humidity:	Maximum: _		_ Minimum:	
Т	arget M	ixing Height Pa	arameters:			
Α	cceptab	le Temperatur	e Range:			(degrees)
0	ther Co	nsiderations to	Assure Accep	table Smoke	Dispersion:	
 B.2a 	Descril serious specific suppre	be contingency s smoke impace ed in this SMP ession – descri	y actions/metho ts begin to occ (for example: be in detail):	ods/procedur our or meteor stop ignitions	res permittee will t rological condition s, initiate mop-up,	ake in the event that s deviate from those conduct fire
	Descri	be any applica	ble interior unit	contingency	/ cutoff lines (refe	r to map# as
	approp	priate):				

SMOK	E MANAGEMENT PLAN	Permit #	Page 10
B.3	An evaluation of alternativ	es to burning is described belo	W:
	It is a part of the environm the National Environment is either attached to this \$ to by the Air District. Door	nental documentation required tal Policy Act or the California B SMP, is on file with the Air Distr cument location:	for the burn project pursuant to Environmental Quality Act and rict, or is provided for as agreed
	Neither a National Enviro assessment of alternative described in section B.4 -	nmental Policy Act or the Calif es has been performed. Altern - B.9 below.	ornia Environmental Quality Act atives to reduce fuel load are
B.4	Alternatives Considered:		
B.5	Alternatives Rejected and R	easons for Rejection:	
B.6	Alternatives Used, and Tons	s of Vegetative Material Treate	d With Each Alternative:
B.7	Particulate Reduction for Ea	ach Alternative Used (tons):	
B.8	Total Particulate Reductio	ns from Alternatives Used:	
B.9	If this project is greater that provide a completed Post 30 days of project completed	an 250 acres or smoke impacts Burn Evaluation Form (see pa tion.	s occur, the permittee will ge 11) to the Air District within
B.10	<ul> <li>For burns greater than 250 requirements.</li> </ul>	0 acres, Sections A.9 and A.10	) describe the site monitoring

	ORE MANAGEMENT PLAN	Permit #	Page 11
	Fc or Burns	Post-Burn Evaluation or Burns Greater Than 250 Acre s For Which Smoke Impacts Oc	es :curred*
Se	ction A. General Information:		
Da Nu Bu Bu	te of Burn: mber of Acres Burned: rner Name: rner Address:	Burn Location: Estimated Actual PM Emis	sions: (tons)
Bu Bu	rner Phone Number: rner Email:		
1.	Did the burn remain within the cor	nditions specified in the Smoke Manage	ment Plan?
2.	Were there substantial complaints	s or adverse smoke impacts?	_ If so, complete Section B below
3.	Lessons learned (Optional) (Provi	ide attachment if desired):	
2.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how	many and from whom:
2.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how	many and from whom:
2.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how	many and from whom:
2.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how	many and from whom:
2.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how	many and from whom:
2. 3.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how who, when, and at what phone number(s	many and from whom: ())?
2. 3.	Were there substantial complaints	s from the public? If so, how who, when, and at what phone number(s	many and from whom: ))?

\* As required by title 17 and air district policies.

Permit #\_

Page 12

#### Table 1

1. 2. Choose the pile size most representative of the piles on your burn site.

Multiply the number of piles in your project with the corresponding "Tons of PM10/Pile" value to get the total PM10

PM-10 EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS FOR PILES

tonnage

PM10 EMISSIONS FOR SPECIFIED PILE SIZES					
PILE SIZE (in feet)	Pile Tonnage	TONS OF PM10/PILE			
4' diameter x 3' height	0.056	0.0005			
5' diameter x 4' height	0.12	0.001			
6' diameter x 5' height	0.21	0.002			
8' diameter x 6' height	0.45	0.004			
10' diameter x 6' height	0.71	0.007			
12' diameter x 8' height	1.3	0.01			
15' diameter x 8' height	2.1	0.02			
20' diameter x 10' height	4.7	0.04			
25' diameter x 10' height	7.4	0.07			
50' diameter x 10' height	29	0.3			
Pile Tonnage calculated using paraboloid volume formula* mult	tiplied by 30 lbs/cu.ft, multiplied b	oy 0.2 packing ratio <sup>b</sup>			

U.S. Forest Service's Conformity Handbook, Table 6 -- PM10 Emissions Factor of 19.0 pounds/ton of fuel burned - average pile and burn slash

#### Revised 2/13/2001

C.

Formula used for Paraboloid Volume (cu.ft.) = 3.1416 x [height x (diameter)<sup>2</sup>]/8 (see Refernce b. below). а.

b. USDA (2/1996). Forest Service General Technical Report. Report Number: PNW-GTR-364.

#### Table 2 PM 10 EMISSION CALCULATION FOR BURNING OF MULTIPLE FUEL TYPES<sup>1,2</sup>

Section 80160 (b) of Subchapter 2 Smoke Management Guidelines for Agricultural and Prescribe Burning, Title 17, California Administrative Code states, "requires the submittal of smoke management plans for all burn projects greater than 10 acres in size or estimated to produce more than 1 ton of particulate matter". To determine what the particulate matter (PM 10) amount is of your burn project please use the equation below and review the following examples.

#### Information needed for PM 10 Calculations:

- VT = Vegetation type а. FL est. = Estimated fuel loading in VT TONS per ACRE
- b. d.

ACRES VT = Estimated number of acres for VT EV = PM10 emission/ton of fuel

Calculating PM10 Emissions from Prescribed Burning of multiple vegetation types: PM10 ton(s) emissions per VT = (number of acres VT) (FL tons per acre) (Emission Value (EV)) = PM10 ton(s) emissions per VT = (number of acres VT) (FL tons per acre) (Emission Value (EV)) =

Sum Total is the Estimated PM 10 for the project

ton(s)/VT ton(s)/VT ton(s)/project

VEGETATION TYPE(S)	ACRES (VT)	x	FL est.	x EV <sup>1</sup>	PM10 EMISSIONS (ton(s))
Basing Sage/Low Sage	( )	x (	)	x (0.010)	
Ceanothus	( <u> </u>	x Ü	j	x (0.010)	
Chamise	( <u> </u>	x Ü	j	x (0.009)	
Giant Seguoia	( <u> </u>	x (	j	x (0.007)	
Grass/Forb	( <u> </u>	x i	í	x (0.007)	
Hackberry Oak	2S	x (	{j	x (0.005)	
Hardwood (Stocked)	( <u> </u>	x (	j	x (0.003)	
Hardwood (Non-stocked)	( <u> </u>	x (	j	x (0.003)	
Jeffrey Pine/Knobcone	( <u> </u>	x (	í	x (0.007)	
Live Oak (Canvon)	<u>}                                    </u>	x	í	× (0.007)	
Live Oak (Interior)	)	x i		× (0.007)	
Lodgepole Pine	}──√	x i		x (0.007)	
Manzanita (Productive Brush)	( <u> </u>	x	í	x (0.009)	
Mixed Chaparral/Montane	<u>}                                    </u>	x	í	× (0.008)	
Mixed Conifer		¥ 1		× (0.006)	
Oak (Black)	>(	\$ }·		× (0.005)	
Oak (Blue)	>	○ }:		× (0.003)	
Oak (White)	}{	\$ }·		x (0.003)	
Pinyon Pine		\$ }:		× (0.007)	
Ponderosa Pine, Gray Pine	>	\$ }·		× (0.007)	
Ponderosa Pine, Gray Pine	>{	2		~ (0.007)	
Wet Meedew	}{	2		× (0.007)	
Willow	<u>}</u> {	÷ }:	{	x (0.004)	
Sum Total of the Estima	ad PM10 for the	A (	in tone/n	x (0.007)	
Jum Total of the Estima	teu rimito for the	project	in tons/p	roject	-

1. See Table 3 on next page for values used to calculate EVs.

2. For vegetation types not listed, contact Air District for assistance with determining appropriate emission factors.

Permit # \_\_\_\_

Page 13

#### Table 3 EMISSION VALUES (EVs) FOR BURNING OF MULTIPLE VEGETATION TYPES\*

Calculation of PM10 emission values = (% combustion) x (PM10 emission lbs/ton) x (1 ton/2000 lbs)\*

Combustio	PM Emissions (Ibs/ton fuel)		Conversion Factor	PM EMISSION VALUE (PM10 tons emissions/ton fuel)
$= (1.0) \times = (1.0) \times = (1.0) \times = (0.6) \times = (0$	(20.17 lbs/ton) (20.17 lbs/ton) (20.17 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (15 lbs/ton) (15 lbs/ton) (15 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (20.17 lbs/ton) (20.5 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton)	****	(1 ton/2000 lbs) (1 ton/2000 lbs)	= 0.010 = 0.010 = 0.009 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.005 = 0.003 = 0.003 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.009 = 0.008 = 0.008 = 0.006 = 0.005 = 0.003 = 0.003 = 0.003 = 0.003
= (0.6) x = (0.6) x = (0.6) x = (0.6) x = (0.6) x = (0.6) x	(22 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton) (23.1 lbs/ton) (15 lbs/ton) (25 lbs/ton)	x x x x	(1 ton/2000 lbs) (1 ton/2000 lbs) (1 ton/2000 lbs) (1 ton/2000 lbs) (1 ton/2000 lbs)	= 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.007 = 0.004 = 0.004
	Combustion = (1.0) x = (1.0) x = (0.9) x = (0.6) x = (0.4) x = (0.4) x = (0.4) x = (0.6) x	PM Emissions           Combustion         (Ibs/ton fuel)           = (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton)           = (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton)           = (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton)           = (0.9) x         (20.17 lbs/ton)           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton)           = (1.0) x         (15 lbs/ton)           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton)           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton)           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton)           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton)           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton)           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton)           = (0.4) x         (25 lbs/ton)           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton)	PM Emissions           Combustion         (ibs/ton fuel)           = (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x           = (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x           = (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton) x           = (0.4) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.4) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x           = (0.6) x </td <td>PM Emissions         Conversion Factor           (10) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.9) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x         (1 t</td>	PM Emissions         Conversion Factor           (10) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (1.0) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.9) x         (20.17 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.4) x         (15 lbs/ton) x         (1 ton/2000 lbs)           (0.6) x         (25 lbs/ton) x         (1 t

Percent combustion and PM10 emission factors for various fuel types derived from Table 8, Section 6, "Air Quality Conformity Handbook" from the USDA-Forest Service Air Resources / Fire Management Pacific Southwest Region dated November 1995.

\*\* These are the vegetation's estimated emissions values(EV) from the vegetation type as determined above to be use when the burn operator provides the vegetation's fuel loading estimate per acre.

\*\*\* For additional information on emissions factors, see EPA document AP-42: "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors. Volume 1: Stationary Point and Area Sources," Fifth Edition, AP-42, January 1995, U.S. EPA. Table 2.5-5.

## ATTACHMENT I: REFERENCES